WYCLIFFE COLLEGE LIBRARY

3 1761 02871 2362

LIBRARY

Wycliffe College

TORONTO

Shelf No. **BS 2665. H72**

Register No. **8316**

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2006 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

PROLEGOMENA
TO ST PAUL'S EPISTLES TO
THE ROMANS AND THE EPHESIANS

PROLEGOMENA
TO ST PAUL'S EPISTLES TO
THE ROMANS
AND THE EPHESIANS

BY THE LATE
F. J. A. HORT, D.D., D.C.L., LL.D.,
LADY MARGARET PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

London
MACMILLAN AND CO.
AND NEW YORK
1895

[All Rights reserved]

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS	I
EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS	63
ABSTRACT OF LECTURES ON EPHESIANS	185

INTRODUCTION
TO THE
EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS

THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS.

[*EASTER TERM, 1886.*]

I PROPOSE this term to lecture on the Epistle to the Romans, in itself an enormous subject. To deal properly with it would need not merely a longer term than this, but many terms. Even however in this unusually short term I hope that by rigorous selection of topics we may be able to get some substantial hold of the Epistle; and, owing to the peculiar position which it holds among St Paul's Epistles, even a very imperfect study of it will yield more instruction than a somewhat less imperfect study of, I believe we may say, any other single Epistle of St Paul would have done.

In this case, perhaps more than usual, the benefit to be derived from attending lectures must be proportional to the time and care spent upon the subject by members of the class in private work. The utmost that a lecturer can do is to supply suggestions which can be verified and followed up at home.

One question that often has to be discussed can here be dismissed at once—that of the authorship. There is practically no dispute among different schools (unless it be in Holland) that St Paul wrote this Epistle, or at least the greater part of it: some would except the last chapter, or the last two: but the bulk of the Epistle may be treated as confessedly written by the Apostle whose name it bears. So also as to its readers: no one doubts that they were Romans and Roman Christians. On the other hand there has been and is much discussion whether these Roman Christians were exclusively Jewish Christians, or exclusively Gentile Christians, or both the one and the other; and this question is connected with another as to the origin of the Roman Church, and its characteristics at the time when St Paul wrote.

The fifteenth chapter, if part of the original Epistle, fixes the date at a glance: but even in its absence there is hardly room for doubt. The Epistle, that is, was written at Corinth towards the close of what is called St Paul's Third Missionary Journey, shortly before he sailed for Jerusalem to make the visit which led to that long imprisonment described in the later chapters of the Acts. According to the reckoning now most generally received, this would be in the spring of the year 58, or possibly the preceding winter, when Nero had just completed the third year of his reign. This absolute or numerical date is

however of less consequence than the relative date, that is, the place of the Epistle in St Paul's writings, and its place in his life.

The purpose of the Epistle must next be considered. Was it simply polemical? Was it an abstract and as it were independent dogmatic treatise? Had it any further special intention? These questions take us into the heart of the Epistle itself, and lead the way to a consideration of its plan and structure. That the problem is not very simple or easy may be reasonably inferred from the extraordinary variety of opinion which has prevailed and still prevails about it. But it is worthy of any pains that can be taken for its solution; for so long as the purpose of the Epistle remains obscure, the main drift of its doctrinal teaching must remain obscure also; and though there is much Apostolic Christianity which is not expressly set forth in the Epistle to the Romans, yet that Epistle holds such a place among the authoritative documents of the faith, that any grave misunderstanding respecting it is likely to lead to misunderstanding of Apostolic Christianity. If we look back on the history of doctrine, we can see that in the case of this Epistle, as of all the larger writings of the New Testament, there are considerable elements which have never yet been duly recognised and appropriated. But it is equally true that portions of the Epistle to the Romans have had an enormous

influence on theological thought. In conjunction with the preparatory Epistle to the Galatians this Epistle is the primary source of Augustinian Theology, itself renewing its strength from time to time, and more especially in various shapes in the age of the Reformation. We have therefore every reason for trying to gain the most comprehensive view that we can of what St Paul really meant, and in so doing I think we shall find that, as usual, the worst stumbling blocks belong not to the Apostolic teaching itself but to arbitrary limitations of it.

The various points which we have seen to require discussion under the head of Introduction are all closely connected together; so closely that some repetitions will be unavoidable. But for the sake of clearness they must be considered separately.

I.

THE ROMAN CHURCH.

A.

INFORMATION FROM THE NEW TESTAMENT GENERALLY.

FIRST, the Roman Church and its origin. At the outset we have to notice the prominent negative fact that it had never been visited by St Paul; much less had it been founded by him. We shall have to return to this fact presently to bring out its influence on St Paul's thoughts in connexion with the purpose of the Epistle: but for the moment it concerns us only as affecting the Romans themselves. Neither here nor anywhere else in the New Testament have we the smallest hint as to the origin of this great Church; and practically we are left to conjecture respecting it. After a while indeed it was said that St Peter was the founder. He was represented as the first bishop of Rome, and was assigned an episcopate of twenty-five or twenty years, reaching back almost to the beginning of the reign of Claudius. Possibly, as has been suggested, this date may be due to a combination of the statement of Justin¹, repeated by Irenæus, that Simon

¹ Justin M. *Apol.* i. 26; Iren. i. 23. 1 (ed. Stieren).

Magus was worshipped at Rome in the time of Claudius, with the tradition¹ that St Peter encountered Simon Magus at Rome. However this may be, the whole story of St Peter's early connexion with Rome is a manifest error or fiction; and all that we know on good authority respecting the early spread of the Gospel is adverse to the belief that the Roman Church was founded by any apostle or envoy of the apostles; nor is it likely that had such been the case there would have been no trace of it in the Epistle itself. St Paul's own progress towards the work was quite tentative. It was only the vision of the man of Macedonia that brought him over into Europe in the first instance. When he wrote the fifteenth chapter his labours had extended as far as Illyricum, but still on the Eastern side of the Hadriatic, and there is no sign that he deliberately sent pioneers before him. But when he wrote, the Roman Church cannot have been of recent foundation, for he had himself been for some considerable number of years desiring to see it. Hardly more than six years seem to have passed since he had first entered Europe: so that the foundation of the Church must in all probability have taken place in an earlier state of things.

How early, it is impossible to say. The intercourse between the great Jewish community at Rome and the mother-city Jerusalem must have provided a

¹ Euseb. *H. E.* ii. 14; Hippolytus, *Ref. Haer.* vi. 20: cf. Lightfoot, *Clement*, vol. ii. p. 491 (ed. 1890).

Acts xvi.
9.

Rom. xv.
19.

Rom. xv.
23.

channel by which the Christian message might be carried to Rome in the first years after the Ascension. The allusion to Roman sojourners at Jerusalem as present on the first Christian Day of Pentecost Acts ii. 10. is a confirmation from the New Testament of what is sufficiently attested from other sources. But whether as a matter of fact the Christian faith did make its way to Rome during that period is more than we can tell. The story of Clement, as told in both the extant forms of the Clementine romance¹, makes Barnabas bring the Gospel to Rome as early as the reign of Tiberius: but this is a mere fable, probably originating towards the end of the second century. It was probably by a process of quiet and as it were fortuitous filtration that the Roman Church was formed; and the process is more likely to have repeated itself on different occasions than to have taken place once for all. An obscure and gradual origin best suits the manner of St Paul's language. Andronicus and Junia (or Junias), St Rom. xvi. Paul's kinsmen and fellow prisoners, are said to⁷ have been Christians before his conversion: but we cannot tell whether they originally belonged to Rome, or took up their abode there at some later time. We are on somewhat firmer ground in respect of Aquila and Prisca (or Priscilla), who stand at the head of the persons saluted in the sixteenth chapter,

¹ *Clementine Homilies*, i. 9, *Recognitions*, i. 6, 7 (*Cotelier, Patres Apostolici*, 1700).

and are mentioned in very emphatic terms. Aquila was a Jew, by birth a native of Pontus (i.e. probably Sinope, like the later Aquila the translator), and apparently settled at Rome. He first comes before us as having left Italy with his wife Priscilla because

Acts xviii. Claudius had decreed that all the Jews should depart
2. from Rome, and having come to Corinth shortly before St Paul went there from Athens. St Luke does not give the least intimation as to the time when Aquila and Priscilla became Christians. On the whole it seems most probable that their conversion preceded their acquaintance with St Paul, and that they had felt Claudius' decree to be as hostile to their stay at Rome as it was to that of unbelieving Jews. It is difficult otherwise to see how St Paul could have at once joined himself to them, and wrought with Aquila at the same employment, as the very next verse describes. Twice more in the same chapter we hear of them, and then they disappear from the Acts.

Acts xviii. They accompany St Paul to Ephesus when he leaves
18. Corinth, and at Ephesus they correct and enlarge
Acts xviii. Apollos' imperfect knowledge of Christian doctrine.
26. They are either *still* at Ephesus or *again* at Ephesus about three years later, when the first Epistle to the

1 Cor. xvi. Corinthians was written, and at a much later time
19. they are once more at Ephesus when the second

2 Tim. iv. Epistle to Timothy was written. In the interval, a
19. little less than a year after the writing of the first Epistle to the Corinthians, comes this reference in the

sixteenth chapter of the Epistle to the Romans, which harmonises with St Luke's original account, for it was natural enough that Aquila and Priscilla should return to Rome when it had become safe to do so. If Rome had not been their usual place of residence, but they had merely paid it a passing visit, it is not likely that St Luke would have gone out of his way to speak of the edict of Claudius, in order to account for their being at Corinth when St Paul went there. It is of course equally clear that they were much at Ephesus. We should probably understand their movements better if we knew more about the occupation which Aquila and St Paul alike followed, that of *σκηνοποιοί*, probably rightly translated 'tent-makers'; most of what is found on the subject in ³ modern books being pure guess-work, with hardly any foundation of ancient evidence. It is likely enough that St Paul's special interest in the Christian community at Rome, though hardly perhaps his knowledge of it, dates from his acquaintance with Aquila and Priscilla at Corinth. This was somewhere about six years before the writing of the Epistle to the Romans, and that interval would perhaps suffice to justify his language about having desired to visit them *ἀπὸ ἱκανῶν ἐτῶν* (a rather vague phrase, not so ^{Rom. xv.} strong as the *ἀπὸ πολλῶν ἐτῶν* which was easily sub- ²³stituted for it). There is nothing to shew that Aquila and Priscilla were in any sense the founders of the Roman Church: about that we know nothing: but

the position which they hold in the sixteenth chapter of our Epistle could hardly have been given them if their position in the Roman Church itself had not been a specially prominent one, even as it was in St Paul's own previous thoughts about the Roman Church.

In this connexion a suggestion made by Dean Plumptre in a paper on Aquila and Priscilla in his ingenious and interesting 'Biblical Studies' deserves special attention. It has often been noticed that the wife Prisca is named before the husband Aquila in four out of the six places where both are named: the fifth passage is no instance to the contrary, on account of the structure of the sentence: the only true exception is in the first Epistle to the Corinthians. It has been the fashion to suppose that Prisca was given this precedence on account of her higher zeal or devotion, of which however the Bible tells us nothing. Dr Plumptre suggests with much greater probability that she was a Roman lady, of higher rank than her husband, and that her position in Rome enabled her to render special services to the Church. On this point St Luke's testimony is simply neutral. He does not say that Priscilla was a Jewess, as is often assumed, or that she was of Pontus: these statements are made of Aquila alone, and then it is added that on his departure from Italy he was accompanied by his wife. Her name with St Paul (according to the true text) is always *Prisca*, with St Luke *Priscilla*:

Acts xviii.
18, 26.

Rom. xvi.

3.
2 Tim. iv.

19.
Acts xviii.

2.
1 Cor. xvi.

19.

both forms were doubtless in use. Dr Plumptre justly observes that Priscus was an illustrious and ancient Roman name; and it may be added that it was borne by many in the age of St Paul. Prisca may of course have been of servile or libertine origin, and derived her name from the household to which she belonged: but it may also have been her own family name. The supposition here made agrees with other known facts. There is good reason to believe that the *superstitio externa* for which "Pomponia Graecina insignis femina"¹ was accused about the time when the Epistle to the Romans was written was the Christian faith; and the same is true of the charge on which Domitian's cousins, Flavius Clemens and his wife Flavia Domitilla, were condemned².

Another coincidence corroborative of Dr Plumptre's suggestion seems to have escaped his notice. Within the last few years it has become clear that during the ages of persecution the Christians at Rome derived great help from immunities connected with cemeteries which they were practically able to use as their own, and that this free use of cemeteries chiefly came to them through the connexion of the cemeteries with important Roman families in which Christians had gained adherents.

¹ Tac. *Ann.* xiii. 32, cf. Lightfoot, *Philippians*, p. 21 (4th edition), *Clement*, i. p. 31 ff. (ed. 1890).

² Lightfoot, *Phil.* p. 21 ff. (4th edition). *Clement*, i. pp. 33 ff. (ed. 1890).

Thus one, which was called the *Coemeterium Domitillae*, has been shown with great probability to have belonged to this very Flavia Domitilla who was banished as a Christian¹. Now another cemetery, or (to use the popular word) 'catacomb', bearing marks that, in the opinion of the best judges, shew it to be one of the most ancient of all, probably dating from the first century², was known from a very early time as the *Coemeterium Priscillae*. The Roman traditions contain no reference to the name as belonging to the cemetery: but it seems likely enough that it came from the wife of Aquila. One tradition of no authority in itself, makes the cemetery to have belonged to Pudens³, named in the second Epistle to Timothy; and another makes Priscilla to be the mother of Pudens⁴. Thus indirectly tradition, *valeat quantum*, affords some confirmation of a supposition which has been suggested by other considerations.

If such was the social position of Prisca or Priscilla, fresh light is thrown thereby on the prominence given to both her and her husband in the sixteenth chapter of this Epistle, and on their special fitness for being the chief connecting link

¹ Lightfoot, *Clement*, i. p. 35 ff. (1890).

² Kraus, *Roma Sott.* 71 f., 384 f., 540 (2nd edition, 1879); *Real-Encycl.* ii. 108 b.

³ Kraus, *R.E.* l.c., cf. *R. Sott.* 71.

⁴ Kraus, *R. Sott.* 549.

between St Paul and the Roman Church before he visited Rome himself.

Next, these relations between St Paul and Aquila and Priscilla have an important bearing on the much debated question as to the nature of the Christianity which prevailed among the Roman Christians. But first we must look back a little. If the new faith was carried direct to Rome at a very early time, say before the preaching of St Stephen, it would naturally bear the stamp of Palestine and be marked by the limitations of a state of things in which the transitory nature of Judaism was not yet clearly recognised. If however some time had passed before the Gospel reached Rome, or if it arrived there not direct from Palestine but through some intermediate channel, Jewish characteristics are likely to have been, at least, less strongly impressed upon it: such would be the natural result alike of the general influence of the Jews of the Dispersion, and of the Hellenistic movement at Jerusalem itself which we associate with the name of St Stephen. Nay even if the earliest Roman Christianity was of a strictly Judaic type, there was no reason why it should not in due time be modified by the influence of the progress which was going on in the East, provided that the communications with the Christians of the East were continued or renewed: we have no right to call it unnatural either that the old characteristics should be stiffly maintained, or that they should gradually yield to new influences. Again, a third

state of things took its beginning when St Paul went forth from Antioch to preach the Gospel to the heathen. From this time forward the labours of St Paul himself and his associates, first in Asia Minor and then in Macedonia and Greece, must have started many little waves, as it were, of Christian movement, some of which could hardly fail to reach as far as Rome. The Christianity they carried would as a matter of course be the Christianity of St Paul himself, so far as it was understood by the bearers of it : and, as in the former supposed case, if it found at Rome a pre-existing Christianity of more Jewish type, the old might either pass into the new or remain unchanged. There was no necessity or likelihood that any violent antagonism should arise between them, unless a fresh element should be introduced in the shape of Jewish emissaries deliberately sent from the East to counterwork St Paul. Such would certainly be a possible contingency : but what evidence we have is not favourable to it. The words spoken to St Paul by the Jews at Rome in the last chapter of the Acts, the genuineness of which I cannot see any sufficient reason to doubt, render it virtually incredible that only a few years before attempts had been made at Rome to oppose St Paul and his Gospel in the Jewish interest.

Actsxxviii.
21, 22.

But at this point his relations with Aquila and Prisca come in with special force. Their close association with St Paul would of itself have been

THE ROMAN CHURCH.

B.

INTERNAL EVIDENCE OF THE EPISTLE.

WE must now give a little attention to the evidence, as to the character of the Roman Church, which the Epistle itself contains, partly in its language, partly in such inferences as we may be able to draw from peculiarities and limitations in the subjects which it treats and the arguments which it uses. Critical discussion of the problem has run through a curious history, into the minute details of which however it would take us too long to enter. The old view, suggested by certain conspicuous phrases, was that the Epistle was addressed to heathen converts. Nearly half a century ago a complete change was brought about by one of the most brilliant and most perverse of critics, Ferdinand Baur. He rendered a great service to the criticism of this as of other books of the New Testament by insisting strongly on the need of reading it in connexion with the movements and controversies of the age in which it was written : but unfortunately his own view of the Apostolic Age was full of exaggeration and distortion ; and thus the

Ephesus or elsewhere at a distance, must, we may be sure, have been such as St Paul would have approved. This does not exclude the possibility that older and cruder forms of the faith still survived at Rome: it does exclude the supposition that the Epistle was intended to introduce a new doctrine hitherto strange to the Roman Christians.

This is all, I believe, that can be safely laid down respecting the probable or possible conditions under which the Church of Rome was founded, and under which it had lived up to the time when St Paul's Epistle was written. As regards the nature of Roman Christianity at that time, looking for the moment exclusively at these probabilities as to the origin and history of the Roman Church, and at the relations in which Prisca and Aquila stood to St Paul on the one hand and to the Roman Church on the other, we first find reason to believe, that Pauline Christianity had at least a firm footing there and not, apparently, on hostile terms; and next, it is probable, rather on general grounds than on definite historical evidence, that Jewish types of Christianity, one or more, had likewise their representatives.

THE ROMAN CHURCH.

B.

INTERNAL EVIDENCE OF THE EPISTLE.

WE must now give a little attention to the evidence, as to the character of the Roman Church, which the Epistle itself contains, partly in its language, partly in such inferences as we may be able to draw from peculiarities and limitations in the subjects which it treats and the arguments which it uses. Critical discussion of the problem has run through a curious history, into the minute details of which however it would take us too long to enter. The old view, suggested by certain conspicuous phrases, was that the Epistle was addressed to heathen converts. Nearly half a century ago a complete change was brought about by one of the most brilliant and most perverse of critics, Ferdinand Baur. He rendered a great service to the criticism of this as of other books of the New Testament by insisting strongly on the need of reading it in connexion with the movements and controversies of the age in which it was written : but unfortunately his own view of the Apostolic Age was full of exaggeration and distortion ; and thus the

misreading of history produced a misreading of literature, which for the moment undid the salutary effects of reading history and literature together. Hence the Roman Church addressed in the Epistle was declared to be a Church of Jewish Christians. This paradox was for many years accepted by leading critics of very different schools, though sometimes with more or less modification and dilution. Ten years ago however an essay by another great critic, Weizsäcker¹, caused an important reaction. The error introduced through an appeal to external history was corrected through an appeal to a better understanding of external history. It was urged that there was no ground for assuming, as practically was done, that all Christians of that date were members either of a definitely Pauline party, or of a definitely Judaizing party hostile to St Paul and his doctrines. It was more reasonable to suppose that multitudes of Christians occupied a virtually neutral ground, neither following the stricter precepts of the Jewish Law, nor making it a matter of principle to treat the Law as no longer binding. The existence of large bodies of Christians of such a type was a natural consequence of the fact that the communities of Jews and strict proselytes were surrounded by large numbers of what we may call semi-proselytes, men whose faith was the Jewish faith, but who adopted Jewish observances to a limited extent only.

During the last ten years this idea of the Roman

¹ [*Fahrbuch für deutsche Theologie*, 1876, p. 248 f.]

Church as largely of Gentile origin has been constantly gaining ground. It is now agreed virtually on all hands that it cannot have been either exclusively Jewish or exclusively Gentile. The differences of opinion which still exist are chiefly as to the proportion borne by the one element to the other, and as to the nature of the relations between the two elements presumed to have given rise to St Paul's arguments directed against the permanence of Judaism.

It has been said that the language of the Epistle to the Romans presupposes Gentile readers, and its substance Jewish readers. The meaning of this exaggerative paradox is that St Paul repeatedly uses the term ἔθνη as, apparently, applicable to his readers, while a large part of his argument is intended to convince men disposed to believe that the Jewish Law was meant to be permanently binding. It is worth while to glance at some of the passages which contain the former class of evidence: the arguments which form the other class are too obvious to need pointing out. In the opening salutation, St Paul speaks of having received grace and apostleship *ἐν πᾶσι τοῖς ἔθνεσιν*, 'among whom are ye also, called of Jesus Christ.' Here the interpretation of ἔθνεσιν as a geographical, not a religious term, i.e. as meaning nations, including the Jewish people, not nations as opposed to it, makes *ἐν οἷς ἐστε καὶ ὑμεῖς* a peculiarly bald and flat mode of expression: yet this is the only way to escape the inference that the men addressed were

Gentiles. So also in *v.* 13 of the same chapter, 'that I may have some fruit in you also as also ἐν τοῖς λοιποῖς ἔθνεσιν', the Romans are as distinctly called ἔθνη, and the phrase was an unnatural one to use without the special force that it would have for him as ἐθνῶν ἀπόστολος. This last phrase, ἐθνῶν ἀπόστολος, about the meaning of which there is no room for doubt, occurs in another of the passages which have a bearing on the present question, *xi.* 13. After a passage on the rejection of unbelieving Israel, and on God's ultimate purpose involved in it, St Paul turns swiftly round, ὑμῖν δὲ λέγω τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. Here the case is not so clear. As a matter of Greek, I cannot see that there is any difficulty in taking ὑμῖν either as covering the whole Roman Church, in which case no doubt they are as before identified as a body with Gentiles, or as indicating a part of the Roman Church, contradistinguished from a Jewish part, supposed to have been addressed by him just before. The allegation that in this latter case we must have had τοῖς δὲ ἐν ὑμῖν ἔθνεσιν is certainly unfounded. In any case the presence of at least a Gentile element is implied in the words. But though the Greek is ambiguous, the context seems to me to be decisive for taking ὑμῖν as the Church itself, and not as a part of it. In all the long previous exposition bearing on the Jews, occupying nearly two and a half chapters, the Jews are invariably spoken of in the third person. In the half-chapter that follows, the Gentiles are constantly spoken of in the second

person. Exposition has here passed into exhortation and warning, and the warning is exclusively addressed to Gentiles: to Christians who had once been Jews not a word is addressed. Lastly we come to a very important and difficult passage near the end of the Epistle, xv. 14—21. We must not pause over its details, but merely notice that St Paul in v. 15, 16 justifies his boldness in writing thus to the Romans by appealing to the grace which had been given him from God that he might be a minister of Christ Jesus unto the Gentiles (*εἰς τὰ ἔθνη*).

These are the chief passages which point to the Roman Christians as Gentiles. We must now glance at one or two passages which have been supposed to lead to the opposite conclusion. In iv. 1, Abraham is called 'our forefather [after the flesh]', and it has been urged that this was true only of Jews. Certainly, if the passage be taken by itself, this would be the most obvious interpretation, provided that *κατὰ σάρκα* must be taken with *τὸν προπατόρα ἡμῶν*; though something might also be said for Weiss's interpretation¹, that in *ἡμῶν* St Paul has in view himself and his own countrymen and not the Romans. But the context shews that whether *εὐρηκέναι* is genuine or not, *κατὰ σάρκα* belongs not to the preceding words but to *τί οὖν ἐροῦμεν [εὐρηκέναι]*, being thrown to the end for emphasis: and if so, Abraham might as well be called the forefather of both Jews and Gentiles (which is

¹ Weiss, *Einleitung in das N. T.* p. 230 n. (ed. 1889).

what the preceding verses suggest) as 'the father of all that believe yet being uncircumcised' (v. 11) or 'the father of us all' i.e. both Jews and Gentiles (v. 16). This assignation to Gentiles of a Jewish ancestry in the spirit is really less strange than the similar language in which St Paul in writing to the
 1 Cor. x. 1. Greeks of Corinth calls the whole Jewish people "our fathers" ("all were under the cloud" &c.).

Another passage, to which appeal has often been made, is still more worthy of attention. It is the argument in vii. 1—6 about the limitation of the authority of a law over a man to his lifetime. The phrases which specially are in question are in vv. 1 and 4. The argument begins 'Or know ye not, brethren,' *γινώσκουσιν γὰρ νόμον λαλῶ κ.τ.λ.* Here the supposed reference to Jewish readers rests exclusively on the assumption that *νόμος* and *ὁ νόμος* are identical in this Epistle. That is too large a question to argue now: it is enough to say that the supposed identity makes sad havoc of St Paul's sense in many places, though no doubt in the case of this word, as of all Greek substantives, the uses both with and without the article are various, and by no means to be reduced to a single absolute sense. Here it is by no means likely that St Paul has the Jewish law in view, what he says in the immediate context being equally applicable to the Roman law, with which he naturally assumes the Romans to have acquaintance in so simple a matter. But even, if the Jewish Law were

meant, all Christians must be presumed to have an amount of knowledge of it sufficient for St Paul's purpose. The evidence of *v.* 4 is quite different and *primâ facie* stronger. "So that, my brethren, ye also were made dead to the law through the body of the Christ, that ye might be joined to another, even to Him that was raised from the dead, that we might bear fruit to God." Here "the law" unquestionably means the Jewish Law, and so there is an apparent implication that the persons addressed had previous to their conversion been bound by the Jewish Law; and this, it is natural to say, could be true only of Jews. Natural, but not correct. According to St Paul's conception the Jewish Law was God's law for all men, not for Jews only, previous to Christ's coming, just as the Judaizers treated it as binding on all men still. Language closely parallel to what we find here is used by St Paul in writing to the Galatians, who certainly had not previously been Jews. See Gal. iii. 13—iv. 7, where St Paul speaks of himself and the Galatians alike, in words that we might have supposed applicable to Jews only. These passages therefore cannot on examination be held to yield a testimony at variance with that given by the passages in which the Romans are addressed as *ἔθνη*. The passages which appeal to the Old Testament as a common heritage of course prove nothing. The last thing that St Paul would ever have thought of saying of the Gospel was that it was a new religion. In his eyes,

as with all the Apostles, it was the old religion of Israel carried to perfection, not a new faith superseding it; and so the history and Scriptures of Israel remained the heritage of those who received the new Covenant.

The question however still remains, Is the language which identifies the Romans generally with Gentiles to be taken as exclusive of Jewish Christians? It is hard to think so when we read such chapters as the second and the fourth. Directly addressed to Jewish Christians they certainly are not: but they read as if among the recipients of the Epistle there were men to whom either it was already salutary, or at least it might easily become salutary, to have such words brought before them by way of antidote or prophylactic against ways of thinking which might have too great attraction for them.

A similar inference may be drawn from the remarkable language about the strong and the weak in the fourteenth chapter and the early verses of the fifteenth. Care is needed indeed not to exaggerate the force of the evidence; and the passage is worth while dwelling upon here for its own sake. Thus much is clear that the Roman Church included persons who had scruples against eating flesh-meat and drinking wine and who observed some special distinction of days (these are called "the weak"); and that on the other hand it included Christians who, like St Paul himself, did not share these scruples (these are called the "strong"); and

moreover the whole tone suggests that they either formed the majority of the Church or were at least the most influential part of it. The first party were tempted to judge (*κρίνειν*, vv. 3, 10) the others, accusing them of following a low standard of conscience: the temptation of the second party was to "despise" (*ἐξουθενεῖν*, vv. 3, 10) the others, holding them to be poor superstitious creatures. As regards this moral question of mutual demeanour St Paul holds the balance perfectly even, without in the least concealing with which party he had most personal sympathy. But throughout he does not by a single word hint at the Law, or any kind of tradition, or any kind of authority, or anything affecting the relation of Jew to Gentile, as being concerned in the matter. He treats the matter exclusively from the point of view of individual conscience and faith on the one hand, and love, peace, and mutual building up on the other. Further the nature of the scruples creates a difficulty in referring the division here spoken of simply to a division of Jewish and Gentile Christians. Whatever may be said of the difference of days, abstinence from flesh and from wine was not taught either by the Old Testament or by the ordinary Jewish tradition. Of course abstinence from the flesh and the wine of heathen sacrifices *was* taught: but that cannot be meant here: otherwise we should at least have some hint of the principles laid down in the first Epistle to the Corinthians. The true origin of these abstinences must remain some-

what uncertain: but much the most probable suggestion is that they came from an Essene element in the Roman Church, such as afterwards infected the Colossian Church¹; and an Essene element implies Judaism, though not of the strict Pharisaic type. Thus on the whole this passage suggests that the Roman Church must have contained at least some Jewish members.

This conclusion is strengthened by the verses which
 xv. 7—12. follow. They begin with words evidently addressed to both parties alike, inculcating mutual forbearance and cordiality, *προσλαμβάνεσθε ἀλλήλους*, appealing to Christ's similar reception of ἡμᾶς², i.e. probably both Jews and Gentiles: St Paul then goes on λέγω γάρ³,
 cf. iii. 9, 22—30. thereby making a close connexion with what precedes; and the statement so introduced is that Christ became a minister of circumcision on behalf of God's truth (i.e. in order to give effect to God's counsels as declared through the prophets, God's truth being His faithfulness in performing what He had spoken); and this vindication of God's truth St Paul sets forth under two heads, (1) for the confirming of the promises made to and concerning the fathers, and (2) for occasion being given to the Gentiles to give glory to God for

¹ Cf. Col. ii. 16, *Μὴ οὖν τις ὑμᾶς κρινέτω ἐν βρώσει καὶ ἐν πόσει ἢ ἐν μέρει ἑορτῆς ἢ νεομηνίας ἢ σαββάτων.*

² According to the more probable reading: the other reading *ὑμᾶς*, if genuine, would probably refer to the admission of the Gentiles only; but though well attested (as *ἡμᾶς* also is) it seems to be a natural assimilation of person to the imperative *προσλαμβάνεσθε*.

³ Not δέ, as the inferior authorities have it.

mercy shown them ; and then he adds four quotations from the Psalms and Prophets all of which speak of the nations or Gentiles as joining in acts of faith or praise, and two of which expressly associate Gentiles with Israel ("with His people," "the root of Jesse"). Now if *vv.* 8—12 were detached from what precedes, this significant coupling of Jews and Gentiles, as having each a distinctive share in the blessings brought by Christ, would be sufficiently explained by the general purpose of the Epistle, to which we shall come presently. But seeing that they are connected by that *γάρ* with *v.* 7, and so with the whole preceding section beginning at *xiv.* 1, one can hardly doubt that the relations of Jew and Gentile were directly or indirectly involved in the relations of the weak and the strong in the Roman Church. Joint acceptance by the revealed Messiah, accompanied by recognition of diversity, would naturally be set forth by St Paul as a Divine command of *mutual* acceptance in spite of diversity.

On the strength of these indications it is reasonable to conclude that the Church of Rome at this time included Jewish as well as Gentile converts. This is also what might have been anticipated from the historical probabilities or possibilities as to the origin and history of the Church. That is, although it is possible that the first foundation of the Church of Rome was due to Gentile Christians influenced by St Paul's own preaching, this supposition would throw

the foundation to an improbably late date ; and it is more likely that it took place in the middle period between St Stephen's preaching and St Paul's (so-called) First Missionary Journey, if not yet earlier in the first period. In either of these two cases the first converts would doubtless be chiefly if not wholly Jews, and this element of the Church would continue by the side of the later contingent furnished by heathen converts. As regards the question as to the numerical proportion of the two elements to each other, there are no trustworthy data for giving an answer ; nor is the question of any real importance, so long as it is taken for granted that both elements were considerable. St Paul, as we have seen, addresses the Church collectively as of heathen origin ; but the force of this fact is more positive than negative. He could not have done so had there been a lack of Gentile converts, but neither would he, as far as we can judge, refrain from doing so merely because there were many Jewish converts likewise : his thoughts were fixed more on the Church as a whole, occupying the centre of civilised heathendom, than on such details as a census would have supplied.

Account must also be taken of the probability that many of the converts to the Gospel had previously been converts to Judaism ; that is, in a word, had been proselytes, whether of the stricter or the laxer sort. This probable fact will not suffice by itself to solve the problem of the Epistle to the Romans, as

one eminent critic, Beyschlag¹, has tried to make it do: but it is an important contribution towards understanding the state of things. Obviously the presence of a number of Christians who had belonged both to heathenism and to Judaism would form a connecting link between Christians who had belonged to heathenism alone and Christians who had belonged to Judaism alone, thus hindering the formation of sharp boundary lines and of tendencies towards antagonism. This would especially be the case with those who had belonged to the less strict class of proselytes, and who therefore even before their acceptance of the Gospel had held a position intermediate between Judaism and a devout and purified form of heathenism.

Thus far we have been chiefly considering the question of the previous creed or creeds of the Roman converts. The question of their relation to the great contemporaneous controversy within the Church at large, though not identical with this, is in great measure answered along with it. If the relations between the heathen and the Jewish converts at Rome were such as we have been supposing, it is very unlikely that the Jewish converts were to any great extent Judaizing Christians in the noxious sense of the word. It is an important fact, often overlooked even by great commentators, that Judaizing Christianity as such is hardly at all directly attacked in the Epistle to the Romans, which thus stands in marked

¹ *Theol. Studien und Kritiken*, 1867, p. 627 f.

contrast to the Epistle to the Galatians. Indirectly much that St Paul here says has the gravest bearing on that controversy: but he gives such matter the most impersonal form that he can.

Are we then on the other hand to say that the Church of Rome substantially took St Paul's side against the Judaizers? As far as I see, this would be saying too much. One passage is often cited as at least shewing that the Romans had definitely committed themselves to a distinctive Pauline Christianity, vi. 17, where he says "But thanks be to God, that whereas ye were bondservants of sin, ὑπηκούσατε δὲ ἐκ καρδίας εἰς ὃν παρεδόθητε τύπον διδαχῆς," it being assumed that there is a reference here to a distinctive Pauline *τύπος διδαχῆς*, contrasted with one or more other *τύποι διδαχῆς*. Without discussing the details of this difficult and peculiar phrase of nine words, it is enough to say that nothing like this notion of a plurality of Christian *τύποι διδαχῆς* occurs anywhere else in the New Testament, and further that it is quite out of harmony with all the context. In St Paul

Rom. v. 14. *τύπος* always means either an image of something
 1 Cor. x. 6. future or else a personal pattern to be imitated; and so,
 Phil. iii. in accordance with this second sense, the meaning here
 17. is "the personal standard of Christian living" (*διδαχῆς*
 1 Thess. i. having rather a moral and religious than a doctrinal
 7. force) as opposed to heathen modes of life¹. Hence
 2 Thess. iii. 9.
 1 Tim. iv. 12.
 Tit. ii. 7.

¹ Cf. Eph. iv. 20—24, where ἐμάθετε and ἐδιδάχθητε answer to διδαχῆς here.

the passage has nothing to do with one form of Christianity as distinguished from another. The facts already noticed about Prisca and Aquila leave little doubt that Pauline Christianity had at least some conscious and zealous adherents at Rome, and was not an object of suspicion there: but both the probable historical antecedents and the general tenour of the Epistle suggest rather that the Roman Church presented a favourable soil for the reception of St Paul's Gospel, doubtless combined with personal good-will to himself, than that it was as a body in such a sense definitely Pauline that the teaching of the Epistle would have been in the main a mere recalling to mind of what was already known and believed.

II.

THE PURPOSE OF THE EPISTLE.

A.

EXTERNAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

WE come now, after these preliminaries, to the question what was St Paul's purpose in writing the Epistle. We have considered what can be known or reasonably surmised respecting the state of the Church to which he wrote it, and we may be sure that it was intended to bear very directly on what he knew of the Roman needs at that time. But it is difficult to believe that this single Italian Church alone was in his mind. Various indications suggest that the Epistle was partly prompted by thoughts about the Churches of all lands, and also that it was connected with a peculiar crisis in his own personal life. It will therefore be well to leave Rome for the present, and try to see what light is thrown on the purpose of the Epistle by any particulars in the life and work of the writer, which we must remember were at this time, humanly speaking, the greatest moving power in the enlargement and building up of the Universal Church.

The first great extension of the preaching of the

Gospel beyond the Holy Land to the capital of Syria, Antioch, took place without St Paul. It was due in the first instance to the sporadic teaching of unofficial converts, just as we have seen to have been the case with the foundation of the Church of Rome. The Church at Jerusalem however sent down Barnabas to Antioch and he in turn went to Tarsus and fetched St Paul to Antioch, where they remained and taught.

Acts xi.
19—21.

Acts xi.
22—26.

The next step in the spread of the Gospel is what is called St Paul's First Missionary Journey, described in the thirteenth and fourteenth chapters of the Acts. But there is a prelude to this journey which must not be overlooked. We read of Barnabas and Paul being deputed by the disciples at Antioch to carry relief to the brethren at Jerusalem who were suffering from the great famine. By this act the new Syrian Church gave practical acknowledgement of obligations to the original Church of Jerusalem, and St Paul himself was brought afresh into personal friendly relations with the original apostles. After the return to Antioch Barnabas and Paul are sent out by the Church of Antioch in obedience to a prophetic monition, and so the first deliberate official mission begins. The range covered by it is not great. It begins with Cyprus, then proceeds to the neighbouring coastland of Pamphylia on the north-west, and then to the adjoining districts of Pisidia and Lycaonia in the interior. The preaching is accompanied by much resistance and opposition on the part of the Jews. The return is made

Acts xi.
27—30,
xii. 25.

through Pamphylia by sea to Antioch, where the two envoys give an account of their mission.

As the First, so also the Second of St Paul's known Missionary journeys is preceded by a visit to Jerusalem. This visit to Jerusalem is a very memorable one. Paul and Barnabas were deputed by the Church of Antioch to confer with the apostles and elders about the question that had arisen owing to the declaration made by certain men coming from Judea that circumcision was indispensable. How grave the crisis was we can see from St Paul's own account, for there can be no reasonable doubt that the occasion to which he refers is that which is mentioned here by St Luke¹. Both accounts conspicuously agree as to the cardinal fact that St Peter and St James cordially supported St Paul and recognised his special work. The ratification thus obtained for the Gentile Gospel gave a safe basis for further work among the Gentiles without estrangement from the mother Church of Jerusalem.

Then came what is called St Paul's Second Missionary Journey. It begins with labours in confirmation of the results obtained on the former occasion, as well as of the nearer conquests in Syria and Cilicia. Then St Paul penetrates inner Asia Minor, makes his way to the north-west, crosses over to Macedonia in obedience to a vision, thus entering

¹ On this question, and on the difficulties which have quite naturally been felt as to the apparent differences of the two narratives see Lightfoot, *Galatians* pp. 123—128 (ed. 5).

Europe by divine ordinance, not of his own will; goes to Philippi and Thessalonica, and works his way down to Athens and Corinth, where he stays one and a half years. This Missionary Journey is then, in like manner as the former, followed by a return to Jerusalem, in spite of a request from the Ephesian Church that he would stay there some time. From Palestine he returns by Antioch and Central Asia Minor till he reaches Ephesus, where he stays two years. Ephesus thus becomes his base of operations, as Antioch had formerly been. Acts xviii.
20—22.

Now we reach the third set of labours. After this long and successful stay at Ephesus St Paul sets out afresh with three objects in view. His immediate object was the confirmation of the recently founded Churches of Europe in Macedonia and Achaia. His ultimate object was a visit to Rome. He did not however propose, as we might have expected, having once started westward, to go on further west to Italy. Between the two westward journeys to Greece and to Rome he intended to interpose a long eastward journey to Jerusalem. The words are worth notice: "Now after these things were ended Paul purposed in the spirit (a curiously emphatic phrase), when he had passed through Macedonia and Achaia, to go to Jerusalem, saying, After I have been there, I must also see Rome." Each of these three purposes St Paul was, as we know, enabled to carry out, and in the proposed order: but the details were very different Acts xix.
10.

from what he had evidently anticipated. The story of this journeying fills all the book of the Acts from c. xix. 21 onwards. After a little further delay at Ephesus he reached Macedonia and Greece, where he stayed three months, and during this stay he wrote the Epistle to the Romans. But in order to understand the position of things it is well to recall some leading facts in the events that followed. As St Paul was on the point of sailing direct from Greece to Syria, to go to Jerusalem, he heard of a Jewish plot against him, probably intended to be executed on board ship. He suddenly changed his direction, and went northward round the head of the Aegean. He refused to submit to the delay which would have been involved in visiting Ephesus, but addressed the Ephesian elders at Miletus. He then sailed to Syria, and went up to Jerusalem disregarding the warning prophecies of the brethren at Tyre and of Agabus. Once more he was welcomed by the Church of Jerusalem, and had friendly intercourse with St James, the head of the Christians of the circumcision. At his request he consented to perform a ceremonial act which would shew that he had not in his own person broken loose from the law under which he had been born, in the hope that such an act would have a soothing effect on the minds of uneasy Jewish Christians. Then came the Jewish attack upon him in the temple and his consequent captivity, with its various incidents at Jerusalem and Cæsarea, and finally his voyage as a Roman

prisoner to Rome, which he reaches only after shipwreck and consequent delay. Thus the three purposes expressed were all accomplished, though three years had passed before the final goal, Rome, was attained. At Rome, as all know, he spent at least two years; and from there he wrote what are called the Epistles of the Captivity. With subsequent events or subsequent writings we have no special concern in relation to the Epistle to the Romans.

Now let us consider a little what line of conduct, what policy as it were, is implied in the leading acts of St Paul, as interpreted by his own words. On the one hand we have the obvious and familiar idea of him as the Apostle of the Gentiles. In his own person he is indefatigable in preaching the Gospel to the Gentiles, and in paying later visits to establish and confirm the Gentile Churches so founded. He is also the champion of the Gentile Churches, the zealous prophet of their calling by God, the defender of their liberties against the claim set up on behalf of the Jewish Law as binding on all who would be recognised as worshippers of the one true God, the God of Abraham. This, I say, is obvious. But what is no less important, and not so obvious, is his sleepless anxiety to keep the Gentile and Jewish Christians in harmony and fellowship with each other, and himself to act in concert with the original apostles, never for a moment allowing that they had any authority over his faith or his actions, but shewing

them every consideration, and doing his best to gain their approval for his own course.

It would have been easy, as it must have been at times most tempting, to sever sharply the hampering links which bound him to the Churches of Judea, and to form the new Gentile Churches into a great separate organisation. But this was just what he was most anxious to prevent. He could see how great the danger was that such a result might be brought about by the force of circumstances; and so he set himself with all his might to counteract the tendency. This was doubtless the primary motive—there may of course have been lesser temporary reasons in each case—which made him visit Jerusalem before each of his great missionary journeys. He would not suffer long absence to cause any coldness to spring up between himself and the authorities of the mother city, as though he had become only a stranger at a distance. Before each fresh outward start he made a point of knitting afresh the old bonds of fellowship and each time anew exhibiting in outward act the principle laid down by Christ Himself, “preaching unto all the nations, beginning at Jerusalem.”

Luke xxiv.
47.

One special embodiment and symbol of this reconciling purpose on St Paul's part is the collection on behalf of the Jewish Christians of Palestine, the “saints” as he calls them, which has a considerable place in the Epistles of the second group, those to the Gala-

tians, Corinthians and Romans. We have not time to go into details of language on this subject. But the main points are clear, if looked at steadily. Three main elements can be distinguished in the thoughts to which St Paul gives expression on this subject. He was anxious that the various Gentile Churches should feel sympathy for their Jewish brethren, and make sacrifices to shew practical Christian fellowship towards them. He was anxious, secondly, that the Jewish Christians should accept the offering with brotherly cordiality and be led by it towards a warmer and less grudging sympathy with the Gentile Churches who dispensed with observances so dear to many of themselves. Thirdly, he was anxious to be in his own person the living organ both for the offering of the Gentile gifts and for the Jewish acceptance of them. For this purpose this last journey to Jerusalem was absolutely necessary. Its purpose was the gathering up and crowning of the purposes of former visits. If only he could accomplish it successfully, he felt that the most effectual of all possible steps would have been taken towards securing the threatened unity of the Jewish and the Gentile Churches. He would then be able with full peace of mind to return to the far West and carry the Gospel across the Mediterranean to the as yet untouched shores of Spain. On the way he would be able with full propriety to pay his long desired visit to Rome itself, the centre of the Empire

Cf. Luke
ii. 1.

Rom. xv.
29.

which embraced Jew and Gentile alike, the place which more than any other by political position represented the universality which he was struggling to secure for the Church. "I know," he wrote, "that in coming to you" (i.e. as the context shews, in coming to you *after* accomplishing this purpose at Jerusalem) "I shall come in the fulness of the blessing of Christ."

1 Th. ii.
15 f.

But this glowing anticipation was blended with anxious misgivings. St Paul had to contend not only with the perversity and narrowmindedness of Jewish Christians, but also with the sanguinary malignity of unbelieving Jews. Just now it seemed as if they were bent on justifying more and more the tremendous language in which he had denounced them long ago. The plot, which, just after this Epistle was written, compelled St Paul to abandon his direct voyage to Syria and take a circuitous route, illustrates the danger which constantly beset him from this source. But in Jerusalem the danger would be greater still: there would be the very focus of hostility, and his enemies could there safely count on a large number of sympathizers among the population. To all this St Paul was not blind, though he resolutely adhered to his purpose of carrying the Gentile offering to the poor brethren of Judea. His keen sense of the danger breaks through various phrases of those seemingly tranquil and almost commonplace verses xv. 22—33. Hitherto,

he says, he has been hindered from coming to the Romans, but "now having no longer place in these regions," and so on, with language evidently leading up to a proposal to visit them now: yet he has to break off; and says *not* that he is going to *them*, but that he is going to Jerusalem. Then, later, he completes the account of what he hoped to do, and having so said breaks off afresh in an earnest entreaty to them to join him in an intense energy of prayer, (wrestling, as it were, *συναγωνίσασθαι*) that he may be delivered *ἀπὸ τῶν ἀπειθούντων ἐν τῇ Ἰουδαίᾳ*, and that his ministration to Jerusalem may be acceptable to the saints, that he may come to the Romans in joy by an act of God's will, and find rest with them (*συναναπαυσώμηναι* opposed to the *συναγωνίσασθαι*); rest after the personal danger and after the ecclesiastical crisis of which the personal danger formed a part. We cannot here mistake the twofold thoughts of the apostle's mind. He is full of eager anticipation of visiting Rome with the full blessing of the accomplishment of that peculiar ministration. But he is no less full of misgivings as to the probability of escaping with his life. He was utterly free from the mere passion of martyrdom, which in after times overmastered many of less apostolic spirit. His life is full of instances which shew how he held it to be his duty in ordinary cases to use all lawful means for escaping from imminent dangers. But he prepared for this journey with the solemnity of a

Acts xxi.
13.

sacrifice. It was no mere vague general readiness to suffer death that he professed at Cæsarea when he rebuked the friends who remonstrated with him for persevering in spite of the warning by the mouth of Agabus, "What do ye, weeping and breaking my heart (or rather enfeebling, distracting it), for I am ready (*ἐτοιμὸς ἔχω*), not merely 'willing,' but already long ago prepared for it, not to be bound only but to die at Jerusalem for the name of the Lord Jesus." This expectation, balanced though it be by the hope that it was part of God's providence for him and his work that he should see Rome, is a measure of the height of importance which he attached to this mission to Jerusalem.

Acts xx.
17—38.

If such was the attitude of his mind towards the future when he was setting out, it was impossible that it should not exercise a powerful influence over the whole writing of an epistle sent forth about this time, and not merely over the few lines in which he directly refers to his own plans. Its words could hardly fail to have something of the character of last words. An interesting confirmation of this is afforded by the only other words of any length of which we have a record as spoken or written by him from this time till his arrival at Jerusalem, namely the address to the Ephesian elders at Miletus. Being spoken to the representatives of a Church in the midst of which he had lived and taught so long, it naturally differs much in character from an epistle written to a

Church as yet unseen. But the underlying motive of the whole is the feeling that, according to what he then supposed, the men of Ephesus were destined, as he says, to see his face no more.

The parallelism is not however complete. It is quite possible that by the time St Paul reached Miletus in his journey round the Ægean, his sense of impending danger had become even stronger than it had been a little before he left Corinth: the plot which made him change his course might itself well have that effect, and there may have been other incidents and other tidings unknown to us which would tend in the same direction. But at all events it was impossible that a mere revisiting of Ephesus should stand out before St Paul's mind with the same vivid reality of idea, so to speak, as a first apostolic visit to Rome. Whatever the intervening dangers might be, that imagined arrival at Rome would seem to gain substance from the fitness with which it would crown a Divine order of events. While therefore, as I said just now, the Epistle to the Romans as a whole may be expected to have something of the character of last words, it would not be surprising to find it leaving a space, as it were, for future teaching on other topics, to be built as a superstructure on this foundation. Such an apparent contradiction would in fact be the natural fruit of the contradiction (if one may so call it for want of a better word) in the apostle's own mind, a contradiction due not to any

confusion of mind, but rather to his combination of the strongest faith in God's providence with the keenest sense of the mysteriousness of its wisdom, and the unexpectedness of the ways by which it often arrives at its ends. It is no paradox to say that he was too true a prophet of God to be able to predict his own future.

The length and elaboration of the Epistle may I think be best explained by the sense, that it might probably be the writer's last words to the Romans. If he really expected, as he seems to have done, to be back in the West and at Rome in a few months, if only he escaped death at Jerusalem, there was little apparent need for more than a few lines to explain his plans, unless he had grave reason to fear that it might be his last opportunity for speaking to the Romans in full measure. The sense of the danger on the other hand, was just what would make him desirous to ensure the full conveyance of his thoughts on these matters to Rome, doubtless not without a prospect that in due course the record of them would be sent on to other Churches. A final and orderly review of the subjects discussed would constitute just such a legacy of peace, as it was important to bequeath to all the Churches, if the apostle's own guiding hand were to be withdrawn by death.

Much of the Epistle may be called a summing up of a long and fierce controversy : but it is a summing

up in which the inevitable limitations and antagonisms of mere controversy have disappeared. With the exception of one remarkable passage towards the end, which we shall have to notice again, ^{Rom. xvi. 17—20.} there is no reference to opponents throughout. The matter of controversy is dealt with by way of peaceful discussion, going down into the fundamental principles which underlie it. Whether the breadth of treatment apparent here was but the expression of what had all along been St Paul's own mental state, or he had himself risen to serener vision as years went by, we cannot tell: what is clear is that the serener vision is here, and that it shews itself near the end of a long period of conflict. This character of the Epistle, however independent it may seem of any local circumstances and needs, would, as far as we can tell, be appropriate to its Roman destination. There was no need that St Paul should simply fight his old battles over again for the sake of the Romans if they were as yet comparatively untroubled by the controversy. On the other hand he could supply them with no more effectual or less questionable safeguard against future Judaistic invasion, than this temperate and orderly and yet most warm and vivid exposition of principles.

The controversy about law and faith is however but a part of the great subject of the relation of Jew to Gentile, and this, quite as much as that controversy, may be called the subject of the Epistle to the

Romans. Here the doctrinal or universal and the historical or personal elements of the Epistle meet. The carrying of the Gentile offering to Jerusalem to be followed, if successful, by the visit to Rome, is the practical expression of the leading thought of the Epistle, the comprehension of Israel and the nations alike, but in due order, in the final commonwealth of God. And here too there was a correspondence between the purport of the Epistle and its destination. In Rome, the centre of the universal empire, it was easier to realise the new Christian universality than any where else on earth. Nor must we forget that in thus writing to others St Paul was but giving expression to what he felt respecting himself. It must always be remembered that he was himself a Roman citizen, glorying in his Roman citizenship, and sharing Roman ideas. He united indeed all the three principal factors of the civilised humanity of his day, answering to the three languages on the Cross. He was at once Jew, Greek, and Roman; and this personal universality was, if we may venture to say so, essential to his unique office, of at once accomplishing and expounding the true universality of the Church.

The teaching of this Epistle undoubtedly held a very large place in St Paul's total creed, and it relates to what is at bottom, if not on the surface, an issue of deep and vital interest. But it does not follow that this Epistle includes all the important

part of St Paul's body of belief. If this were true¹, unless the later Epistles are unreal excrescences we should, as an important school teaches, have to account them spurious. The fact is, St Paul has two comparatively general Epistles, the Epistle to the Romans and the Epistle to the Ephesians, and the contrast between them illustrates both. Both are full of the especially Pauline Gospel that the Gentiles are fellow-heirs, but the one glances chiefly to the past, the other to the future. The unity at which the former Epistle seems to arrive by slow and painful steps, is assumed in the latter as a starting point with a vista of wondrous possibilities beyond. The Epistle to the Romans sketches out how the need of the Gospel arose. It dwells on the failures of the whole ancient world, Jewish and Gentile. In the main it is an exposition of the remedial aspect of the Gospel, that aspect in which it stands in relation to past efforts that had failed.

The Epistle probably further contains the substance of a spiritual autobiography. The Epistle to the Galatians, the most definitely special of all his Epistles to Churches, gives certain outward facts in relation to his apostleship. The second Epistle to the Corinthians unveils the inward conflicts of a peculiar time. But the Epistle to the Romans gives a retrospective experience. St Paul in it interprets

¹ From this point the treatment becomes more summary: the MS. is printed as it stands. Edd.

the failure of the old work, Jewish and Gentile, by his own sense of despair as a Jew and as a man. In this Epistle therefore he is not sitting down to teach the Romans what the Christian faith is, still less trying to put one theory of the Christian faith in place of another, a Pauline Christianity in place of somebody else's Christianity, but bringing into clear consciousness for Christians of the metropolis of the world their relation to all their spiritual forefathers, mainly however in the appropriate Roman province—righteousness, belonging to law and morality alike, or the legal aspect of morality, and so Christian duty as part of the new conception and power of righteousness.

Here we have another limitation and contrast. He is writing to Romans, not Greeks. To Greeks he wrote, partly in the first Epistle to the Corinthians partly in the Epistle to the Ephesians, of Christ as the Wisdom of God in relation to human wisdom and to the knowledge of all truth. But of this in the Epistle to the Romans there is next to nothing; not because St Paul did not care for it, or had not yet come to care for it, but because he was careful in his stewardship and gave each the fitting portion.

* * * * *

APPENDIX ON CHAPTER XVI.

THE structure of the sixteenth chapter is by no means obvious: and it may be well to say a few words about it, the more because the differences of text which occur in the latter part have increased the confusion, and led to various untenable theories as to the origin of the different portions of the chapter. These differences of text concern no mere ordinary variations, but the presence or absence or transference of whole verses or passages.

The prayer which forms the end of the fifteenth ^{xv. 33.} chapter, with its solemn ἀμήν, is evidently a special conclusion to the single glowing sentence, in which St ^{xv. 30—32.} Paul calls upon the Romans to associate themselves by prayer with his dangerous conflict, that its purposes may be fulfilled and that he may be allowed to come in joy and find rest with them. The force of ὁ δὲ θεὸς τῆς εἰρήνης would then seem to be, "But, whether I am preserved to come to you thus and so complete the mission of peace or not, I pray that the God of peace may be with all of you, so that the

blessing which I am seeking for the Church may at least descend on you from its heavenly Source."

There is no reason to suppose that the Epistle was ever meant to end with this prayer. The impassioned strain of the last few lines was in form a digression from the external matters of which St Paul had begun to speak in xv. 22—29. To those matters he now returns, and completes the unfinished information. The connexion is, "I have long been wanting to come to you, I hope to come to you on my way to Spain if I can bring my Judean mission to a happy close, but till then I cannot: meanwhile I would commend to you—i.e. as my representative, so to speak—Phœbe our sister, who is also a minister of the Church that is at Cenchreæ." There can be no moral doubt that Phœbe carried the letter to the Romans, and her going to Rome may possibly have given the first impulse to writing. After this commendation we have a long series of salutations to different persons at Rome, beginning with Prisca and Aquila, followed, first by the general bidding *ἀσπάζεσθε ἀλλήλους ἐν φιλήματι ἁγίῳ*, and secondly by a general salutation not from individuals but (strangely comprehensive language) from "all the Churches of the Christ." The phrase itself "Churches of the Christ" is absolutely unique. It occurs only here; and our familiar phrase "the Church of Christ" (or "the Christ") in the singular occurs nowhere in the New Testament: while St Paul speaks several times

of "the Church of God," and twice directly (1 Cor. xi. 16; 2 Thess. i. 4), several times indirectly, of "Churches of God." The nearest approximations to the phrase used here are in Gal. i. 22 *ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις τῆς Ἰουδαίας ταῖς ἐν Χριστῷ*, and 1 Thess. ii. 14 *τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν τοῦ Θεοῦ τῶν οὐσῶν ἐν τῇ Ἰουδαίᾳ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ*. In both cases the phrase is used with reference to Judean Churches, which are thus distinguished from unbelieving Jewish *ἐκκλησίαι*. The unique phrase here used seems meant to mark the way in which the Church of Rome was an object of love and respect to Jewish and Gentile Churches alike, the name *Χριστός* having its primary significance as it were for the Jew, though this significance was expounded so as to hold good likewise for the believing Gentile: it thus answers to xv. 19 (*ὥστε με ἀπὸ Ἱερουσαλὴμ καὶ κύκλῳ μέχρι τοῦ Ἰλλυρικοῦ πεπληρωκέναι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τοῦ Χριστοῦ*) and xv. 29 (*οἶδα δὲ ὅτι ἐρχόμενος πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐν πληρώματι εὐλογίας Χριστοῦ ἐλεύσομαι*). Doubtless St Paul had information which enabled him to convey this greeting.

Here St Paul might have ended, merely appending a line of benediction. But before this comes he breaks out in an unexpected direction. Up to this time, as we have seen, he has refrained from direct controversy. Throughout the elaborate expositions and arguments not an antagonist has appeared. Here however at last we have a vehement outburst against certain teachers. It is conceivable that just as St

Paul was on the point of finishing or sending his letter, fresh tidings reached him of impending doctrinal troubles at Rome. But it is more likely that all the time he had been writing the thought was forcibly present to his mind that the Roman Church was likely sooner or later to be invaded by the false teachers, and that he therefore wished to lay a solid positive foundation which might secure them against perversion. It might well be that when he was reaching the end, with a keen sense that this might be his last opportunity of saying a word to the Romans, he became fearful lest the point and bearing of his expositions should be missed if he gave no hint of the dangers ahead. Accordingly he interjects a warning in emphatic and yet guarded language, abstaining from any doctrinal catchword, but using language that would sufficiently interpret itself when the time came, if it did not now. The distinguishing marks of these false teachers are the divisions and the occasions of stumbling of which they became the authors, and that, contrary to the teaching which the

xvi. 17, 18. Romans had already received. They 'serve not our Lord Christ but their own belly, and they use flattering and plausible speech' (the precise force of *εὐλογίας* is uncertain, but at all events thus much is contained in *χρηστολογίας*). There can be little doubt, I think, that Christian zealots for the Law are meant, not libertine antinomians, as many have gathered from *τῇ κοιλίᾳ*. The passage must at all events be taken in

connexion with Phil. iii. 17—21, while that passage again is illustrated by Col. ii. 20—iii. 4. It is noteworthy how peculiarly careful St Paul is not to seem to hint that any of the leaven was already working in the Romans themselves. His fear only is that their simplicity and innocence may disable them from detecting falsehood when they hear it. Their own obedience (to the Gospel) is, he says, universally known. He ends this passage with an assurance that the God of peace, He Whose presence with them he had implored a few verses above, would indeed not only be with them but quickly enable them to tread under foot the adversary, the author of all slander and all strife.

Then at last comes the benediction "The grace of xvi. 20. our Lord Jesus [Christ] be with you." The letter is now complete. It receives however a very natural postscript. Some of the brethren who were with St Paul at Corinth, including Timothy, Tertius his amanuensis, and Gaius his host, express a desire to add their greetings to the Roman Church. These greetings end with the words "Εραστος ὁ οἰκονόμος τῆς πόλεως, καὶ Κούαρτος ὁ ἀδελφός. Could such words really stand at the very end of a great and solemn epistle, even though the actual passage which they closed were merely a postscript made up of four short sentences of individual greetings? It is difficult to think that such an ending would satisfy St Paul's sense of fitness. And accordingly the best

xvi. 25—
27.

documents add a very remarkable and pregnant doxology, τῷ δὲ δυναμένῳ κ.τ.λ. It rises out of the anxieties just expressed lest the Roman simplicity should be beguiled ("To Him that is able to stablish you according to my Gospel and the preaching of Jesus Christ"), and then goes on to speak of 'the mystery kept silent through the ages but now at last manifested and proclaimed among the Gentiles, by means of prophetic scriptures, by command of the eternal God, the Lord of the ages, unto an obedience inspired by faith'; and for all this he glorifies "the only wise God through Jesus Christ unto all ages." The resemblances of language between this doxology and later Epistles (especially the Epistle to the Ephesians and the Pastoral Epistles) have often been noticed and have led, in conjunction with some textual phenomena, to the supposition that it really comes from a later Epistle, and was subsequently attached to the Epistle to the Romans. The truth however is that even in language the affinities of the doxology with the rest of this Epistle and the Epistles of the same period are at least as great; while as regards the ideas of the middle portion of the doxology, their absence in an explicit form from the early group is explained by the considerations presented in the second chapter of the first Epistle to the Corinthians, and by the immature condition of the Churches at that time. In substance however these ideas have much in common with the thought of Rom. viii. 18—30, and still more

with the drift of Rom. ix—xi. They have also the special fitness of restoring to the Epistle at the close its former serene loftiness, after the jarring interruption caused by the necessary interposition of the warning in vv. 17—20.

Such is the last chapter of the Epistle as presented in the best MSS. and other authorities, and I believe quite rightly. We have no time for going over all¹ the textual variations, much less discussing them. It is enough to indicate the leading points, neglecting the less important combinations. The benediction which properly comes (20 *b*) after the warning verses, was early (in Western texts) transferred to what seemed a fitter place, after the postscript of greetings. When the verses were numbered in the sixteenth century, it was reckoned as v. 24.

The doxology or concluding verses has a more varied history. It was omitted altogether in what is probably the earliest form of the Western text; it was on the contrary duplicated in the Alexandrian text, being inserted at the end of c. xiv. as well as at the end of the Epistle. In the Syrian revision the earlier of the two places was preferred, and in accordance with Western authority it was struck out at the end. The arrangement familiar to us all, by which it stands

¹ [See the discussion in the Appendix to "The New Testament in the Original Greek" 1881; also the articles in the *Journal of Classical and Sacred Philology*, Vol. ii. iii., reprinted in 'Biblical Essays' by Bishop Lightfoot (1893). Edd.]

at the end of the Epistle and not at the end of c. xiv., is one of the most important of the comparatively few cases in which the Textus Receptus differs from the Syrian text. Erasmus here followed the Latin Vulgate against the Greek evidence accessible to him, and his collocation of the doxology has been retained in all the common subsequent editions. Thus, but for his retention of the double benediction, in which he had likewise the support of the Latin Vulgate as known to him (though not, as it happens, of the best MSS. of it), the structure of the chapter in the Received Text would have needed no correction. There remain critical questions of much interest as to the cause of the insertion of the doxology after c. xiv. in the Alexandrian text, the alleged omission of cc. xv., xvi. by Marcion, and the undoubted omission of the doxology altogether in the Western text: but it would take a disproportionate amount of time to discuss them now. There are none of the textual phenomena which cannot, I believe, be reasonably explained on the assumption that all the extant matter not only is by St Paul but belonged to the Epistle to the Romans as originally dictated by him; and that the right order is that to which we are accustomed in the ordinary editions and in the English Bible; the only correction needed being the removal of *v.* 24, that is of the repetition of the benediction found in its proper place in *v.* 20.

ANALYSIS OF THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS.

- I. i—iv. Jew and Gentile before God in respect of righteousness.
- i. 1—7. Salutation, emphasizing the Gospel as a fulfilment, and the nature of his own Apostleship in relation to the Romans.
 - i. 8—17. Desire for personal fellowship with the Romans, founded on his debt to all alike, because the Gospel makes known a Divine righteousness by faith.
 - i. 18—fin. The revelation of a Divine wrath in the moral evil that followed on refusal to know God.
 - ii. 1—16. Self-righteousness condemned in every quarter.
 - ii. 17—iii. 8. The false and the true privilege of the Jew.
 - iii. 9—fin. No inferiority of the Jew, but all alike, Jew and Gentile, found wanting, and all alike freely justified by faith through the redemption in Christ Jesus.
 - iv. The forefather Abraham himself an example of righteousness by faith.
- II. v—viii. The universal peace springing from the manifested love of God.
- (A summing up of present results with a view to action : but interrupted after v. 11 by a digression on sin, which eventually gives a fuller sense to these results.)
- v. 1—11. Plea for peace arising from God's love shewn in His sacrificing His Son.

- v. 12—fin. Christ replaces Adam as the one representative man, bringing life instead of death.
- vi. God's grace no incentive to immorality, because the Death is intelligible only as a step to the Resurrection, which involves a new and better life.
- vii. 1—viii. 11. The Law becomes at last an instrument of evil, and cannot be the final form of righteousness, which can only be found in the life of the Spirit.
- viii. 12—fin. The Spirit or Spirit of Sonship, bearing witness of God's fatherly love, which must be infinite and omnipotent.

III. ix—xi. Jew and Gentile in history according to the counsel of God.

(Comment on the seeming separation of God's own people from God's love [cf. Acts xxviii. 25—28] by reference to His larger counsel. Difficult and uncertain.)

- ix. 1—13. The excision of Israelites, and its consistency with retention of Israel.
- ix. 14—fin. Justification of God's ways by His supremacy and for manifestation of His full purposes.
- x. 1—xi. 12. The Jew's special prerogative of godliness that in which his failure was greatest: reception and rejection of good tidings: the remnant represents the nation: the incoming of the Gentiles the purpose of the Jew's failure.
- xi. 13—32. Excision may await the Gentiles: mercy the condition of all reception.
- xi. 33—fin. (May be taken with what precedes.) Concluding doxology on the triumph of God's will.

IV. xii—xvi. Fruits of acknowledged mercy. Salutations and last words.

- xii. 1, 2. The Christian sacrifice and its probation of God's will (just exemplified in Jew and Gentile).
- xii. 3—xiii. fin. Duty within the Christian body, and without the Christian body, both founded on love, shewn forth in works of light.
- xiv. 1—xv. 6. The law of love applied to scruples of conscience through mutual bearing of burdens.

- xv. 7—13. Resumption of all preceding matter as tending to joy, peace, and hope in the Spirit.
- xv. 14—21. Apologies for instructing the Romans, partly on the ground that he is the Apostle of the Gentiles, though scrupulous in choice of spheres of preaching.
- xv. 22—fin. His hope of seeing them now: the object of his mission to Jerusalem: and the reason why it must precede his visit to Rome.
- xvi. 1, 2. Commendation of Phœbe.
- 3—16. Greetings.
- 17—20. Interjected warning against Judaisers.
- 20 *b*. Grace.
- 21—23. Resumed greetings from Corinth.
- 25—27. Final doxology and thanksgiving.

THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS.

[*MICHAELMAS TERM*, 1891.]

THE subject on which I propose to lecture this term is the Epistle to the Ephesians. None of the apostolic Epistles more needs the most exact and careful study to ascertain its meaning, and none repays more richly any labour and thought bestowed upon it. A large proportion of its verses is taken up with theology in the strictest and purest sense of the word, the speech of God to man, and especially the meaning of the revelation of God in Jesus Christ. On the other hand the message descending from heaven to earth is preeminently in this Epistle made the foundation of true religion, of the true manner of life in all the great human relations. In the present day the Epistle has a peculiar value, because in various ways its teaching stands in close relation to some of the problems which cannot now but exercise our minds both in theology and in the sphere of

practical life. Thus what is true of the Bible generally is specially true of the Epistle to the Ephesians: light falls on the study of it from present experience, and so read, it casts back yet more light for present needs.

But there is no disguising the fact that it is a very difficult book, needing much patience to trace out its meaning, and even then by no means always as yet allowing its precise sense to be discovered. Still no one can work at it with labour and thought without learning much at every step, provided he comes to the book as a learner indeed, not imposing on it the preconceptions which he may have derived from quite other sources. Among those who are proposing to attend these lectures there will no doubt be great differences of capacity and acquirements, and it is obviously impossible to find a style of lecturing which will be equally well suited to all. I am anxious however not to forget the various needs of my hearers, though it may be impossible to avoid what is said seeming at times too elementary for some, and at times too elaborate for others. It is, I believe, well that all divinity students should have some knowledge of the processes which have to be gone through before an apostolic Epistle can be either securely named and its historical place securely determined, or its contents securely interpreted. Nothing more than specimens, as it were, of these and the like processes can by the nature of the case

be given within the limits of University lectures: but even from such specimens any attentive hearer, I venture to hope, may gather thoughts and suggestions likely to be of use to him in his own subsequent study of other books of the Bible, in addition to what he may learn respecting the book which forms the immediate subject of the lectures. Much must, however, depend on the amount of personal work which a student puts into the subject before and after hearing lectures upon it. Lectures can never take the place of personal study, and it is not in the least desirable that they should. Their primary office is to stimulate reading and to guide reading; though no doubt they may also be of use in supplying positive instruction in the immediate subject, as regards both facts and the conclusions which may be safely drawn from facts. It is the simple truth that most men have a greatly exaggerated sense of their own incapacity for personal study of such subjects as these. Doubtless there will be always much that has to be taken on trust, whether the authority be a book or a living voice. But there is much also on which every one can exercise his faculties with advantage to himself: and without some preliminary exercise and learning of this kind he is but too likely to listen in a fog, and so to lose whatever chance he might otherwise have of finding interest or instruction.

In dealing with one of the apostolic Epistles, as indeed with many other works of ancient literature,

three heads of study have to be taken into account, Text, Interpretation, and what is now called Introduction. The determination of the Text means the ascertainment of what the author of the book actually wrote, *i.e.* the detection and removal of blunders or rash changes made by copyists during the period between the writing of the book itself and the writing of the extant manuscripts in which the book has been transmitted to us. On this head I shall say nothing at the outset, merely noticing some of the most important various readings as they may come before us in their turn. One such indeed of peculiar interest we shall have to consider immediately. The Interpretation of the text is the main subject of these lectures. It is not at all probable that we shall be able to get far into the Epistle this term, my purpose being not to set before you a long line of mere opinions of my own as to the meaning of this or that word or sentence: but rather to suggest how their meaning may be worked out with more or less certainty by weighing evidence and tracing connexions of thought. Before however we enter on Interpretation I hope to devote some time to the third head, Introduction, the constituent parts of which will come before us shortly.

This seems to be the best time for saying a little about books. Some of the chief questions belonging to Introduction are still matters of lively debate; so that no book can be referred to as giving a statement of generally recognised conclusions. In English the

best material coming under this head is to be found in what are literally "Introductions" to Commentaries. The older books, which though old are by no means superannuated, are that of Meyer, the first really great commentary on St Paul in recent times, well translated, and published by Messrs Clark; and next the work of our own Alford, which is in a great measure founded on Meyer, but often shews independent and usually intelligent judgment, without however special penetration or originality. A much more recent and very valuable book is the separate Introduction to the New Testament by Bernhard Weiss, now made accessible to English reading in a translation. On two considerable points belonging to the Introduction to the Epistle to the Ephesians he seems to me to take a perverse line, as he does also about some of the other Epistles. But what he has written is full of good materials and good observations, well worthy of being studied. On questions of authorship in particular his judgments are usually comprehensive and sensible.

Of untranslated German books probably the most important are Woldemar Schmidt's recasting of the Introduction to Meyer's Commentaries and Bleek's Lectures on the Epistles to the Ephesians and Colossians, on the side favourable to St Paul's authorship; and on the other side, Holtzmann's and Hilgenfeld's Introductions to the New Testament, the former following an earlier work of the author devoted specially to the relations between the Epistle to the

Ephesians and that to the Colossians. The same general line, adverse to St Paul's authorship, is taken in the Introductions to two Commentaries published within the last few months, those of Klöpper and Von Soden.

Of books useful for purposes of interpretation some of the most important names have been already given in the list for Introduction, viz. for English readers the translated Meyer and Alford. To these must of course be added Lightfoot's commentary on the Epistle to the Colossians, as containing much illustrative matter: Bishop Ellicott's editions of both Epistles are likewise useful books of reference on matters of language and grammar. There are no additional German commentaries of exceptional importance, though there are several of considerable value. Woldemar Schmidt, by no means so great a commentator as Meyer, has corrected some of his crotchets, and has the advantage of profiting by the labours of many students down to a much later time; so that on the whole his commentary is the best we have. Others that may be named are Olshausen (now rather of old date, to whom Archbishop Trench was much indebted), Ewald and Harless. Bengel's *Gnomon Novi Testamenti*, in terse and pregnant Latin, is one of the very few Commentaries that can never become obsolete: twenty-nine only of its pages are required for the Epistle to the Ephesians.

All commentaries are however unprofitable without an assiduous previous use of grammar and lexicon or concordance. Winer's Grammar of the New Testament, as translated and enlarged by Dr Moulton, stands far above every other for this purpose. It does not need many minutes to learn the ready use of the admirable indices, of passages and of subjects: and when the book is consulted in this manner, its extremely useful contents become in most cases readily accessible. Dr Moulton's reference to the notes of the best recent English commentaries are a helpful addition. As regards New Testament Lexicography much remains to be done: but there is abundance of excellent matter in two books of curiously unlike sort, both unfortunately rather dear, Thayer's translation (with enormous additions) of Grimm's general New Testament Lexicon (itself in Latin a cheap and portable book), and Cremer's Biblico-Theological Lexicon of New Testament Greek, now well translated, containing thoughtful but too elaborate and cumbrous articles on select words. Trench's well-known book on the Synonyms of the New Testament, very different in form, has somewhat similar merits and defects, but is much less rich in illustrative passages. More valuable, however, than any lexicon is Bruder's invaluable Greek Concordance to the New Testament. If it be true, as assuredly it is, that the New Testament is best illustrated by itself, *i.e.* by the light which one passage receives from comparison with other pas-

sages, a good Greek concordance is the most indispensable of all instruments of study to every Biblical student. Using it patiently and thoughtfully, anyone will soon find the need of the additional help which may be found in commentaries, *i.e.* in the answers which preceding students of the sacred words have found, or thought they found, to the same questions which had suggested themselves to him. But the previous process will have put him in a position to receive real help from the commentaries; and they in turn will in most cases send him back to his New Testament and concordance with subjects for fresh search.

This must suffice about books. We must now enter on questions belonging to Introduction. The most important of these fall under three heads; first, the *Recipients* of the Epistle, secondly, its *Author*, and thirdly, its *Date* and the *Circumstances* under which it was written—and connected with this its *Purpose*. All these points bear closely and directly upon interpretation. The Divine purpose by which the Epistle came to be written and was allowed to become part of our Scriptures of the New Covenant accomplished itself by human means and under human conditions. Just as we are always liable to misunderstand a verse when we detach it from its context of surrounding verses, so also we are liable to misunderstand the drift of the whole Epistle and the meaning of many of its parts when we detach it from

its context of historical circumstances; and its context of historical circumstances is but a single phrase combining those various heads of Introduction. Other heads of Introduction concern the history of the Epistle after it was written, its reception in different Churches, or by different writers, and its subsequent preservation in the original and in translations. But these have only an indirect bearing on the greater questions which I mentioned first; and for the most part we shall be able to avoid letting them encroach on our limited time.

For the sake of clearness it is worth noticing at once that those questions of Introduction which cannot be passed over without discussion include in the case of this Epistle some peculiar points which have nothing answering to them in the case of most of the other Epistles. For reasons which will soon appear the question of the recipients is of curious complexity, viz. whether the Epistle was written to the Ephesians only, or to various other Churches only, or to the Ephesians as well as to those other Churches. So also the question of authorship, and thus of purpose, is mixed up with a question as to the relation between this Epistle and the Epistle to the Colossians, and also, though to a less degree, with the question as to the relation between this Epistle and the First Epistle of St Peter.

To prevent the possibility of misunderstanding, it is as well to express at the outset my own firm

conviction that the Epistle was written by St Paul. The reasons which have been supposed to enforce a different conclusion will have to be carefully considered presently. But it will be convenient to begin with the less burning question who were the recipients of the Epistle.

I.

RECIPIENTS.

THE title is *πρὸς Ἐφεσίους*, To the Ephesians. Whatever be the date or authority of that title, of course it does not proceed from St Paul: it expresses simply an early belief or an early tradition, the probable authority of which we must reserve for further consideration. But obviously this title is supported by the common text of *v. 1*, which clearly says *τοῖς ἁγίοις τοῖς οὖσιν ἐν Ἐφέσῳ καὶ πιστοῖς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ*. This common text, however, is open to the gravest doubts. The greater part of the external evidence unfavourable to it has become known only in quite recent times: yet for some two centuries past a succession of critics have strongly questioned its integrity. The words *ἐν Ἐφέσῳ* are omitted by the two manuscripts which are not only oldest, but also best, **S*** B, and by the corrector of a later MS. (67) whose corrections are evidently taken from another quite different MS. of great excellence, now

lost. Early in the third century Origen¹, commenting on the Epistle, uses language which shews that these words were absent from his text, *i.e.* his interpretation would be unintelligible if they were present. About one and a half centuries later Jerome² shews a knowledge of Origen's interpretation but this cannot count as independent evidence. In the same period, however, Basil³ refers to the fact that ἔν 'Εφέσω was omitted both by predecessors of his (doubtless meaning again Origen) and in the older manuscripts (τοῖς παλαιοῖς τῶν ἀντιγράφων): the way in which he distinguishes these two classes of authorities renders it practically certain that he spoke exactly when he said he had found this reading (ἡμεῖς...εὐρήκαμεν) in those manuscripts.

Going back to Origen's time we find Tertullian reporting a very interesting fact respecting Marcion. We learn from him that Marcion, who is commonly, but not very correctly, reckoned among Gnostics, retained our Epistle in his collections of his favourite apostle St Paul's Epistles, but under

¹ (In Cramer's Catena, p. 102). ἐπὶ μόνων 'Εφεσίων εὗρομεν κείμενον τὸ τοῖς ἁγίοις τοῖς οὖσι. καὶ ζητοῦμεν, εἰ μὴ παρέλκει προσκείμενον τὸ τοῖς ἁγίοις τοῖς οὖσι, τί δύναται σημαίνειν· ὅρα οὖν εἰ μὴ ὥσπερ ἐν τῇ 'Εξέδῳ ὀνομά φησιν ἑαυτοῦ ὁ χρηματίζων Μωσεῖ τὸ ὧν οὕτως οἱ μετέχοντες τοῦ ὄντος γίνονται ὄντες, καλούμενοι οἰοῦναι ἐκ τοῦ μὴ εἶναι εἰς τὸ εἶναι, κ.τ.λ.

² *Comm. in Ep. ad Eph.* I. I. Quidam curiosius quam necesse est putant ex eo quod Moysi dictum sit *Haec dices filiis Israel: Qui est misit me*, etiam eos qui Ephesi sunt sancti et fideles, essentiae vocabulo nuncupatos &c.

³ I. 255 (*Adv. Eunomium*, II. 19).

the title "To the Laodiceans¹." This can only mean (1) that Marcion used the title *Πρὸς Λαοδικέας* (which we actually find in connexion with his name in a confused passage of Epiphanius, I. 374 B), and, (2) that he had no corresponding words in his text of the Epistle. Had he had *ἐν Ἐφέσῳ*, the contradiction would have been too flagrant. Had he had *ἐν Λαοδικίᾳ* (a reading of which there is no trace anywhere), Tertullian, who describes the Epistle as "according to the verity of the Church intituled 'to Ephesians'" would assuredly have used strong language about him, for what he would have assumed to be a falsifying of the Apostle's own words. It has further been concluded with great probability that Tertullian's text likewise did not contain either pair of words, since otherwise he would have censured Marcion for omitting them. It is better, however, not to lay much stress on this inference, as he might possibly be less impressed by the omission of two words, than by the change in the whole address of the letter involved in the change of title.

Thus much at least comes out clearly that the words *ἐν Ἐφέσῳ* were absent from at least some manuscripts early in the second century, early in the third century,

¹ *Adv. Marc.* v. 17. Ecclesiae quidem veritate epistolam istam ad Ephesios habemus emissam non ad Laodiceos: sed Marcion ei titulum aliquando interpolare (= falsify) gestiit, quasi et in isto diligentissimus explorator. Nihil autem de titulis interest &c. Cf. c. 11. Praetereo hic et de alia epistola, quam nos *ad Ephesios* praescriptam habemus, haeretici vero *ad Laodiceos*.

and late in the fourth century, the geographical regions in the three cases being different; as well as from the three important manuscripts still extant.

How came Marcion, however, to have "the Laodiceans" in the title to his copy of the Epistle? Evidently this fact must somehow be connected with what we read in Col. iv. 16. There St Paul desires that the Epistle to the Colossians after being read in the Colossian Church, may also be read in the Laodicean Church, and, he adds that they themselves, the men of Colossae, should likewise read the letter *ἐκ Λαοδικίας* (*καὶ τὴν ἐκ Λαοδικίας ἵνα καὶ ὑμεῖς ἀναγνώτε*), which in this context can only mean a letter of St Paul himself received at Laodicea and sent on thence. On the strength partly of this passage, partly of a shrinking from recognition of the former existence of Epistles of St Paul not preserved to us, it has often been supposed not only that the Epistle there spoken of is our Epistle to the Ephesians, but that Laodicea, and not Ephesus, was its real destination, and that Marcion's copy thus bore the only correct title. We must here carefully distinguish the two points, identity with what we call the Epistle to the Ephesians, and exclusive destination for Laodicea. The first supposition is not only possible, but highly probable; but only under conditions which exclude the second. If indeed it were true that our Epistle implies St Paul to be in person unknown to all to whom he wrote it, then no doubt Laodicea would suit better than Ephesus.

But, as we shall see presently, that is not tenable ground; and in all other respects whatever difficulties there are in an exclusive address to Ephesus, apply in still greater force to the supposition of an exclusive address to Laodicea. It follows that either the Epistle was indeed addressed to Laodicea, but not to Laodicea alone, and that Marcion's copy was derived from the specially Laodicene copy; or that Marcion found *πρὸς Ἐφεσίους* in the title to his copy, but deliberately changed it to *πρὸς Λαοδικέας*. If this latter supposition be true, i.e. if he altered the title which he found, then no doubt he did so on grounds of criticism, probably because he thought it must be the Epistle mentioned at the end of Colossians, and so supposed himself to be correcting a nameless title-maker on the authority of the Apostle himself. A phrase of Tertullian seems to imply that this was indeed the case: he would hardly have said "*quasi et in isto diligentissimus explorator*," if he thought that Marcion was only making an arbitrary guess, rather than performing a critical process. In what sense or senses Laodicea may indeed have had a share in the address of the Epistle we shall see presently. But that has nothing to do with Marcion, if this is the right explanation of Marcion's title. To all appearance that title of his attests nothing but the existence of a very ancient text of the Epistle from which the words *ἐν Ἐφέσῳ* were absent.

Our next step is to consider the textual question,

did these words really belong to St Paul's text or not? No version omits them, so far as is known. The evidence of Fathers is ambiguous, because no one not yet mentioned quotes the verse at all till late in the fourth century and early in the fifth century, when we find ἐν Ἐφέσῳ in the Syrian Fathers, and then in Cyril of Alexandria. But the authorities which do omit, estimated by what we know of their excellence elsewhere, afford a strong presumption against the words.

What then is the Internal Evidence? Here we come upon those special characteristics of the Epistle which have long attracted attention. Contrary to St Paul's custom, one man alone besides himself is named in it. It was to be carried by Tychicus, Eph. vi. 21, whom he calls "the beloved brother and faithful
22. minister (διάκονος) in the Lord," whom he was sending to them to give them tidings of himself, and to encourage their hearts. St Paul uses as nearly as possible the same language about Tychicus in writing Col. iv. 7. to the Colossians. But there the similarity ceases. In the Epistle to the Colossians we have salutations from several named persons, salutations or messages to others. It is the same in the little private Epistle to Philemon, which was evidently sent with that to the Colossians. Of all this we have nothing in our Epistle. In both those other Epistles "Timothy our brother" stands at the head with St Paul himself; in the Epistle to the Ephesians St Paul stands alone.

This difference in externals that catch the eye is repeated even more remarkably in the inner substance. In the Epistle to the Colossians, and in all St Paul's other writings, the special circumstances, or conduct, or tendencies of the Christians addressed, have left a deep mark on part of the Epistle, or on the whole of it. But nothing thus special and limited can be recognised in the Epistle to the Ephesians, the little that is said of its destined recipients being couched in quite general language. In the Epistle to the Colossians much of the teaching is manifestly controversial, directed against mischievous tendencies at work in the Church addressed. In the Epistle to the Ephesians there are no clear or express warnings of this kind: from first to last the teaching, whether theological or religious, is exclusively positive in form; whatever reference there may be to tendencies dreaded is exclusively indirect. These are characteristics which would most naturally be found in a letter addressed to a number of Churches, differing from each other in circumstances, condition, and personal relations with the writer of the Epistle. It would be difficult on the other hand to account for them in an Epistle addressed solely to the Church of a single city, above all, if that city were Ephesus.

Let us pause here a little to consider what the

past relations between this Ephesian Church and St Paul had really been. The evidence all lies on the surface of the New Testament, but its full significance does not always make itself felt without a little consideration. In St Paul's first 'missionary journey,' as everyone will remember, he entered what we call Asia Minor from the south, and penetrated northward inland, without swerving westward to the great cities on or near the Ægean. On his second journey, after visiting and stablishing the Churches founded on that former occasion, he was apparently making his way to Proconsular Asia, doubtless specially meaning to preach in its great capital Ephesus, when he received a Divine warning which led him to pass onwards further to the north-west: St Luke's words are "being hindered (plural, i.e. Paul and Timothy and Silas) by the Holy Spirit from preaching the word in Asia." Other monitions led him on across the Hellespont, and so he found himself carrying out a succession of European missions, while Ephesus, the chief city of Asia Minor, still lay behind him untouched. On his return to the East, though he had little time to spare, it would seem that he could not be satisfied without at least setting foot in Ephesus, and making some small beginning of preaching in person there. He left Aquila and Priscilla to carry on the work: but he himself entered into the synagogue according to his usual practice, and reasoned with the Jews. Then resisting all entreaties to remain, he said fare-

Acts xvi.6.

Acts xviii.
19.

well with a promise to return again if God should will, sailed to Palestine, visited first Jerusalem and then Antioch, where he stayed some time, and then followed his old course through southern Asia Minor, and this time was allowed to follow it right on to its natural goal, Ephesus. How closely in St Luke's Acts xviii. 18—23. view that first short visit to Ephesus was connected with this second much longer visit, may be inferred from the extraordinary brevity with which he gathers together the three long journeys to and from Ephesus, dispatching them in five or six lines. The whole story gains in point and clearness if we suppose that it is essentially a record of the steps by which St Paul was enabled to carry out a cherished desire, to be himself the founder of a Christian Church in that great metropolis in which the East looked out upon the West. His desire was granted, and moreover Ephesus was the only city of the first rank which, so far as any trustworthy evidence goes, had as its founder either St Paul or any other apostle.

As a prelude to St Paul's arrival at Ephesus this second time, we are told of Apollos' reception and instruction by Aquila and Priscilla. Then comes the incident of the men who had received only the baptism of John the Baptist, St Paul's preaching in the synagogue for three months, and then, when this course was hindered by the resistance of unbelieving Jews, his forming the disciples into a separate body in Tyrannus's lecture-hall. Next comes a compre-

Acts xix.
10.

hensive verse, "and this continued for the space of two years, so that all they which dwelt in Asia heard the word of the Lord, both Jews and Greeks," followed by an account of St Paul's miracles, and of the incident which led to the burning of the magical books. Of this long period (two years and more), during which St Paul was building up the Ephesian Church we know little. It does not seem to have been quite without interruptions, but probably these interruptions were few and brief¹. On the other hand, as is proved by allusions in the Epistles, it must have been a time of sore anxieties to St Paul about the state of other Churches, and of dangers and sufferings encountered by him in his own person². But at this stage in the course of events, we are led by the Acts to regard Ephesus as the centre and starting point of Gentile Christendom, just as the Syrian Antioch had been at first, when the Gospel had gone forth beyond Jerusalem and Judea, and as Rome was to be presently, from the time marked by the end of St Luke's narrative.

When at last St Paul had decided to leave Ephesus for a series of long journeys ending at Rome, the great tumult occurred which was stirred up by Demetrius in the name of Diana of Ephesus. After this memorable occurrence St Paul set forth on his journey into Macedonia and Greece. Then returning

¹ See Lightfoot, *Col.* 30 f.

² Lightfoot, *Gal.* 38 ff.

from the west, and making his way to Jerusalem, once more he craved converse with Ephesus, though too much pressed for time to risk the delay which a visit there might bring; and so from Miletus he sent for the elders of the Ephesian Church, and gave them those peculiarly solemn warnings, in which he reminded them of his own labours among them, and told them that they would see his face no more.

Let us now gather up in our minds these successive stages in the relations between St Paul and the Ephesians—his original desire to preach among them, checked for the time by a Divine warning, his reception on his first short visit when he left his two trusty associates behind, the two or three long and evidently eventful years during which Ephesus was his home, and lastly the summons to the rulers and teachers of its Christian community to meet him and receive what he then believed to be his last admonitions. Having so done, if we turn to the Epistle and read it through, we cannot but marvel how it could be so entirely devoid of all traces of such rich and heartfelt experiences, if it really was addressed to the Ephesians alone. No doubt the difficulty does not exist for those who say that the Epistle was not written by St Paul at all, but by some one in his name, to whom the Ephesian Christians suggested themselves as persons to whom St Paul might naturally be supposed to write. But, apart from the improbability that an epistle should be thus fictitiously written without the

slightest attempt to infuse any local colour, we shall presently find ample reason for accepting its genuineness. If however it is genuine, these characteristics which we have been considering suggest that, if addressed to the Ephesian Church, it must have been likewise addressed to other Churches, whose circumstances in relation to St Paul were entirely different. This inference is quite independent of the external or documentary evidence for omitting ἐν 'Εφέσῳ: but evidently they afford strong support to each other.

Before we go on to consider what kind of destination for our Epistle would be at once most probable in itself and most in accordance with these conditions, we had better finish what is involved in the question whether on the whole internal evidence does or does not sustain the omission of ἐν 'Εφέσῳ. It is alleged that the omission of these words leaves a sentence which yields no reasonable meaning. Certainly no one could now be satisfied to follow Origen and Basil in putting a transcendental force into τοῖς οὖσιν—"the Saints that ARE," as partaking of Him Whose name is I AM. But, as meaning "the saints who are also faithful in Christ Jesus," the phrase would be by no means the unmeaning platitude that it is sometimes said to be; since it might indicate the combination of

the old title of 'saints' belonging to ancient Israel with the distinctive characteristic of Christians. On the other hand this way of referring indirectly to those who once had been called 'saints,' ill suits the tone of the Epistle, especially as those addressed are treated as having been heathens. And it is a still more serious objection, that both words stand together in no such antithetical sense in the opening salutation of the Epistle to the Colossians τοῖς ἐν Κολοσσαῖς ἁγίοις καὶ πιστοῖς ἀδελφοῖς ἐν Χριστῷ. Though however the simple omission of ἐν Ἐφέσῳ would undeniably leave an awkward and improbable phrase, the same cannot be said if the omission is replaced either by alternative names preceded by ἐν, or by a blank space such as might be somehow filled up in this manner. Supposing a plurality of Churches to be intended to be recipients of the Epistle, such a plurality of alternative geographical names or such a blank would be natural enough.

The suspicion that others besides the Ephesians were intended to be the recipients of the Epistle, goes back as far as Beza, the great Genevan commentator of the latter part of the sixteenth century, who in a note on the subscription at the end says "Sed suspicor non tam ad Ephesios ipsos proprie missam epistolam, quam Ephesum ut ad ceteras Asiaticas ecclesias transmitteretur¹," which, he adds, perhaps induced some to omit ἐν Ἐφέσῳ. The same view is worked

¹ p. 288 (ed. 1598).

out more fully by Archbishop Ussher in his *Annales Veteris et Novi Testamenti*¹. Referring to the evidence of Basil and Jerome, he translates the Greek without ἐν Ῥώμῃ thus "vel ut in literarum encyclicarum descriptione fieri solebat sanctis qui sunt * * * et fidelibus in Christo Jesu"; as if, he proceeds, it had been first sent to Ephesus, as the chief metropolis of Asia, to be thence transmitted to the remaining Churches of the same province, with the name of each inserted: and as if some of them, whom Paul himself had never seen, were chiefly referred to in those words of his (he quotes i. 15; iii. 2), which Marcion perhaps regarded as suiting the Laodiceans, who had not seen the apostle in bodily presence, rather than the Ephesians with whom he had so long held converse.

This suggestion of Ussher's, that the letter was what the Greeks called an encyclical letter, a letter sent on a round of successive places and that the omission of ἐν Ῥώμῃ should accordingly be interpreted as a gap left blank, supplies the essential points for an explanation which really suits the facts, though Ussher fails to notice the confirmation which it receives from the contents of the letter. That an Epistle should be practically encyclical is not unexampled in the New Testament. The First Epistle of St Peter was to be carried round by Silvanus, in his journey through most of what we call Asia Minor, the

¹ Pet. i. 1,
v. 12.

¹ *Aetas Mundi*, vii. p. 680 (ed. 1673).

provinces in this case being named. How the Apocalypse was to be conveyed, we do not know: but in its epistolary aspect it in a manner combines encyclical and so to speak individual characteristics. It includes an epistle addressed to each of seven representative Churches of Proconsular Asia; while the whole book was addressed to them all.

But we have still to consider the questions, (1) as to its identity with the Epistle called by St Paul "the Epistle from Laodicea," and (2) as to the inclusion of Ephesus itself in the circle of places to which it was to be carried. We have already seen that if our Epistle is identical with the "Epistle from Laodicea," then it cannot have been definitely addressed to the single Laodicean Church as our Epistle to the Colossians was to the Colossian Church: its internal character makes that incredible. Either then the "Epistle from Laodicea" was indeed addressed singly to Laodicea, but is a lost letter entirely unknown to us; or it was our Epistle to the Ephesians, having neither more nor less to do with Laodicea than with other cities of that region, and the notice of it under a name connected with Laodicea must be due only to local causes.

The former supposition is not incredible, but St Paul's language contains indications which make it highly improbable. First writing to Colossae, he sends greetings to the brethren in Laodicea. This would Col. iv. 15. be a strangely circuitous proceeding if he were at the same time writing a letter of the same kind to

Laodicea ; but it is quite intelligible if Laodicea was to receive only an encyclical letter, by its very nature unfitted to contain personal greetings. Again, though the phrase *τὴν ἐκ Λαοδικίας* can be justified by classical precedents as an ordinary case of attraction, it cannot be said that such figures of speech are in St Paul's manner. It is more probable that he purposely avoided saying *τὴν εἰς Λαοδικίαν* just because it would suggest a letter written specially to Laodicea, whereas the use of *ἐκ* would have merely a formal, not a practical ambiguity, and this would rather suggest a letter carried on (or forwarded on) from Laodicea, as an encyclical letter would be.

This supposition, therefore, of an encyclical Epistle, of which Laodicea was one of the recipients, remains finally as alone satisfying the conditions. Two points have to be noticed here ; (1) personal, as to its mode of conveyance ; (2) geographical, as to the position of Laodicea and Colossae. It was conveyed, we can see, by Tychicus, who probably went on a series or tour of visits to different Churches.

About the course and limits of his journey we know nothing. The usual supposition is however probably correct that the Churches which Tychicus visited were those of Proconsular Asia, the region most nearly associated with St Paul's long stay at Ephesus. Proconsular Asia was also Tychicus's own native province, as we learn from Acts xx. 4, Ἀσιανοὶ δὲ Τυχικὸς καὶ Τρόφιμος. Indeed, since

Trophimus, here coupled with him, was an Ephesian, Acts xxi. 29. it is often inferred that Tychicus was an Ephesian too. But St Luke's words, carefully read, rather suggest that he was not an Ephesian. They stand at the end of a list of seven companions of St Paul in his last journey from Greece to Jerusalem, and four out of the preceding five have their city mentioned, not their province; one is from Beroea, two from Thessalonica, one from Derbe; Timothy (about whom enough had been said in a former chapter) being the fifth. We should therefore have expected Ἐφεσίοι here, had both Tychicus and Trophimus been from Ephesus; and the substitution of Ἀσιανοί suggests that St Luke was glad to speak of their common province because they had not a common city. To what part of Proconsular Asia Tychicus belonged we cannot in the least tell: but the language of Col. iv. 7—9, especially the contrast with the Colossian Onesimus, suggests that he did not come from the district to which Colossae belonged.

It can hardly be necessary to remind any one who has read ever so little of Lightfoot's Commentary on the Epistle to the Colossians, how vivid a picture is there¹ drawn of this district, the region in which "the Churches of the Lycus" were planted. He describes the great city of Hierapolis and the still greater city of Laodicea, facing each other some

¹ *Epistle to the Colossians*, pp. 1—22.

distance apart on each side of the Lycus, one of the rivers tributary to the Maeander, and then, some ten or twelve miles higher up, the much smaller city of Colossae on the very banks of the river. He reminds us (pp. 17 ff.) that though in one sense belonging to Phrygia this district belonged politically in St Paul's time to the provinces of Asia, of which it formed a remote and distinct part. We have an indication of the close connexion between these three young

Col. iv. 13. Christian communities in St Paul's words about Epaphras the Colossian, how he had much toil for the Colossians and for them in Laodicea and for them in Hierapolis. But evidently there were special perils threatening the Church at Colossae which called forth a special letter to them, perils not improbably arising out of proximity to Phrygia proper, though it would also be well that the Laodiceans should hear what it contained.

Whether the blank in the text of the encyclical epistle was only a blank, or whether for each city it was filled up with the local name, is wholly unimportant. It is possible but hardly likely that St Paul would provide Tychicus with a number of copies, one for each Church. It seems more natural that Tychicus should carry with him the one original Epistle with a blank space, that in each Church the local name should be orally inserted when the letter was publicly read aloud on Tychicus's arrival; and perhaps that if, as we should expect, a copy were taken

for local preservation before Tychicus passed on to the next city, the local name should be inserted in writing in such local copy. The only gain, however, of such speculations is to give reality and shape to our conception of the Epistle, not as constituting a few pages of our Bible, but as an actual letter carried actually round and read to gatherings of eager listeners for whom it was expressly written.

But to return to weightier matters, if our Epistle was an encyclical letter, the question still remains whether it has any right to bear its present title. Was Ephesus itself part of the circle? If it was the chief cities of Proconsular Asia that formed the circle, it would a priori be natural to expect the circle to include the capital. Here, however, we are met by certain passages which undeniably at first sight suggest that the persons to whom they were written had had no personal intercourse with St Paul, much less such long and close intercourse as we know the Ephesian Christians to have had with him.

The least important is the first, "Wherefore I Eph. i. 15. also, hearing of the faith in the Lord Jesus that is in yourselves and that ye shew toward all the Saints." This is language not likely to have been chosen without some accessory words if a Church founded by the Apostle were alone addressed. Accordingly Theodore of Mopsuestia, the most acute of ancient critics who have left commentaries on St Paul, assumes on the strength of these words that the Epistle must

have been written before St Paul visited Ephesus¹; and he is followed by other Greek writers (see Dr Swete's note). But, while in the strictest sense appropriate to the great mass of the Churches just addressed, Churches with which St Paul had no personal acquaintance, it would not be inappropriate in reference to tidings about the *present* condition of the Ephesian Church, from whom, according to the most probable date of the Epistle, he had now been separated for a considerable time. It is likewise worth notice that,

Col. i. 4. while very similar language is used to the Colossians, in their case St Paul says expressly some way

Col. ii. 1. further on "I would have you know how great a striving I have for you and for them at Laodicea and *for as many as have not seen my face in the flesh.*" We must reasonably have *a fortiori* expected some such words as these last to occur somewhere in our Epistle, if it was addressed exclusively to Churches who had had no personal contact with the Apostle.

The other two passages are of a different kind, though they in like manner turn on the word ἀκούω which is applied however to "hearing" on the part of the recipients, not of the writer. They resemble each other still more closely, as both containing the phrase ἔγχε ἠκούσατε, "If so be that ye heard (or 'have heard')."

Eph. iii. 2. In the first of them we read "For this cause I Paul, the prisoner of Christ Jesus, on behalf of you Gentiles,

¹ Professor Swete: *Theodore of Mopsuestia on the Minor Epistles of St Paul* (1880), vol. I. p. 112.

—if so be that ye have heard of the stewardship of that grace of God which was given me to you-ward,” explained further on as meaning “that the Gentiles are fellow-heirs.” How was it possible, it is asked, that St Paul should have a shadow of doubt whether the Ephesians, of all men, had heard of that Divine stewardship of his, his special mission to the Gentiles? Must he not have been exclusively addressing Churches with which he had come into no contact? The usual answer to these questions is, I think, a true and sufficient one. The compound particle *εἴγε*, though it never can mean ‘since’ but remains always an intensified “if,” is not unfrequently used with a rhetorical or appealing force where no real doubt is meant to be expressed¹. This appealing force is fully expressed here by the context. St Paul is going to plead the cause of Christian holiness as against Gentile indulgence towards vice as one entitled to speak as a prisoner who owed his imprisonment to his zeal for the true welfare of Gentiles: but having made this claim, before he catches up and completes his pleading, he turns aside to ask, as it were, in these *iv. 1, 17.* words whether they were not pledged to accept the validity of that claim by their knowledge of the special charge divinely entrusted to him. But this is not all. If it is incredible that St Paul should have had real doubts whether the Ephesian Church had heard of that special charge, it is only a shade less

¹ See Bishop Ellicott’s note.

incredible that any Church of Proconsular Asia should have remained in similar ignorance. The Colossian Christians, in one of the remotest corners of the province, had, we know with moral certainty, received their faith not from him but from his disciple Epaphras¹; and there can be no reasonable doubt that it was by men like Epaphras that the Gospel was carried through the province during St Paul's long stay at Ephesus, when "all that dwelt in Asia heard the word of the Lord, both Jews and Greeks." Thus the appealing force of εἴγε, as distinguished from its doubting force, is alone possible here if the writer was St Paul.

Col. i. 7.

Acts xix.
10.

Eph. iv. 21.

In the remaining passage St Paul again uses εἴγε with an appealing force, though not now on his own behalf but on behalf of his readers. "But ye did not so learn the Christ; if so be that ye heard him, and were taught in him, as truth is in Jesus; that ye put away, as concerning your former manner of life, the old man &c." That is, he appeals to that historical Gospel of Jesus of Nazareth which they had originally received as fixing the moral standard of the highest Christian faith. On the other hand it is inconceivable that about any Church of Proconsular Asia, any more than about the Ephesian Church, St Paul could have expressed a real doubt whether they had heard Christ, at least in any sense compatible with the context. Thus both these passages, if they

¹ See Lightfoot, *Colossians*, pp. 24—31.

prove anything about the Churches addressed, prove too much : that is, they have no real bearing on the question whether the Ephesian Church was among these Churches.

Accordingly we are brought back once more to the traditional title *πρὸς Ἐφεσίους*. Of its precise date or origin we know nothing. But we do find the Epistle cited under this name by the five chief fathers of the three-quarters of a century ending in the middle of the third century, the period when first with the rarest exceptions the titles of books appear, viz. Irenaeus, Clement of Alexandria, Origen, Tertullian, Cyprian ; and nowhere do we find a trace that any other title existed except in Marcion's case, and he, as we saw, probably represents not a tradition but a criticism. Even a title thus carried back to the second century, and probably to an early part of it, would have no decisive authority against really strong evidence of other kinds. But it must carry considerable weight if in the text itself *ἐν Ἐφέσῳ* is entirely spurious, and not less if these words have been truly transmitted from one original of the Epistle, though not from others. It would also be difficult to think of St Paul as excluding Ephesus from view in writing to a circle of Churches of Proconsular Asia an Epistle having the character and purpose which we shall, I hope, presently find to belong to our Epistle. Thus, on a review of the whole evidence, we are led to the conclusion that the familiar title may rightly be

considered defective or inadequate in so far as it gives no indication of the varied range of Churches to which the Epistle was sent ; but that so far as it goes it is true. If we have an adequate sense of what Ephesus was to St Paul, we cannot but feel that there is a true and worthy fitness in the association of our Epistle with the Ephesian name.

II.

TIME AND PLACE OF WRITING.

WE have now considered all the most essential points respecting the destination of our Epistle, the question, that is, who it was that St Paul had in mind when he was writing. The next great question, whether St Paul himself was indeed the writer, may with advantage stand over a little to be considered with some cognate questions as to the purpose of the Epistle. It will be most convenient to take now a more external question, in this respect resembling that which we have hitherto been considering; to ask at what time and place the Epistle was written, on the assumption that St Paul wrote it. For this purpose we are able to use the evidence supplied by the Epistles to the Colossians and to Philemon, as they were evidently carried by Tychicus on the same journey.

The most obvious mark of external circumstances is the language about imprisonment, "I Paul the Eph. iii. 1. prisoner of Christ Jesus"; "I therefore the prisoner iv. 1.

Col. iv. 3. in the Lord"; "to speak the mysteries of the Christ,
 i. 24. for which I am also in bonds (δέδεμαι)" (cp. "now I
 iv. 18. rejoice in my *sufferings* for your sake"); "Remember
 Philem. 1 my bonds"; "Paul a prisoner of Christ Jesus"; "Paul
 9. an ambassador and now a prisoner also of Jesus
 10. Christ"; "my child, whom I have begotten in the
 13. bonds, Onesimus"; "that in thy behalf he might
 minister to me in the bonds of the Gospel."

What imprisonment then is meant? There are only two which are worth considering, each of them two years long, both closely connected historically and separated from each other by only a few months; yet differing remarkably from each other in the associations which they respectively suggest. They are of course the imprisonment at Caesarea, and the imprisonment at Rome. St Paul had come for the last time to Jerusalem to bring the Gentile offering, where he was rescued from a murderous plot of the Jews by the chief captain Lysias, who sent him by night with a guard of 200 soldiers to Caesarea. There he was in charge of Felix the Roman Proconsul, Caesarea being the civil capital of Palestine since the time of Herod the Great, who built it, a magnificent seaport town between Joppa and Mount Carmel. Two years later, Felix was succeeded by Festus, and after a hearing by him in company with Agrippa, St Paul was sent forth on his Rome-ward journey, which was interrupted for the winter by the shipwreck. The last sentence of the Acts

leaves him still a prisoner at Rome after two years more.

Now it used to be assumed without question that the three Epistles, to the Ephesians, to the Colossians and to Philemon were written in the Roman captivity. On the other hand for the last half century or thereabouts a considerable body of critics, including some distinguished for sobriety of judgment, have referred them to the Caesarean captivity. Such evidence as we have seems to me to go the other way, and to support the old view. But the whole evidence of what may be called a historical or a literary kind is curiously scanty in amount, and probably few who have not had occasion to look into it would imagine how rash it would be to express a confident opinion without close examination. As we shall see presently, the decision is by no means a matter of idle curiosity, but intimately connected with interpretation.

The first piece of evidence is connected with the Epistle to the Philippians. That Epistle, you will remember, is no less a Captivity Epistle than the three which we have now in hand. Four verses of the first chapter contain references to the Apostle's (present) bonds; there is no other clear link connecting the one Epistle with the three. Still it is but right to ask whether it was written before them or after them. Now it is very widely believed that it was written very late in St Paul's captivity at Rome and after our

Phil. i. 7,
13, 14, 17.

three. Very few refer it to the Caesarean time. This part of the subject has been so admirably worked out by Lightfoot in the essay headed "Order of the Epistles of the Captivity" in his commentary on this Epistle¹, that I will not take up time with going over the ground now. Lightfoot urges with great force, that there is no real weight in the arguments, chiefly four, which are commonly put forward as decisive for a very late date for the Epistle to the Philippians, the most plausible perhaps being a comparison of the persons named as present with St Paul in the several Epistles. Against these at best inconclusive considerations he urges the less catching but more substantial evidence of style and language. This, he shews, is intermediate between the style and language of the earlier Epistles and those of our triad: in particular the affinities with the Epistle to the Romans, the last of the earlier Epistles, are very great. If this is the right conclusion, as I fully believe it to be, our three must of course have been written in the Roman captivity, since their predecessor was likewise.

It would not be right however to leave the matter here, Lightfoot's view about the position of the Epistle to the Philippians having so few friends. We must therefore go on to consider how the evidence lies when that Epistle is excluded from view. Here we shall have little help from Lightfoot except on one important historical point on which his remarks are of special

¹ *Epistle to the Philippians*, pp. 30—46 (ed. 1878).

value. We should have had more from his pen on the subject had his proposed edition of the Epistle to the Ephesians ever been written.

We may begin with a few words from Weiss, the most competent of the champions of Caesarea. Discussing the question whether the Epistles to the Colossians and to Philemon were written at Caesarea or at Rome, he writes¹, "Much that is untenable has been urged for the one as for the other view. But what is quite decisive is the fact that according to Philip-
 "pians ii. 24 Paul intended to proceed from Rome to
 "Macedonia in the event of his being set free, whereas,
 "when he wrote Philemon 22 it was his wish to go
 "immediately to Phrygia; and the manner in which
 "he already bespeaks for himself lodging in Colossae
 "for his visit there makes it altogether unlikely that
 "the letter was written in Rome, where moreover in
 "the course of a regular judicial proceeding Paul could
 "never reckon so definitely on his liberation."

Three points are involved here. First, the difference of destination on being set free. Here there are two obvious answers. Between writing the two Epistles, St Paul might well have found reason to change his mind as to his course on his release: first, Macedonia and Philippi might seem to claim him most, and then Asia and Colossae, or vice versa. And again even this supposition is not necessary, for he might well take Philippi on his way

¹ Weiss, *Einleitung in das Neue Testament* (Berlin, 1889) § 24, c. 2. 4

from Rome to Colossae, Philippi being, as Lightfoot says¹, on the great high road between Europe and Asia, so that Ignatius passed it when he was brought from Asia to Rome.

Philemon
22.

The next point urged by Weiss is the greater nearness of Colossae to Caesarea than to Rome with reference to the request to prepare a lodging. But in truth both places are far too distant from Colossae to make the request intelligible in its crude literal sense. How little St Paul meant Philemon to take it thus is tolerably clear from his next words, "for I hope that through your prayers I shall be granted to you"; not granted to you soon, but simply granted to you, and of this there is no more than a hope. Had St Paul been really expecting a speedy release, we may be sure there would have been some trace of it in the Epistle to the Colossians. What seems to be the true sense here, or something like it, is hinted by Jerome². St Paul spoke to Philemon not in strict truth (*vere*) but *dispensatorie* (doubtless *οἰκονομικῶς*) *ut dum eum exspectat Philemon ad se esse venturum, magis faciat quod rogatus est*. It is but a playful way of saying to Philemon, "Remember that I mean to "come and see with my own eyes whether you have "really treated your Christian slave as I have been "exhorting you"; and then giving the thought a serious turn by assuring him that, 'coming is no

¹ *Philippians*, p. 48 f.

² *Comment. in Ep. ad Philemonem*, v. 22.

mere jest, for he does indeed hope some day to be set free through their prayers, and then he will haste to visit them.'

As regards the third point the comparative possibilities of looking for a speedy release, at Rome and at Caesarea, we really have very little material for judging. But thus much is plain that, when the prosaic interpretation of the bespoken lodging falls away, the language to Philemon with reference to future release is even more wanting in definite anticipation than the language to the Philippians.

More really plausible than these three arguments which Weiss thinks decisive for Caesarea is the comparison of dates with reference to earthquakes which visited the cities of the Lycus about this time. This is the matter which I referred to as illustrated by Lightfoot¹, who has carefully constructed a list of the earthquakes known to have devastated that region in various ages. The only points however which concern us here are these. Under the year 60, the year which includes the last part of St Paul's Caesarean imprisonment, Tacitus states that "Laodicea, having fallen down (prolapsa) by an earthquake, recovered itself by its own resources without help from us (i.e. from public funds)²." Four years later, at the time of Nero's setting Rome on fire, Eusebius's Chronicle states that "three

¹ *Epistle to the Colossians*, pp. 37—40, ed. 1875.

² *Ann.* xiv. 27.

cities in Asia, Laodicea, Hierapolis and Colossae, fell down (concciderunt) by an earthquake¹." On the double assumption that these two statements refer to the same event and that Tacitus is more to be trusted for the year than Eusebius, it is urged that an Epistle addressed by St Paul to Colossae, if written from Rome, would naturally have contained some allusion to the calamity which not long before had befallen the city. Lightfoot argues however from another example in an earlier reign that Eusebius followed unusually good authorities about earthquakes² and is not unlikely, therefore, to have the right date, in which case the Roman captivity as well as the Caesarean would precede the catastrophe; and again that even on the other supposition it would not be surprising to find no allusions to the earthquake if the Epistle was written, as he supposes, quite late in the Roman captivity, i.e. some three years after the city had suffered. There is also much to be said for Hertzberg's suggestion³ (quoted by both Lightfoot and Schiller) the two notices refer to two different earthquakes, in which case the only positive evidence for the extension of the first earthquake as far up the valley as Colossae disappears.

Of quite a different kind is the argument from *καί*

¹ *Chron.* Ol. 210 (II. p. 154 f. ed. Schöne).

² In the case of another earthquake of this reign, Schiller [*Nero*, 160, 172] holds that Tacitus gives the wrong year.

³ *Geschichte Griechenlands unter der Herrschaft der Römer* II. p. 96 n. (ed. 1868).

in Eph. vi. 21, ἵνα δὲ εἰδῆτε καὶ ὑμεῖς. This it is said must mean "you as well as the Colossians," implying that the Colossians had received this knowledge first, which would imply that Tychicus went from East to West, not vice versa. But it is really inconceivable that an allusion should be made to the Epistle to the Colossians in this faint unintelligible way, and not likely that in a letter to the Ephesians, much less to a great body of Churches, such a reference should be made to little Colossae. A far more natural meaning would be "you in the recesses of Provincial Asia, as well as the brethren at Rome or in constant intercourse with Rome."

We need hardly dwell on the suggestion that had Tychicus and Onesimus been travelling from the West, the Epistle to the Ephesians must have contained a special commendation of Onesimus; or again that Onesimus as a runaway slave was more likely to expect to escape detection at Caesarea than at Rome. This is a point on which no guessing can be worth much; but if one is to guess, the miscellaneous swarms that thronged Rome would seem to offer exceptional chances of escaping detection.

A more tangible subject is the comparative opportunities of the two captivities for the conversion of Onesimus. In some way or other the runaway slave had been brought into contact with the imprisoned apostle, and learned from him the Christian faith. The words to Philemon are quite express, τοῦ ^{Philemon} 10.

ἐμοῦ τέκνου, ὃν ἐγέννησα ἐν τοῖς δεσμοῖς Ὀνήσιμον. Now as regards Caesarea all we know of a state of things which would make such an incident possible is contained in Acts xxiv. 23, where we read that Felix "gave order to the centurion that he (Paul) should be kept in charge, and should have indulgence (ἔχειν τε ἄνεσιν), and not to hinder any of his friends (τῶν ἰδίων αὐτοῦ) from ministering unto him." 'Having indulgence' evidently means a less rigorous and painful form of imprisonment, as by transference from a noisome cell¹, and especially leave to use better food than prison fare². It is evidently such little alleviations as these that are meant by the ὑπηρετεῖν of friends³. This limited access of friends, for St Paul's own relief, would not naturally introduce a heathen runaway slave, and a heathen he must have been when he came in contact with the imprisoned apostle.

Very different were the circumstances of the Actsxxxviii. Roman captivity as described by St Luke. On St Paul's arrival in the city he was allowed to
v. 16. live in a private house (μένειν καθ' ἑαυτόν) "with the soldier that guarded him." "And he abode," we
vv. 30, 31. read, "a whole space of two years in a hired lodging of his own" (whether this μίσθωμα is or is not the same

¹ Passio S. Perpetuæ 3.

² Cf. Lightfoot's *Ignatius* i. 345 f. (ed. 1885). Also Josephus *Ant.* xviii. 235 φυλακή μὲν γὰρ καὶ τήρησις ἦν μετὰ μέντοι ἀνέσεως τῆς εἰς τὴν δλαιταν.

³ The Syrian addition ἡ προσέρχεσθαι enlarges the sense in appearance only.

as the *ξενία* spoken of in the intermediate *v.* 23, is uncertain and unimportant), "and received all that went "in unto him, preaching the Gospel of God, and "teaching the things concerning the Lord Jesus "Christ with all boldness, none forbidding him." Here then was free access for any one who chose, not for private friends only; and the opportunity was freely used by St Paul for preaching. Under such circumstances Onesimus might easily have heard from others of the prisoner's wondrous discourse: perhaps, as Lightfoot suggests¹, he might have known St Paul's name already, familiar as it must have been in the ears of Philemon's household: thus he might well be led to enter one day with the rest, and might be overcome by the divine words which he heard. At all events Onesimus's conversion falls much more naturally into the Roman than into the Caesarean captivity; and thereby fresh evidence is given for the conclusion involved in the priority of the Epistle to the Philippians to the other three, according to Lightfoot's view of their order. Nor is there any even plausible evidence to the contrary unless it be that of the earthquakes, which we have seen is not really at variance with the assignation of all these letters to the Roman captivity. How well this conclusion fits in with the contents of the Epistle to the Ephesians, we shall see presently.

¹ *Colossians and Philemon*, p. 312.

The most probable year for the journey of Tychicus and the writing of the three Epistles which he carried is 63 A.D., or thereabouts; that is, late in St Paul's Roman imprisonment. In July 64 Rome was set on fire, and the persecution of Christians known by Nero's name began. It was the last period of comparative quiet before a long line of troublous days indeed.

III.

AUTHORSHIP.

A. External Evidence.

THUS far we have been assuming that the Epistle was written by St Paul as it professes to be. But was it so indeed? The question having been raised by a large number of competent critics ought not to be left wholly unconsidered, though it is impossible within our limits to deal with it in any thorough manner.

It will be well to begin with looking quickly at the evidence afforded by the use of the Epistle in early writings. This does not directly touch authorship but only age. Since however most of those who dispute the genuineness of the Epistle place it in this or that generation much later than St Paul, the field of discussion may be greatly narrowed by evidence bearing on age. Of course we are concerned only with early evidence and that is almost always a little confused and vague. In due time we should get clear quotations and names of books, but by that time we should have

reached a part of the second century unimportant for our purpose.

We begin with Clement of Rome, about 95—6 A.D. Many passages of his have been marked as derived from the Epistle to the Ephesians. None of them seem to me to be quite certain, but two or three admit of very little doubt. The strongest case perhaps is in c. 64 ὁ ἐκλεξάμενος τὸν Κύριον Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν καὶ ἡμᾶς δι' αὐτοῦ εἰς λαὸν περιούσιον. The combination ἐκλεξάμενος and εἰς λαὸν περιούσιον is probably a reminiscence of Deuteronomy xiv. 4: but the remarkable combination of God's election of the Lord Jesus Christ and His election of Christians into a people for His own possession, the latter depending on the former (ἡμᾶς δι' αὐτοῦ), looks as if it must have come from Eph. i. 4, καθὼς ἐξελέξατο ἡμᾶς ἐν αὐτῷ κ.τ.λ., with an analogous διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ in the next verse. The second case is in c. 46 "Have we not one God and one Christ and one Spirit of grace which (Spirit) was poured out upon us, and one calling in Christ?" This passage, which is part of a warning against strifes and divisions, if compared carefully with Eph. iv. 4—6 will be seen to be probably derived from it, particularly 'one calling' as representing 'one hope of your calling'; more especially as the next sentence is "Why do we drag and rend asunder the members of Christ, and act seditiously toward our own body (or, the proper body, τὸ σῶμα τὸ ἴδιον), and come to such madness that we forget

that we are *members one of another?*" (cf. Eph. iv. 25). The other most probable coincidences are in c. 36 "Through Him *the eyes of our heart* were opened" (cf. Eph. i. 18, where the right text is *πεφωτισμένους τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς τῆς καρδίας [ὑμῶν]*); and in c. 38 "Let each man be subject to his neighbour" (cf. Eph. v. 21).

We come next to Ignatius probably about fifteen years later, i.e. roughly 110 A.D. (possibly but less probably a few years later). First must be put aside two or three passages which for one reason or another might seem to be specially good evidence, but are really irrelevant. The twelfth chapter of his Epistle to the Ephesians ends with the sentence *ὃς ἐν πάσῃ ἐπιστολῇ μνημονεύει ὑμῶν ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ*, often rendered "in all his epistle 'to you,'" and taken as a clear recognition of our Epistle. But this is an impossible rendering of *ἐν πάσῃ ἐπιστολῇ*, and moreover, as Lightfoot says, "would be singularly unmeaning, if not untrue," if our Epistle were meant¹. The meaning is of course "in every Epistle," a strange exaggeration no doubt, but not without foundation². Zahn even goes so far as to say that the words show our Epistle *not* to have been known to Ignatius with any Ephesian associations: but that is too much to say.

¹ See Lightfoot, *in loco* (*The Apostolic Fathers*, Part II. vol. ii. Sect. 1, ed. 1885).

² See Lightfoot *l.c.* and Zahn, *Ignatius von Antiochien*, p. 607 f. (ed. 1873).

The salutation at the head of the Epistle contains several words that seem to have been suggested by early verses of St Paul's Epistle to the Ephesians, though some of them appear likewise in other Ignatian salutations: the important words are *εὐλογημένη, πληρώματι, προωρισμένη πρὸ αἰώνων εἶναι... εἰς δόξαν, ἐκκληλεγμένη*. Further on in c. 1 the Greek manuscript of Ignatius has a clear quotation from Eph. v. 2; but late editions have rightly expelled it on the authority of the ancient versions¹. Once more, no reliance can be placed on *τοῦ ἡγαπημένου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ* in the salutation to the Smyrnaeans notwithstanding the coincidence with *ἐν τῷ ἡγαπημένῳ* of Eph. i. 6. This was a widely spread designation, occurring e.g. in Clement of Rome (the prayer in c. 59), Barnabas, and Hermas, and found repeatedly in what are supposed to be the Christian parts of the *Ascensio Esaiæ*². It was simply an easy alternative for the *ἀγαπητός* of the words spoken from heaven at the Baptism and Transfiguration. But when in his Epistle to Polycarp (c. 5) Ignatius enjoins him to exhort "his brethren" to love their consorts (*συμβίους*) as *the Lord the Church*, we must feel sure that so little obvious a thought can have come only from Eph. v. 25; and then the allusions to a Christian

¹ Lightfoot, *ib.* p. 31 note.

² See references of Lightfoot and Zahn (*Patr. Apost. Opera*, Fascic. II. ed. 1876) on this passage, and Harnack on Barn. iii. 6 (*ib.* Fascic. I. Part II. ed. 1878).

περικεφαλαία and πανοπλία in c. 6, indecisive in themselves, may be naturally referred to Eph. vi. 11, 17; and the phrases above cited from Ignatius's salutation to the Ephesians may be reasonably derived from the beginning of our Epistle.

A few months after the writings of Ignatius, comes the Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians. Here there are more distinct quotations from the New Testament than in any previous writing, and they include two from our Epistle. Near the end of c. i. χάριτί ἐστε σεσωσμένοι, οὐκ ἐξ ἔργων must come from Eph. ii. 5, 8, 9; and in c. xii. (the Greek is lost) ut his scripturis dictum est *irascimini et nolite peccare et Sol non occidat super iracundiam vestram* must come from Eph. iv. 26.

The date of the Shepherd of Hermas is still an open question, but within certain limits, viz. the first forty years of the second century. It can hardly be more than a little earlier or later. The very difficult question of its use of Scripture language is best handled by Zahn in his book on Hermas¹. The exhortations in Eph. iv. 25, 29, 30 to speak truth each with his neighbour, to let no corrupt word proceed out of the mouth, and to grieve not the Holy Spirit of God find echoes in Mand. iii. Ἀλήθειαν ἀγάπα, καὶ πᾶσα ἀλήθεια ἐκ τοῦ στόματός σου ἐκπορεύεσθω, and then after a few lines μηδὲ λύπην ἐπάγειν τῷ πνεύματι τῷ σεμνῷ καὶ ἀληθεῖ; this last phrase being taken up

¹ *Der Hirt des Hermas*, pp. 412 ff. (1868).

again more precisely in Mand. x. 2, *λυπεῖ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἅγιον*, and several times repeated in the following lines. Doubtless the original source is Isaiah lxiii. 10: but there the LXX. word is *παροξύνω*, while Hermas follows St Paul in substituting *λυπῶ*. There is a less clear, but still reasonably certain borrowing from Eph. iv. 4, 5 in Sim. ix. 13, *ἔσονται εἰς ἓν πνεῦμα, καὶ ἓν σῶμα*, and again (in the same chapter) *ἡν αὐτῶν ἓν πνεῦμα καὶ ἓν σῶμα [καὶ ἓν ἔνδυμα]*; and four chapters further on (c. 17) *καὶ μία πίστις αὐτῶν ἐγένετο*, followed presently (cc. 17, 18) by *ἓν σῶμα* three times repeated, the last time in association again with *μία πίστις*. Other supposed coincidences between Hermas and the Epistle to the Ephesians are too uncertain to rest on.

There remains only a passage common to the Epistle of Barnabas and to the *Διδαχὴ τῶν ἀποστόλων*. Whatever be the date of the Didache as a whole, the part of it called the Two Ways, worked up by Barnabas, is unquestionably very early. In Did. iv. 10, 11 the injunctions to masters, *Οὐκ ἐπιτάξεις δούλῳ σου ἢ παιδίσκῃ, τοῖς ἐπὶ τὸν αὐτὸν θεὸν ἐλπίζουσιν, ἐν πικρίᾳ σου*, and to servants, *Ἦμεῖς δὲ οἱ δοῦλοι ὑποταγήσεσθε τοῖς κυρίοις ὑμῶν ὡς τύπῳ θεοῦ ἐν αἰσχύνῃ καὶ φόβῳ* (Barnabas¹ has only trifling differences besides transposing the precepts) are probably founded on Eph.

¹ c. xix. 7. His words are *ὑποταγήση κυρίοις ὡς τύπῳ θεοῦ ἐν αἰσχύνῃ καὶ φόβῳ. οὐ μὴ ἐπιτάξης δούλῳ σου ἢ παιδίσκῃ ἐν πικρίᾳ, τοῖς ἐπὶ τὸν αὐτὸν θεὸν ἐλπίζουσιν*.

vi. 9, 5, ἀπειλή being represented by πικρία, which is used earlier in the Epistle. In both cases the coincidence lies in the thoughts rather than in the words, but it is best accounted for by supposing the Epistle to the Ephesians to have been known to the writer. Eph. iv. 31.

To this evidence furnished by those who are called the Fathers, there is little that is substantial to add from the sadly scanty remains of those who are called the heretics. The Epistle was evidently extant in Marcion's time, and must have been extant some time before him, if his Apostolicon was founded on a previous collection of St Paul's Epistles; but this is a disputed point, on which we have no positive evidence. Nor do we know Marcion's own time except vaguely, and to what period of his life the Apostolicon belongs we do not know at all. Apparently his main activity belongs to the latter part of the first half of the second century. The Epistle is several times quoted in the very interesting extracts made by Hippolytus from a book attributed to Basilides. Basilides belongs to Hadrian's reign, i.e. about the second or third decade of the second century, and I fully believe those extracts to be genuine: but some think them to have been written by a later disciple, so that for our present purpose it is better to leave them out of consideration. Other quotations of the Epistle to the Ephesians in Pseudo-Gnostical writings or extracts apparently belonging

to the latter part of the second century, may be neglected as too late to come into account.

We have now gone over all the early evidence for the existence of the Epistle to the Ephesians, and what we have found is this. In the authorities certainly belonging to the first century, Clement of Rome and the Two Ways, we have highly probable though not absolutely certain evidence. Of Hermas, a writer who may belong to any time early in the second century, much the same may be said, though here the probability almost reaches certainty. In Ignatius, probably about ten years from the beginning of the century, we find absolute certainty in one case (*ὡς ὁ κύριος τὴν ἐκκλησίαν*), as well as high probability in others. Lastly, in Polycarp, about the same time, there are two clear quotations which do not admit of doubt. From Barnabas and from the Didache—except the Two Ways—we obtain no evidence. Thus it is all but certain on this evidence that the Epistle to the Ephesians was in existence by about 95 A.D., quite certain that it was in existence by about fifteen years later, or conceivably a little more. Escape from this conclusion is possible only to those who treat the Epistles of Clement of Rome, Ignatius, and Polycarp as likewise spurious; and for the discussion of that question no better guide can be found than Lightfoot.

B. *Internal Evidence.*

From this preliminary survey of the external evidence bearing on possible limits for the date of the Epistle, as supplied by the traces of its use in the earlier post-biblical Christian writers, we pass to the internal evidence bearing on both its date and its authorship. It will simplify matters to begin with the more extreme views which have been entertained as to the late date implied by internal evidence: they will not detain us long. Half a century ago the prominence given to the Holy Spirit in the teaching of the Epistle, and the way in which prophets are in three places associated with apostles, were supposed to prove the author of the Epistle to have sympathised largely with the Montanist movement, and this would no doubt carry it a long way into the second century. Another supposed indication of Montanist influence was found in the language about the "building up of the body of Christ" and the "growing up to a perfect man," which was taken to reflect the Montanist idea of a fuller ripeness of the Church brought about by the Paraclete; though there is not a trace of Montanist watchwords. Again the language about Christ and the Church was thought to be connected with the

Eph. ii. 20,
iii. 5, iv. 11.

c. iv. 12 f.

Montanist doctrine of Monogamy; on which supposition no comment is necessary. This particular fancy soon went out of favour, as the utter incongruity between our Epistle and the Montanistic habit of mind became more apparent on consideration. As Holtzmann himself says, "With such an explanation almost all the Pauline Epistles might be Montanistic¹."

More widely spread and more lasting has been the appeal to certain words prominent in the Epistle as derived from those whom we call Gnostics, and so suggesting a date in or about the second quarter of the second century. The most tempting of these words were *πλήρωμα* and *αἰῶνες*, both however used in senses alien to the Pseudo-Gnostical, though it is probable enough that a misunderstanding of the language of our Epistle contributed to the Pseudo-Gnostical terminology. The *πολυποίκιλος σοφία* ascribed to God in the Epistle was supposed to be an allusion to the varied romance of doings and sufferings attributed to the Divine Hachamoth (or Wisdom) in the Valentinian mythology. Accessory evidence to the same purport was found in the weight given to *σοφία* in two other places of our Epistle, though we find a similar emphasis in St Paul's earlier Epistles; and, strange to say, even in the use of the word *γνώσις* in the prayer that the recipients of the Epistle might "come to know the love of Christ which is above all knowing," though in the earlier Epistles

Eph. iii.
10.

c. i. 9, 17.

c. iii. 19.

¹ *Kritik der Epheser- und Kolosserbrief*, p. 276 (Leipzig, 1872).

the word is freely used in a sense really nearer that which we call the Gnostic sense.

This dating of the Epistle by imagined references to Pseudo-Gnostical phraseology of the second century has still some few supporters, but has no claim to be discussed further. This cannot, however, be said of the view which has next to be considered, and which in one form or another has many able advocates. These critics recognise the absence from the Epistle of any tangible marks of the second century, but they hold that it belongs to a different and later stage of thought and feeling from that of St Paul, though it goes on the same general lines; that is, they ascribe it to an advanced disciple of St Paul rather than to the apostle himself. Such a view allows considerable latitude of dating: in accordance with it the Epistle might be almost as old as St Paul or—to take the other extreme—it might be as late as a quite early part of the second century. Then, in addition to the supposed marks of a Paulinism too advanced for St Paul, it is likewise alleged that there are marks of simply different authorship, differences of language, style and the like. And further, besides the evidence said to be afforded by the Epistle itself taken alone, appeal is made to other evidence obtained from comparison with other Epistles, that to the Colossians and the First Epistle of St Peter. All these kinds of supposed evidence have been worked out with admirable care and subtlety in a succession of recent

books, such as Holtzmann's introduction to the New Testament¹ (which, however, needs to be supplemented from his earlier book on the Epistles to the Ephesians and Colossians), the new edition of Pfleiderer's *Paulinismus*², and the recent commentaries of Klöpper³ and Von Soden⁴.

It would be vain to attempt to set forth and examine all the particulars of the supposed evidence under these heads within the limits of these lectures. But it will be well to give some little time to those points in which either the appearance of difference is most plausible or which have otherwise most interest. If in this way we are delayed from entering on the interpretation of the opening verses of the Epistle, yet it would be a mistake to suppose that we shall be occupied exclusively with questions of Introduction. Our inquiry must inevitably include some examination of important passages of the Epistle, so that in the guise of Introduction we shall in fact be brought in contact with a succession of questions of Interpretation.

The supposed marks of a later time than St Paul's lie partly in changed circumstances presupposed in the Epistle, partly in changed doctrine as expressed

¹ *Lehrbuch der historisch-kritiker Einleitung in das Neue Testament*. Freiburg, 1892 (3te Auflage).

² *Der Paulinismus*. Leipzig, 1890.

³ *Der Brief an die Epheser*. Göttingen, 1891.

⁴ In Holtzmann's *Handcommentar zum Neuen Testament*, Band III., Freiburg, 1891.

in the Epistle itself. The two cannot, however, be kept apart; for evidently a change of circumstances might in itself quite naturally lead to a change in at least the proportion and mode of expression of doctrine. What then are the facts? First, to speak in quite general terms, no one who carefully reads the Epistle to the Ephesians can doubt that its doctrinal contents do differ considerably from those of any one of St Paul's earlier Epistles, or of all of them taken together. But that proves little. What we really have to ask is whether the differences are morally incompatible with identity of authorship.

Now it seems tolerably obvious that a great theological teacher, such as St Paul confessedly was, in writing to different Churches under different circumstances would naturally be led to lay stress on different parts of the sum total of his belief; so that at one time this, at one time that doctrine or aspect of doctrine might be expected to fall into subordination or to be altogether unnoticed. And we have an additional assurance that it would be so in the fact that in the Epistle to the Romans St Paul shows, to say the least, how catholic-minded he was, how little disposed to measure truth by a monotonous partisan standard.

All this would hold good supposing his own thoughts and beliefs to have remained stationary from the time he went forth from Antioch till his death. But that is most unlikely. A mind like his,

in constant living contact with truth, needing and receiving fresh enlightenment from day to day, for dealing with new and changing needs of the Churches, must assuredly have known growth. New experience must have brought new light, giving comparatively clear vision of truths hitherto imperfectly grasped or even overlooked altogether, and often changing the relative importance of truths already familiar. And, supposing such a growth to have arisen, it would be strange if it left no traces in the extant Epistles of different dates. The supposition does no injury to their authority as books of Scripture ; it only helps to wean us from the delusively and unreal simple habit of using them as detached oracles, and helps us to understand better the manifoldness of truth through their manifold adaptation in respect of time and place and circumstance.

It would therefore be unreasonable to take the presence of new doctrinal ideas, or a new proportion among earlier doctrinal ideas, as evidence of different authorship, unless there be a real want of harmony between the later and the earlier teaching, and unless the later way of thinking and speaking cannot be easily conceived as a natural outgrowth of the earlier in the case of a single man, and that such a man as we know St Paul to have been. It is agreed on both sides that this is a case of natural outgrowth ; so that the question is simply whether it is such a natural outgrowth as can be reasonably

attributed to the apostle himself under changing circumstances, or such as must be due to two different minds, the younger being however united to the elder by bonds of intimate and sympathetic discipleship, doctrinal if not personal.

Let us now look a little at some of the chief combinations of identity and difference between St Paul's earlier recorded theology and that of the Epistle to the Ephesians. Pfleiderer's chapter¹ on the Epistle will conveniently bring to our notice the questions raised by a temperate and careful opponent of its genuineness. We may begin with the relation of Jews to Gentiles as Christians. In the Epistles to the Galatians and Romans, and especially in the former, we have records of St Paul's efforts to maintain for Christian converts from heathenism a place within the Christian fold wholly equal with that held by converts of Jewish birth. Here in the Epistle to the Ephesians the assured position of the Gentile Christians is simply taken for granted. Nay, while it is they that stand in the foreground of the Epistle, they are taught to recognise and revere the privileges granted by God to the old Israel in the old time, and to cultivate brotherhood with the living Christian heirs of those now superseded prerogatives. This union of complete rejection of exclusive Jewish claims on behalf of Law or circumcision with earnest insistence on the divine calling of Israel as

¹ *Der Paulinismus* II. c. 3.

the foundation of the Christian calling for Jew and Gentile alike, is entirely in the spirit of the Epistle to the Romans. Only the stress, so to speak, is shifted, because the circumstances have shifted, as Pfleiderer fully allows. The difference, it is truly said, lies in the clearness and emphasis with which the idea of Catholicity, that is to say universality, is in this Epistle for the first time put forward, in the spirit of the Fourth Gospel. The duty of Jewish and Gentile fellowship is here deduced from the eternal purpose of God and the very idea of the Christian faith, not, as in earlier Epistles, from arguments about the Law and the Promise. Yet again this is only the teaching of the Epistle to the Romans a little more unfolded. Those arguments about Law and Promise have their proper place in a refutation of Jewish exclusive claims such as was in place in the early chapters of that Epistle, but would have been out of place in the Epistle to the Ephesians. Moreover St Paul regards them as themselves part of the evidence for the true nature of God's all-embracing purposes for the whole human race which are set forth in the Epistle to the Ephesians, but set forth also implicitly in Rom. ix—xi. and in the final Doxology; to say nothing of the far-reaching, but often forgotten, significance of the words *πᾶς*, *πάντες*, which may almost be called the keywords of that Epistle.

Once more, in both Epistles alike, though in different language, the need for the universal salva-

tion is made to rest on the universality of the previous corruption. In Eph. ii. 1—3 the reference to the previous Gentile corruption is emphatically followed up by an assertion of a corresponding Jewish corruption (*καὶ ὑμᾶς ὄντας νεκρούς...ἐν οἷς καὶ ἡμεῖς πάντες ἀνεστράφημέν ποτε* and especially *καὶ ἡμεθα τέκνα φύσει ὀργῆς ὡς καὶ οἱ λοιποί*). This exactly answers to the way in which the terrible indictment of heathendom in Rom. i. 18—fin. is followed up by the condemnation of the Jews in ii. 17—fin., and both are placed on a level in this respect in iii. 9 and elsewhere. It is said indeed that the Epistle to the Ephesians differs from the earlier Epistles by its language about the Gentiles having been “far off.” But the phrase came in most naturally with the reminiscence of the language of Isaiah lvii. 19; lii. 7, and was in itself a natural way of expressing an obvious fact; which in like manner is in Rom. xi. for another purpose expressed by the much less obvious image of the wild olive tree. Eph. ii. 13, 17.

Again it is said that, by a divergence in the opposite direction, the Epistle to the Ephesians speaks of circumcision not simply as obsolete but as in itself contemptible, in a way that St Paul’s writings never do. The passage is c. ii. 11, *ὑμεῖς τὰ ἔθνη ἐν σαρκί, οἱ λεγόμενοι ἀκροβυστία ὑπὸ τῆς λεγομένης περιτομῆς ἐν σαρκὶ χειροποιήτου*. Here *λεγομένης*, the word that gives offence, is called forth in answer to the preceding *λεγόμενοι*; and its sense, ‘so called circumcision,’ so far

from being un-Pauline, is (taken by itself) a paradox, which is at once explained when we remember the language of Rom. ii. 28 f., where the circumcision which is ἐν τῷ φανερώ ἐν σαρκί is pronounced to be not the true circumcision, which title belongs only to circumcision of heart.

This view of the parallelism, so to speak, of Jew and Gentile and the equal union of both in Christ leads us to another great and prominent head of the distinctive teaching of our Epistle, its teaching about *the Church*. Here for the first time, we hear Christians throughout the world described as together making up a single Ecclesia, i.e., assembly of God, or Church; and here for the first time we find the relation of Christ to *the* or *a* Church conceived as that of a Head to the Body. But these novelties of thought and language stand in the closest connexion with what preceded. The union of Jew and Gentile in a single undivided society, on the basis of their one and identical standing before God and their one faith in the one Lord, itself constituted a single universal people of God; and this, as we have seen, was just what was involved in the teaching of the Epistle to the Romans.

Another impulse towards laying stress on the unity of the society of Christians throughout the world doubtless came from the position of St Paul as writing from Rome. The eagerness with which, as we see from the Acts of the Apostles and the Epistle to the Romans, he had looked forward to

personal converse with the Roman Church after carrying the Gentile collection as a token of brotherhood to Jerusalem, arose out of a feeling that so a completeness would be divinely given to his own work as the herald of the Gospel to the Gentiles, by his presence in the centre of the Gentile world. That hope had been long delayed by imprisonment: but now at last St Paul found himself at Rome, manifestly brought thither by the hand of God. With everything there reminding him of the external unity of the Empire, it could not be strange if his surroundings added force to his thought of a still more comprehensive unity resting on faith in the unseen Lord.

Nor again would it be strange that he should use the name *Ecclesia* in this new and extended sense, although hitherto it had been applied only to the Christian community of Jerusalem or Judea, or to individual local Christian communities outside the Holy Land. That early Christian community of Palestine, when as yet there were none in Gentile lands, had rightly appropriated to themselves this name of Israel, not improbably guided thereto by our Lord's words to St Peter; and now, when there was living in many lands such a varied multitude of those who, in St Paul's own phrase addressed to the Corinthians, "called upon the name of our Lord 1 Cor. i. 2. Jesus Christ in every place," nothing was more natural than that St Paul should gather them to-

gether under that one ancient name *Ecclesia*, believing as he did that they all were members of God's true Israel.

As an argument against the Pauline origin of our Epistle, it is urged by some that this insistence on the unity of the Church is the mark of a much later time when the churches of different lands were drawing closer together in resistance to common dangers, and binding themselves together by a single organisation. This however is to misunderstand the Epistle altogether. The unity of which it speaks has in itself nothing to do with organisation, though no doubt a sense of it might be expected to help towards the growth of organisation. The units of the one Church spoken of in the Epistle are not churches but individual men. From the first, each Christian community as soon as it was formed became as it were a school by which its members were trained in the life of mutual fellowship. Thus in St Paul's earlier Epistles, but with greater distinctness in the Epistle to the Ephesians, each Christian was taught to recognise the bonds which joined him to every other Christian throughout the world, and the debt of love and helpfulness which he owed in some manner to each and all. This teaching is entirely in St Paul's own spirit; and it has no trace of such words or thoughts as must have inevitably accompanied the setting forth of an external unity. The society was in this sense external, that membership of it was constituted by

the external act of baptism and the accompanying public profession of faith : but the unity of this society was itself invisible, believed in by Christians but hidden from all who did not worship the unseen Lord.

Another new characteristic in the language used about the Church in our Epistle is the representation of Christ as the Head of the Body. The image of a body as expressive of the relations between the members of a society of men was old enough, being found in Greek Stoics. St Paul not only gave special force to it, but raised it into a higher sphere by connecting it with the relations of men to the Lord Himself. In the earlier Epistles, however, He is not spoken of as the Head of the Body. In Rom. xii. 4, 5 we read "Even as we have many members in one body, and all the members have not the same office (or action), so we, who are many, are one body in Christ, and severally members one of another." Here Christ appears as the bond or uniting element by which the multitude of individual men became a body: but the wide range of sense in which the pregnant phrase "in Christ" is used by St Paul, leaves it undetermined whether Christ Himself was regarded as having, so to speak, a place within the imagery of the body and its members. In 1 Cor. xii. the language is apparently more definite but more peculiar: "as the body is one, and hath many members, and all the members of the body, being ^{12.} 1 Cor. xii.

many, are one body, so also *is Christ*:"—not "so are we in Christ," but so "is Christ," i.e., He Himself is in some sense identified with the Christian body as made up of many members. Later on in the chapter

1 Cor. xii.
27.

we have the more familiar language "Now ye are a body of Christ, and severally members," where still there is nothing to suggest that Christ's relation to the body is that of head to the other members, and moreover in the words "Again the head [cannot say to the feet] I have no need of you" the head appears simply as one member among many.

ib. 21.

But though the language of Eph. i. 22, iv. 15 f. (which is also the language of Col. i. 18) is thus new, it was perfectly natural for St Paul himself to use. He had already employed the image of a head in an analogous though possibly not identical manner in a very remarkable verse of his first Epistle to the Corinthians, "Now I would have you know that the head of every man (*ἄνδρός*—man as distinct from woman) is Christ, and the head of a woman is the man (her husband), and the head of Christ is God." We must not stop to enquire into the exact force of headship in each of these three clauses, or in all. It is likely enough that the large range of meaning attaching to the Hebrew *rôsh* enabled St Paul to stretch as it were the meaning of the Greek *κεφαλή*. But the presence of the article before *κεφαλή* in the first clause, together with the insertion of *παντός* before *ἄνδρός*, in contrast to the absence

1 Cor. xi.
3.

of η in the second and third clauses, and of $\pi\acute{\alpha}\sigma\eta\varsigma$ in the second, suggests that it is as one out of many, as member of a body, that a man is spoken of as having Christ for his Head. And again in the second clause what is said of a husband as head of his wife is a distinct anticipation of Eph. v. 23, where the two headships are brought expressly together.

Another quite different antecedent of the idea of Christ's relation to the Church as Head, as we find it in the Epistle to the Ephesians, may safely be recognised in the association of the image of a building with that of a body as together shadowing forth the nature of the Christian fellowship, each being as it were complementary to the other. One who can look on Christ as the Cornerstone of the Temple not made with hands, would almost of necessity look upon Him likewise as the Head of the Body, even if he did not find a connecting link in the phrase '*Head of the Corner*' by which the fundamental Psalm, Ps. 118, described the Cornerstone. Now it is true we have no direct evidence from St Paul's earlier epistles that the image of the Cornerstone was familiar to his mind. But if we remember that this image came from Our Lord's own implicit appropriation of the words of the Psalm to Himself in the parable of the Wicked Husbandmen recorded in all the first three Gospels, not to speak of St Peter's reference to it before the Sanhedrim as well as afterwards in his

Mt. xxi.
42, Mk xii.
10, 11,
Lk. xx. 17.
Acts iv. 11.

1 Pet. ii. 4 f. epistle, it must be impossible for us to believe that the idea was either unknown to St Paul from the day that he became a Christian, or was rejected by him. On these then, as on other grounds, the negative fact that Christ is not called Head of the Church in the earlier Epistles, has no force towards shewing that this Epistle must have a different author.

We come next, by an easy transition, to the differences of the Epistle to the Ephesians from the earlier Epistles on the Person and Office of the Lord Himself, i.e., in what is now commonly known as Christology. Difficult as it may be to determine precisely the range of St Paul's belief on this central subject as implied in his earlier Epistles, it is at least clear that to his mind our Lord's human birth was no absolute beginning of existence, but, to use the image supplied to us by St John's Gospel, a descent from heaven. This is implied not only in the great passage of Phil. ii., but in the corresponding earlier language of 2 Cor. viii. 9 "how for your sakes he became poor, when he was rich" (*δι' ὑμᾶς ἐπτώχευσεν πλούσιος ὢν*). So also Gal. iv. 4 "God sent forth His Son, born of a woman, born under law, &c." and the similar Rom. viii. 3 "God sending His own Son in the likeness of flesh of sin &c." where the accompanying words exclude the supposition that St Paul meant a Sonship coming into existence only with the sending.

But in the Epistle to the Ephesians and still

more in that to the Colossians much more than this is said. The Lordship of Christ is extended from men to the whole created world, visible and invisible, and carried back to the very beginning of things. In Col. i. 16f. we are told explicitly that "in Him were all things created in the heavens "and upon the earth, things visible and things invisible,.....all things have been created through "Him and unto Him; and He is before all things "and in Him all things consist." In the Epistle to the Ephesians there is no clear reference to this primordial relation of Christ to the Universe; but all things in heaven as well as earth are represented as Eph. i. 10. sharing in the reconciliation effected by His death. In this Epistle the prominent subject is that Headship to the Ecclesia which likewise not only has a place in the Epistle to the Colossians, but stands there just after the words quoted above "And He is the Head of Col. i. 18f. "the Body, the Ecclesia, Who is the beginning, the first-born from the dead, that He might in all things be "Himself the First." But in our Epistle the foundation of this Headship of the Ecclesia is represented as laid in the very beginning of things. Membership of the Body is referred back to God's own election, and this again is apparently made to rest on a corresponding direction of the divine Will towards Christ Himself before all human history. God's *present* blessing of Eph. iii. Christians in all spiritual blessings in the heavenly ¹⁴ world in Christ is put in express parallelism with His

having chosen them from the first,—“even as He chose us *in Him* before the foundation of the world.” So also the full force of the language of the sixth and ninth verses of the first chapter implies that “*in Christ*,” “*in the Father’s Beloved*,” and in the Father’s mind towards Him, were implicitly involved, so to speak, from before the Creation the many sons who after long ages should by adoption be taken as it were into Him.

But though language of this kind is absent from the earlier epistles, they are not wanting in other language which at least points in the same direction. Both the primary relation of the universe to Christ and specially the relation of the Ecclesia to Him are involved in 1 Cor. viii. 6; “to us there is one God, “the Father, from (or out of) Whom are all things, and “we unto (or into) Him; and one Lord, Jesus Christ, “*through whom are all things, and we through Him.*” The connecting link between these two Headships, that of the universe and that of the Ecclesia, is the Headship of mankind: and of this there are intimations in the earlier Epistles, viz. in the replacement of Adam by Christ as the last or second Adam, i.e. as the true Head of the whole human race (so 1 Cor. xv. 45—49, compare also 22; Rom. v. 14, Ἀδάμ, ὃς ἐστὶ τύπος τοῦ μέλλοντος).

Again it is undeniably true that in the Epistle to the Ephesians Christ is spoken of as the agent in certain Divine acts in which the earlier Epistles

and that to the Colossians speak of God or the Father as the agent. In Col. i. 19, He Whose good pleasure it was that in Christ should all the *πλήρωμα* dwell, i.e., the Father, is said to have through Him reconciled all things into Him; perhaps also it is the Father that is said in the next clause to have made peace by the blood of His Cross. This is the usual and the *prima facie* interpretation, though a quite possible punctuation would favour the alternative view¹. Still more uncertain is the subject of the verb *ἀποκατήλλαξεν* (if that is the right reading) in verse 21; and there is an analogous ambiguity in Col. ii. 13 f.², preceded as this difficult passage is by a sentence of which God is clearly the subject, and followed by one in which Christ is clearly the subject. But in 2 Cor. v. 18 f. there can be no doubt that it is God Himself who appears as the Reconciler of men to Himself "through Christ." In Eph. ii. 16 on the other hand it is Christ who appears as the Reconciler, reconciling both Israel and the Gentiles (*τοὺς ἀμφοτέρους*) in one body to God through the Cross. No competent person probably would say that the two modes of speech are *contradictory*: but,

¹ Put *ὅτι ἐν αὐτῷ*—*εἰς αὐτόν* into a parenthesis, so joining *εἰρηνοποιήσας* to the clause ending with *πρωτεύων*, this arrangement requires the (quite possible) omission of the second *δι' αὐτοῦ*, and the assignment of a virtually transitive force to *εἰρηνοποιήσας* with a sense nearly equivalent to that involved in *ἀποκαταλλάξαι*.

² Put *καὶ ὑμᾶς*—*σὺν αὐτῷ* (or possibly *παραπτώματα*) into a parenthesis.

though not contradictory, are they so different in conception as to suggest different authorship? I cannot think so. The language of the Second Epistle to the Corinthians answers to what one may call St Paul's normal theology, in which the Father is set before us as the primary agent in all the economy of salvation, the Son as the intermediate agent or instrument [this thought is commonly expressed by *διὰ* with the genitive], through whom the Father's action takes effect. But nowhere in St Paul's writings are we led to think of Christ as a mere instrument: He is always the living Son, gladly fulfilling the living Father's Will. It is moreover worth notice

Col. iii. 13. that in the Epistle to the Colossians "the Lord" (v. 1. "the Christ": doubtless Christ is meant in either case) appears as forgiving (*ἐχαρίσατο*) men their offences, while in that to the Ephesians the forgiveness (again *ἐχαρίσατο*) is ascribed to "God in Christ": i.e. the variation of language is inverted. We have no reason therefore to think that another than St Paul must be speaking to us when we read a passage in which the ultimate agency of the Father is passed over in silence, and the one agent named is Christ. The motive for this less usual way of speaking is easily seen if we read carefully the whole of Eph. ii. 11—22 on the admission of the Gentiles to a share in the Messiah of Israel. The middle sentence, vv. 14—18, beginning "For Himself is our Peace," and ending "because through Him we both have our access in

Eph. iv.
32.

one Spirit unto the Father," would most naturally keep Him as its subject throughout, and lay the stress on His share in the work of reconciliation, unless to do so were felt to be a departure from truth.

So again it is urged that while in 1 Cor. xii. 28 the various functions and gifts in the Church are referred to God as their author, in Eph. iv. 11 a similar office is assigned to Christ. Doubtless the fact is so; but the difference of contexts at once explains it. In 1 Cor. xii. St Paul is expounding the relation of the body to its several members as a divine ordinance (a part of creation, so to speak), the special collocation and function of each being arranged by Him (*ἐθετο* v. 18). And so, when in v. 28 he comes down to the concrete functions and gifts of actual Churches, he repeats that they too owe their several places to the discriminating purpose of God (again *ἐθετο ὁ θεός*). In Eph. iv. on the contrary St Paul is speaking of the gifts which Christ sent down when He had ascended up on high, the historical fulfilment, as it were, of the original purpose.

His starting point is the quotation from Psalm lxviii., Eph. iv. 8;
or rather from a Christian adaptation of it, with Ps. lxviii.
18.

'given' substituted for 'received' ("when He ascended on high, He led captivity captive, and gave gifts to men"): and when, three verses further on, he proceeds "And Himself gave some apostles, some prophets &c.," the word 'gave' (*ἔδωκεν*) is caught up from the 'gave'

in the modified Psalm, and thus brought into close connexion with the results of the Ascension. But there is nothing unnatural in supposing that the same writer might, when writing from these two different points of view, in the one case ascribe the agency to God, in the other to Christ.

The high improbability that these various differences of language are due to difference of theology is shewn by the emphasis with which the subordination of the Son to the Father is implied in i. 17 ("the God of our Lord Jesus Christ"), not to speak of the various important passages in which God Himself stands at the head as Himself the doer of deeds of blessing for men. The two sides of the truth with which we are now dealing are brought together in strict conformity with St Paul's acknowledged teaching, in iv. 32—v. 2 (where the sense is obscured by making a new chapter or section), "Forgiving each other, even as *God* also *in Christ* forgave you. Be ye therefore imitators *of God* [not of Christ], as beloved children; and walk in love, as Christ also loved you, and gave Himself up for you, an offering and a sacrifice to God for an odour of a sweet smell."

cp. Rom.
v. 5—11;
viii. 32—
39.

Another alleged indication of different authorship for this Epistle is the prominence given to the Holy Spirit. Doubtless the contrast with the Epistle to the Colossians is great in this respect; but there is

no similar contrast with the earlier epistles, especially with the Epistle to the Romans and the First Epistle to the Corinthians. The critic who dwells on the supposed peculiarity himself rightly associates it with the prominence given to the Ecclesia in the Epistle to the Ephesians, and thus suggests the true answer. In the First Epistle to the Corinthians and in that to the Ephesians alike St Paul is anxiously insisting on the mutual duties of members of the Christian community, and therefore has need to go back to the inner principle of its life, the one uniting Spirit. Only in the First Epistle to the Corinthians he is dealing with the Ecclesia of a single city, the members of which were in constant converse with each other; in the Epistle to the Ephesians he is dealing with the universal Ecclesia, the members of which were scattered through many lands, so that the hidden bonds of fellowship between them were only too liable to be forgotten: and thus the language in which the Spirit is set forth as an object for faith takes naturally a higher flight in the latter case than in the former.

A more really significant change perhaps than any of these is a change in the tone of speaking about the present and the future. The immediate imminence of the Coming of the Lord has faded out of view; the anticipation of it seems now to include a sense of its possible remoteness. No stress can rightly be laid on

the passage chiefly cited to shew that the Epistle to the Ephesians has the thought of Christian men as already in heaven while here on earth (*καὶ συνήγειρεν καὶ συνεκάθισεν ἐν τοῖς ἐπουρανίοις ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ*), the reference being to the Ascension as implicitly involving for the members what was confessedly true for the Head. But the sense of present blessedness does pervade the Epistle; and moreover what is said on the purposes of the bestowal of the gifts of Christ from above suggests, to say the least, the image of a long and gradual growth reaching far out into the future from age to age, consisting partly in the perfecting of the Christian community and its members, partly (I cannot but think, though this is not explicitly written) in the gathering together of the human race into this its true and proper community, through knowledge of the Son of God in accordance with the purpose of that Gospel to the nations of which so much is said in the Epistle. But nothing was more natural than that a change like this should come over St Paul's mind, when year after year passed away, and still there was no sign of the Lord's coming, and when the spread of the faith through the Roman Empire, and the results which it was producing, would give force to all such ways of thinking as are represented by the image of the leaven leavening the lump. In the earlier Epistles themselves there is a certain gradation in this respect from the earliest extant, the two to the Thessalonians,

Eph. ii. 6. already in heaven while here on earth (*καὶ συνήγειρεν*

Eph. iv. 11—16. does pervade the Epistle; and moreover what is said on the purposes of the bestowal of the gifts of Christ from above suggests, to say the least, the image of a long and gradual growth reaching far out into the future from age to age, consisting partly in the perfecting of the Christian community and its members, partly (I cannot but think, though this is not explicitly written) in the gathering together of the human race into this its true and proper community, through knowledge of the Son of God in accordance with the purpose of that Gospel to the nations of which so much is said in the Epistle. But nothing was more natural than that a change like this should come over St Paul's mind, when year after year passed away, and still there was no sign of the Lord's coming, and when the spread of the faith through the Roman Empire, and the results which it was producing, would give force to all such ways of thinking as are represented by the image of the leaven leavening the lump. In the earlier Epistles themselves there is a certain gradation in this respect from the earliest extant, the two to the Thessalonians,

onwards to that to the Romans. That reflexion on God's ordering of the ages of the human past which leaves so deep a mark on the last-named Epistle, and which reappears in other language in that to the Ephesians, might easily suggest the thought that perhaps a long human future still remained, to be drawn out and governed by the same divine counsel. It is on the strength of an appeal in chapter iii. to what God had purposed and done in the ages of the past that at the end of the chapter the doxology breaks forth, "To Him that is able to do exceeding abundantly above all that we ask or think, according to the power that is made to work in us, to Him be the glory in the Ecclesia and in Christ Jesus unto all generations of the age of the ages."

Another point, supposed to be unfavourable to St Paul's authorship, is the manner in which "the apostles" are twice spoken of, "built upon the Eph. ii. 20. foundation of the apostles and prophets," and "revealed to His holy apostles and prophets in [the] Eph. iii. 5. Spirit." In so far as the incongruity is thought to arise from a fundamental and permanent opposition between St Paul and the Twelve, it would be evidently impossible to discuss so vast a question now. But it is worth while to notice how short-sighted it would be to deduce the true nature of St Paul's relations with the Twelve from certain well-

known language about the Twelve employed in the Epistle to the Galatians and the Second Epistle to the Corinthians. That language was wholly defensive: its purpose was not to impugn the apostolic authority of the Twelve, but to vindicate St Paul's own against men who, by claiming for the Twelve an exclusive apostolicity, were striving to undermine St Paul's position and undo his work. But the true apostolicity of the Twelve was not, and could not be, doubted by St Paul: indeed it is assumed in his vindication of his own title to call himself an apostle, i.e. to be as one of them.

Nor again is there a word in his earlier epistles which suggests that the Twelve even at that time objected to the terms on which he preached the Gospel to the Gentiles: nay, the contrary is implied in the verses that come between that very passage from which we learn of the temporary hesitation of some of the Twelve and that other passage which records St Peter's equally temporary cowardice at Antioch. This evidence too is independent of the explicit account in Acts xv., the trustworthiness of which is questioned by many, on plausible but quite insufficient grounds. And if this was the attitude of the Twelve to St Paul at the time of his second missionary journey, still more was it likely that they would recognise his Gospel to the Gentiles as in a special manner owned by God at this later time when Eastern Europe as well as Western Asia

abounded in churches in large measure of heathen origin.

We need not discuss now whether the 'prophets' here mentioned were or were not, wholly or in part, identical with the 'apostles.' Thus much is certain, that the two names represent the two types of guidance specially given to that earliest age; that of apostles, as eyewitnesses of the Lord's own life, death, and resurrection, and that of prophets, as receivers of special present monitions from the Holy Spirit. We learn from the Acts of the Apostles the part played by these prophetic monitions in the recognition of fresh steps in the expansion of church membership as divinely ordained, the most striking example being that of the original Antiochian mission of Paul and Barnabas. Thus the phrase about its having been ^{Acts xiii.} in that latest generation 'revealed to Christ's holy ^{I—4.} apostles and prophets in [the] Spirit that the Gentiles are fellow-heirs' does but sum up in a pregnant form what had been the real course of things.

Nor is any additional difficulty created, as some think, by the epithet 'holy,' which it is said would naturally be used only by a writer of the next or a later generation, to whom the apostles were venerated figures of the past. Doubtless this would be a natural origin for the epithet: but a not less natural one would be St Paul's sense of the peculiarly consecrated function which apostles and prophets had to discharge for the whole body of ἅγιοι (cp. Luke i. 70 τῶν ἁγίων

ἀπ' αἰῶνος προφητῶν αὐτοῦ). Such an usage would be exactly like his use of κλητός for himself in association with a church of κλητοί. Every one will feel how incongruous it would have been as a matter of language for him to speak of himself directly and individually as 'holy': but the incongruity vanishes when he merges himself in the body of apostles, and in his usage the conceptions of *calledness* (so to speak) and holiness are nearly connected.

This passage which we have been examining, so understood, shews in its turn how fitly in the twin passage St Paul himself could speak of the Gentiles now admitted to be of the household of God as "built upon the foundation of the Apostles and prophets." He had in mind the historical order of the actual structure and growth of the Ecclesia itself, not any authority over the Ecclesia. The foundation itself, the lowest course of living stones (as St Peter would say), consisted of those who had been chosen to look upon the Lord in His human manifestation and bear witness of what they had beheld, and of those who had been chosen to be the utterers of special voices of the Spirit. To them were added, and on them were built up as fresh members of their community, the multitudes, first of Jews, then also of Gentiles, who believed through their word. It matters little that this precise conception is without parallel in St Paul's earlier Epistles. Given that his purpose was to bring out the various privileges to which Gentile

Christians had been admitted, and that in connexion with the general image of a building inhabited by God,—an image of which he makes ample use elsewhere, but which was of special value to him for the purposes of this Epistle—then the special image of the foundation would be at once a natural and a vivid way of setting forth the true historic basis on which Gentile no less than Jewish Christendom rested. Nor would he by so using it, as some say, contradict as it were the image employed by himself in 1 Cor. iii. 10 ff., where it is said that “other foundation can no man lay but that which is laid, which is Jesus Christ,” for there he is not speaking of the Christian society, but the Christian faith: what is there spoken of as built on the foundation is not men but teachings or ways of life. Nor in the Epistle to the Ephesians is there reason to doubt that the cornerstone is itself part of the foundation.

Once more, the *prima facie* singularity of that twice repeated phrase about apostles and prophets disappears when we observe that in this same chapter Eph. iv. apostles and prophets stand first in the list of gifts ^{11.} given to men by the ascended Christ, these two and these alone having unique and exceptional functions of direct divine origin, needed for the exceptional wants of the apostolic age. And this is not all, for they stand in a precisely similar manner at the head of that analogous list of representative orderings by God of members of a body which came

before us a little while ago in 1 Cor. xii.¹ Thus the *prima facie* peculiarity of the phrase as it occurs in the two first passages is entirely removed.

From the language of the Epistle about the apostles as a body we pass naturally to its language about St Paul himself, which is in like manner said to be unfavourable to his authorship. It is thought strange and forced that St Paul should dwell thus emphatically on the special charge received by him from God towards the Gentiles. It would perhaps be enough to point in reply to language implying the same charge, if less elaborate in wording, in earlier Epistles, as Rom. xi. 13; xv. 16, and several verses of the Epistle to the Galatians. But there is still stronger, if less obvious, justification, supposing that any justification be needed, for such language as coming from St Paul writing at Rome to the Christians of Western Asia Minor. To two temptations in particular these mainly Gentile churches would after a time be exposed, first to sit loose to all fellowship with Jewish Christians and to the historic basis of the Christian faith as laid in Israel; and secondly to misuse the freedom from the Jewish Law, making it into an excuse for a free and easy kind of Christianity, somewhat negligent, to say the least, of common duties towards God and man, even towards the brethren. A large part of the Epistle is virtually a

Eph. iii.
1—3, 7;
iv. 1; vi.
20.

¹ See page 139.

solemn exhortation on these two heads, and there was every reason why St Paul in thus writing should appeal to the special right which he had to give the warning, he the divinely appointed champion of Gentile freedom, and now a prisoner owing to his labours in that cause.

It is hardly credible that this language, vindicating St Paul's claim to be listened to by Gentile Christians, should have been thought to make unreal those other words in iii. 8, "to me, who am less than the least of all saints, was this grace given," words which are accordingly treated as a clumsy exaggeration of what the true St Paul had written to the Corinthians ^{1 Cor. xv.} about being 'the least of the apostles, not meet to ^{9.} be called an apostle.' There the range of comparison is limited by the context, here there was no ground for stopping short of "all saints." In striving to bring the Asiatic Christians to a true sense of the greatness of the grace of God shewn to them, he speaks in the power of his own deep sense of the greatness of the grace of God shewn to himself. The individual unworthiness does but lift higher his calling as the apostle of the Gentiles. Nay, the combination and contrast of the individual unworthiness and the divine calling are explicitly set forth in the most obviously Pauline of the early Epistles, that to the ^{Gal. i.} Galatians. ^{13-16.}

More plausible is the appeal to Eph. iii. 2-4, a difficult passage, at all events at first sight. The

expression of an assurance that the recipients of the letter, as they read it, would be able to appreciate the writer's understanding in the mystery of the Christ is said to be an awkward way of cultivating assent, unworthy (I suppose it is meant) of St Paul's dignified directness. Nor is it to be denied that there is something unusual and obscure in the language used if, as is generally if not universally assumed, the "reading" (*ἀναγινώσκοντες*) anticipated for the recipients of the Epistle means reading of the Epistle itself, or of some part of it. Yet even so the evidence against St Paul's authorship would be trivial and untrustworthy, taken by itself: for nothing is more characteristic of St Paul than to use language modified by undercurrents of thought or feeling which are not at all obvious to trace. My own impression, however, is that the reading spoken of is not of the Epistle, but of the prophetic parts of the Old Testament. Such, I am convinced, is the meaning in that remarkable phrase of Mat. xxiv. 15, || Mark xiii. 14, *ὁ ἀναγινώσκων νοεῖτω*¹. There is no force in what is commonly said against this interpretation of our first two Gospels, that in St Mark no mention is made of Daniel, the prophet supposed to be read; for even without his name so remarkable a phrase as that translated "the abomination of desolation" would at

¹ We have the same combination here *δύνασθε ἀναγινώσκοντες νοῆσαι*. It occurs again in Origen *de Principiis* iv. quoted in *Philocalia* i. 8 τῆς ἀναγνώσεως καὶ νοήσεως of the Scriptures.

once bring to mind the book from which it was taken. But both in the Gospels and here the absolute use of the word *read* in the sense of reading Scripture was apparently in conformity with Jewish usage, as may be seen by various examples given under קרא and its derivatives in Levy and Fleischer's Lexicon¹, and that in the case of both reading aloud and silent reading. This use is apparently confirmed by the absolute use of τῇ ἀναγνώσει in 1 Tim. iv. 13, and the similar absolute use of ἀνάγνωσμα in the early Fathers (e.g. Origen *Cels.* iii. 50). This interpretation of ἀναγιγνώσκοντες in Eph. iii. 4 gives force to the otherwise obscure πρὸς ὃ which precedes. The recipients of the Epistle were to perceive St Paul's understanding in the mystery of Christ not simply by reading his exposition, but by keeping it in mind when they read ancient prophecy, comparing the one with the other. Thus the sense answers exactly to the sense of the phrase "by prophetic scriptures" (διὰ γραφῶν προφητικῶν) in a passage strikingly akin to our context here, occurring in the great doxology which closes the Epistle to the Romans, which in its turn is an echo of Rom. i. 2. Upon this view Eph. iii. 4 loses even the semblance of not being wholly worthy of St Paul.

Rom. xvi.
25 f.

One other department of evidence alleged to be

¹ *Neuhebräisches und chaldäisches Wörterbuch über die Talmudim und Midraschim.* Leipzig, 1876—89.

unfavourable to St Paul's authorship remains to be spoken of, that of language, which falls roughly under three heads, style, phraseology, and vocabulary. As regards style, much stress is laid on the unusually long sentences which meet us in some parts of the Epistle, made up of clauses linked on one to another, often interspersed with more or less parenthetical clauses, and sometimes running into irregular constructions. Thus much is true, and it would not be easy to find exact parallels elsewhere in St Paul's Epistles except in the similar passages of the Epistle to the Colossians and, to a certain extent, in such passages as Rom. i. 1—7; Phil. ii. 5—11; iii. 8—14. But it is difficult to recognise any truth in the allegation that the Epistle to the Ephesians is distinguished by verbosity and unmeaning copiousness of language. On the contrary, within each clause we find the closest packing of concentrated language, yet all fused together in one glowing stream. The latest and not the least intelligent of recent critics who have found the style of the Epistle un-Pauline has conveniently summed up his impressions on this matter in a single pair of contrasted epithets¹. The author of the Epistle to the Ephesians betrays, he thinks, a wholly different temperament as a writer from St Paul, a *phlegmatic* instead of a *choleric* temperament. To any one who feels the presence of a phlegmatic temperament in this Epistle, its style,

¹ Von Soden, p. 88.

and a good deal else besides, may well present some perplexities. The lofty calm which undeniably does pervade it may in part be due to the mellowing effect of years, but doubtless much more to the sense of dangers surmounted, aspirations satisfied, and a vantage ground gained for the world-wide harmonious action of the Christian community under the government of God. But, though the vehement moods of the earlier contests have subsided, many parts of the Epistle glow with a steady white heat which has to be taken account of as a considerable factor in the production of the supposed peculiarities of style.

Another cause of difference is this, that all the earlier epistles, that to the Romans in part excepted, are, so to speak, occasional writings, called forth by special conditions at special times. The Epistle to the Ephesians, as we have already seen, has on the other hand a more general character. Time and place are indeed by no means unimportant as determining what is to be written, but they are more in the distance than before. Now for the first time St Paul is free, as it were, to pour forth his own thoughts in a positive form, instead of carrying on an argument, and therefore being hampered by its necessary limitations: and this great change could not but greatly affect his style in some such manner as we find. It is true that the Epistle to the Romans was likewise to a considerable though less extent general in character; but a large proportion of what may be called

general in that Epistle was still either argumentative or at least expository. When however, as in some of its highest flights, it becomes simply affirmative (see c. i. 1—6, and the last twelve verses of c. viii., not to speak of the final Doxology), we are at once reminded of the Epistle to the Ephesians, alike by the matter and by the long drawn out style. Doubtless in those verses of c. viii. the texture, as it were, is different: the links of the chain are looser: but essentially the concatenation is there.

The evidence of phraseology and vocabulary is quite different from that of style, being made up of a number of separate particulars, which evidently cannot be dealt with in a complete manner within our limits. But it is worth while to speak of some considerations affecting this class of evidence. We will begin with the vocabulary.

Various Introductions supply lists of words found in Ephesians and not found elsewhere in the Bible, or found in the LXX., but not elsewhere in the New Testament. In these lists it is usual to omit the Pastoral Epistles, or to take account of them separately; and with good reason, for evidence derived from them as to St Paul's own diction would be disputed by the many critics who on undeniably plausible, though I believe inadequate, grounds deny their Pauline authorship, such denial being moreover in part founded on real differences of vocabulary. But it is an interesting

fact, not without a bearing on the genuineness both of the Epistle to the Ephesians, and of the Pastoral Epistles, that the four have in common a certain number of words not found in the earlier Epistles. But this is by the way, for we must return to the lists intended to illustrate the distinctness of the vocabulary of our Epistle. Lists of this kind are always delusive if taken in a crude numerical fashion. He must be a very monotonous writer indeed who does not use—for the most part unconsciously use—in each of his books a certain number of words which he does not use in his other books: and the same consideration evidently holds good in the comparison with the books of other writers, unless the comparison is made with a considerable mass of writing, and that dealing on the whole with the same class of subjects. Nor again have we any right to expect that the proportion of unique words (unique, I mean, in this limited sense) would be even approximately equal in different works of the same writer: and yet the causes of inequality would be so various, and often so unknown, that we could not expect to be able to account for more than a part of such inequalities as may present themselves. Hence, in such a case as this, the mere counting up of unique words employed is of almost no value: individual words must be looked at one by one.

It ought to be obvious that words occurring only in quotations have no just place in any of these lists. This simple test strikes away 9 out of the 76 words

occurring in one careful enumeration of the words of this Epistle not used by St Paul elsewhere, the Pastoral Epistles being left out of account. Then a large part of the list may safely be dismissed from consideration at once because required, or at least naturally suggested, by contexts which do not recur in other Epistles. Thus the unique passage on putting on the panoply of God supplies a number of words for which there is at least no obvious place in the earlier Epistles, *πανοπλία, βέλος, θυρεός, περιζώννυμαι, ὑποδοῦμαι, πάλη*, and again two which have a less obvious place there, *κοσμοκράτωρ, ἐτοιμασία*. A few lines later we come to *ἐν ἀλύσει*, which might at first sight be plausibly called a characteristic variation from St Paul's usual *ἐν δεσμοῖς*, till we notice the combination with *πρεσβεύω* (*πρεσβεύω ἐν ἀλύσει*), shewing that here the writer has in mind not the mere general thought of being in bonds, but the visual image of an ambassador standing up to plead his sovereign's cause and wearing, strangest of contradictions, a fetter by way of official adornment. Of other words falling under the same head elsewhere *μεσότοιχον, φραγμός, λουτρόν, ὕδωρ, ῥυτίς, σπίλος* may serve as examples. Again the fact that the unity of the Christian community is so largely dwelt on accounts at once for a group of words compounded with *σύν* not found in the other Epistles, or even in the New Testament, in addition to words which are found in the other books. Of the former

Eph. vi.
11—17.

Eph. vi.
20.

class are συναρμολογούμεαι, συνπολίτης, συνοικοδομούμεαι, σύνσωμος, συνμέτοχος. Somewhat analogous perhaps are a few cases in which ideas not peculiar to this Epistle are gathered up in a single unique and expressive word, as ἐχαρίτωσεν, ἐκληρώθημεν, πολυποίκιλος (ἡ πολυποίκιλος σοφία τοῦ Θεοῦ). Nor can any weight, as a rule, be attached to words found only here when St Paul elsewhere uses words differing only as different parts of speech, the fundamental meaning being the same. Thus καταρτισμός stands here alone, but elsewhere we find καταρτίζω and κατάρτισις; so προσκαρτέρησις, but in other Epistles προσκαρτερέω, and conversely in reference to the same subject, prayer, ἀγρυπνέω, but in the Second Epistle to the Corinthians ἀγρυπνία; ὁσιότης, but elsewhere ὁσίως; and ἄνοιξις followed by τοῦ στόματος, but in 2 Cor. vi. 11 the verb ἀνοίγω with τὸ στόμα. There remain a considerable number of words for the most part common in all ordinary Greek, the distribution of which in the Epistle to the Ephesians, in other Epistles of St Paul, in the New Testament, and in the Septuagint was likely, so far as we can see, to depend on all kinds of special and accidental causes, and therefore affords no evidence on the point which we are considering. One example must be noticed, because it has attracted an inordinate amount of attention. In the Epistle to the Ephesians ὁ διάβολος occurs twice, ὁ Σατανᾶς not at all; whereas St Paul's earlier Epistles are without ὁ διάβολος, but have ὁ

Σατανᾶς seven times. But in truth this alternative use of the Greek or the Hebrew form is exactly like the alternative use of the Greek or the Hebrew form of St Peter's name within the one Epistle to the Galatians, which has Πέτρος twice and Κηφᾶς four times. Moreover no less than six books of the New Testament, written by four different authors, have both ὁ διάβολος and ὁ Σατανᾶς; viz. St Matthew, St Luke, St John, Acts of the Apostles, First Epistle to Timothy, Apocalypse.

On the other hand no one doubts that the great bulk of the vocabulary of this Epistle is in accordance with Pauline usage, the question at issue being whether its distinctive elements point to a disciple rather than to the master himself. There are a few common words which it is a little surprising to find among the words peculiar to the Epistle to the Ephesians; as ἀγνοια, ἀπατάω, δῶρον, μέγεθος, πρότερος (adjective), φρόνησις: that is, it is a little surprising that St Paul should not have used them elsewhere. But the bare fact that each of these words stands there but once is enough to disqualify them from being taken as marking the style or usage of a different writer. Indeed all this evidence drawn from the mere presence or absence of words on comparison with other books of the same author, or of other authors, can never have much value unless it be copious or very peculiar,—much more so than is the case with respect to this Epistle.

In one small class of words, however, we escape these usually barren calculations and speculations, viz. those words which do occur both in the Epistle to the Ephesians and in earlier Epistles of St Paul, but which are said to be used in a different sense here. Thus it is said that *οἰκονομία* elsewhere in St Paul's writings (viz. once in 1 Cor. ix. 17; for Col. i. 25 is different) denotes St Paul's own stewardship, in this Epistle an ordering of the fulness of the times, or of the grace of God, or of the mystery that had been kept secret. But can there be anything more Pauline than by this use of the same word to point back from the human stewardship to its source and pattern in the divine stewardship? Again *περιποίησις* in i. 14 is said to be concrete, a special possession, that is to say, a thing specially possessed, whereas elsewhere (viz. once in each epistle to the Thessalonians) it is abstract, 'gaining' or 'acquisition.' The phrase here being confessedly an echo, though not an exact copy, of Old Testament language, the difference at best could weigh little. But in truth there is no difficulty in taking *περιποιήσεως* in its *prima facie* abstract sense, "unto God's redemption (buying back) of His own special ownership." It would take too long now to discuss the shades of meaning of that extremely difficult word *πλήρωμα*. For the present it must be enough to say that what seems the most peculiar use of it in this Epistle is, to the best of my belief, a natural extension or

application of a familiar sense of the word not without example in St Paul, and that the seeming peculiarity arises from the high and mysterious nature of the subject to which it is applied. The supposed peculiarity in the use of *μυστήριον* in one Eph. v. 32. passage of the Epistle to the Ephesians is of a different kind. Elsewhere (five times) in this Epistle, as also in that to the Colossians, it is used for God's hidden purpose, now at last revealed, to make Himself known to the Gentiles by the Gospel; this being merely a natural application of the common meaning 'secret' or 'secret purpose.' If therefore the sense in Eph. v. 32 is quite different, there is a difference of sense within the Epistle itself; and we need not be greatly surprised if we find that the meaning attached to the word in this passage differs again from that in other Epistles of St Paul: particularly as they contain much variety of application of at least its fundamental sense. But in reality the passage gains in force by the retention of the familiar Pauline sense: the law of marriage laid down in Genesis as given to Adam was for St Paul a preliminary indication of a hidden Divine purpose or ordinance, the full meaning of which was to be revealed only by the revealing of Christ as the Head of His spouse the Church. It would be only wasting time to consider now half a dozen other words which on the flimsiest grounds have been added to those of which I have spoken: they are *γενεά*, *ποιμήν*, *πράσσω*, *σβέννυμι*, and the perfect passive of

σώζω; this last being rather a case of supposed doctrinal discrepancy. There remains one word, the use of which is really in a manner unique, *ἐπουράνιος*, always in the phrase, five times repeated, *ἐν τοῖς ἐπουρανίοις*. Strictly speaking, however, it is only the phrase that is unique, for there is no definite change of sense in the word itself from 1 Cor. xv. 40, 48, 49 to the first four occurrences of the phrase in the Epistle to the Ephesians. In each of them the association of "the heavenly regions" with the present course of things comes naturally as part of that expansion of the thought of a future world of blessedness into that of a present higher world of blessedness, which we have already had to recognise in this Epistle. What is undeniably perplexing is the use of the same phrase in vi. 12 for the sphere of evil powers; involving the very difficult identification of it with the *ἀήρ* spoken of in ii. 2, the earthly atmosphere, and giving interest to the fact that the Syriac Version, though probably only by a virtual conjecture, translates as though the reading were *ἐν τοῖς ὑπουρανίοις*. But this difficulty is irrelevant for our present purpose, the contrasted use of the phrase being in the Epistle itself, not in the earlier Epistles.

Eph. i. 3,
20; ii. 6;
iii. 10.

The only remaining point to be spoken of in respect of language is the uniqueness of a certain number of phrases, consisting of several words. The

passage we have just been looking at supplies such
 Eph. vi. 12. a phrase, τὰ πνευματικὰ τῆς πονηρίας, and the passage
 Eph. ii. 2. referred to just before supplies another, τὸν ἄρχοντα
 Eph. i. 17. τῆς ἐξουσίας τοῦ αἵρος; so also we have ὁ πατήρ τῆς
 Eph. iii. δόξης; τὸν πατέρα, ἐξ οὗ πάντα πατριά ἐν οὐρανοῖς καὶ
 15. ἐπὶ γῆς ὀνομάζεται; τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ νοὸς ὑμῶν; and
 Eph. iv. 23. the list might easily be enlarged. With regard to all
 these uniquenesses nothing more need be said than
 has been said candidly already by Holtzmann, one of
 the most competent of the critics who deny the
 genuineness of the Epistle¹; "all these facts (i.e. such
 as we have been now taking note of) "have to be
 "recognised, though we may form different judge-
 "ments as to their force for purposes of proof. They
 "never stand opposed in direct hostility to St Paul's
 "doctrinal views as known from other sources, and
 "what occurs for instance in 1 Cor. vi. 3 (οὐκ οἴδατε
 "ὅτι ἀγγέλους κρινοῦμεν, μήτιγε βιωτικά;) is not less
 "unique." The fact is that all the evidence from
 language supposed to be unfavourable to St Paul's
 authorship is pretty generally acknowledged to be
 merely accessory and secondary, except that which is
 said to be furnished by style, which we have suffi-
 ciently examined already.

But it is impossible to leave this part of our

¹ *Kritik der Epheser und Kolosser Briefe* (Leipzig, 1872), p. 6.

subject without giving some attention to the special relations between the two Epistles to the Ephesians and to the Colossians, as they play a considerable part in the discussions which have taken place on the genuineness of the former Epistle. The complexity of the problem is attested by the variety of the views held by competent critics. While many accept and many deny the genuineness of both Epistles, others accept that to the Colossians but not that to the Ephesians, and others again hold the Epistle to the Colossians to be partly genuine, partly not.

We have already seen how great likeness there is between the two Epistles, and also how great unlikeness. Much of both the theological and the religious teaching of the Epistle to the Ephesians recurs in that to the Colossians, sometimes in the same or analogous positions, sometimes in different combinations: while on the other hand the latter differs essentially from the former in having a large part of its contents controversial, the points of controversy being specially connected with Judaism though not with the bindingness of the Mosaic Law; and it further differs from our Epistle, as we have already had ample occasion to notice, in the presence of much personal matter in the last twelve verses, not to speak of the opening salutation. But these are only the broader differences. Others not less interesting occur in many of the passages which shew most resemblance.

The problem being how best to account for the combination of the resemblances and the differences, we may put aside for the moment the solution involved in the supposition that both Epistles are genuine, viz. that they proceeded from the same mind at virtually the same time, and that the intended recipients of the one, while partly surrounded by the same circumstances as the recipients of the other, were also subjected to different influences of which the Apostle took account in writing to them. Nor need we discuss the abstract possibility that the resemblances might be due to use of a common original, dating from a later time than St Paul's life; for that no one, I believe, supposes. If the Epistle to the Ephesians be not genuine, the most obvious suggestion is that it was derived from the Epistle to the Colossians, whether that itself be genuine or not. The generality of the language of the Epistle to the Ephesians gives it an unusual look; while that to the Colossians in form is more like St Paul's other epistles; and the concrete shape taken by its controversial matter has a more obviously historical appearance than anything in the Epistle to the Ephesians.

But when critics have tried to work out the problem in detail, they have found it by no means so simple as it appeared at first: for if on a broad view the Epistle to the Colossians has the look of greater originality, a closer study sometimes suggests the opposite conclusion. When Holtzmann had worked

out the comparison with endless pains, the result he arrived at was that the Epistle to the Ephesians was written near the end of the first century, with various borrowings from an Epistle to Colossians, not however from our Epistle to the Colossians at full length as it stands, but from a much shorter Epistle now imbedded in ours, and then that this shorter Epistle was lengthened out by the author of the Epistle to the Ephesians, with interpolations in imitation of his own work. Truly an extraordinary process, not to be thought credible without very clear evidence indeed, and leaving inexplicable the most characteristic differences between the two Epistles in those doctrinal passages which they have in common.

The only key to the intricacies of this variously reciprocal appearance of originality is the ordinary supposition that both Epistles have throughout one author, who in the corresponding parts of both was setting forth the same leading ideas, needing to be modified in range and proportion in accordance with special circumstances, and to be variously clothed in language accordingly. In such a case we can hardly speak of one being prior to the other: both would or might be products of the same short space of time and the same state of mind. If the needs of the Colossians called for controversial or negative warnings against special dangers, yet these warnings equally needed as a positive base some kind of repetition of the doctrinal matter so prominent in the

Epistle to the Ephesians. An excellent example for study may be borrowed from Holtzmann¹. If we put together Eph. iii. 8 f. and 16 f., and then again Eph. i. 9 and 18; and then compare these two combinations of passages together, and each with Col. i. 27, we shall find a striking series of coincidences with different surroundings. The several passages of the Epistle to the Ephesians might be described as in one sense expansions of parts of the single longer passage of that to the Colossians in different directions: but the coincident phrases in the two Epistles are as much at home, as it were, in their respective contexts in the one Epistle as in the other.

Attention has been called to the undeniable fact that the prayer in Eph. i. 18 ff. is for knowledge, that the recipients of the Epistle may "know what is the hope of God's calling, and what the riches of the glory of His inheritance among the Saints"; while the corresponding prayer in Col. i. 10 is for godly living, that the Colossians may "walk worthily of the Lord unto all pleasing, in every good work bearing fruit." But this apparent contrast, when closely examined, will be found to be only a matter of local proportion. The prayer in the former Epistle has a no less practical goal in view than the prayer in the latter. Besides Eph. ii. 1—3 and the last half of the Epistle, it is well to note ii. 10, in which God's purpose

¹ *Einleitung in das Neue Testament*, 3^{te} Auflage (Freiburg i. B., 1892), p. 263.

in making and redeeming man is expressly described, "for we are His workmanship (*ποίημα*), created in Christ Jesus for good works which God afore prepared that we should walk in them." And again conversely the prayer for the Colossians begins almost in the same terms as the other prayer, "that Col. i. 9. ye may be filled with the knowledge of His will in all wisdom and spiritual understanding"; and in the next verse continues thus the words just cited above, "in every good work bearing fruit and growing *by the knowledge of God.*"

Again the idea of the Church as the Body of which the Christ is the Head is practically the same in Col. ii. 19 as it is in Eph. iv. 15 f. But the idea of membership, which in the Epistle to the Colossians is only implied, is worked out fully for the Ephesians, and thus gives rise to an important section of our Epistle. Every one must feel how fit the exposition of this membership would be for an epistle to the churches of Proconsular Asia, in which high morality and religion were to be set forth in their true relation to high theology.

The more closely we scrutinise those parts of both Epistles which most nearly resemble each other,—scrutinise them comparatively and scrutinise them in their respective contexts,—the less possible it becomes to find traces of a second-hand imitative character about the language of either. The stamp of freshness and originality is on both; and thus the subtle

intricacies of likeness and unlikeness of language are a peculiarly strong kind of evidence for identical authorship, whether the author be St Paul or another. Whatever therefore supports the genuineness or the lateness of either Epistle does the same for the other. Hence the evidence for the Epistle to the Colossians becomes indirect evidence for the Epistle to the Ephesians. It would take us too long to examine separately the evidence respecting the former Epistle, beyond what we have already had occasion to consider in respect of personal and geographical details. In the latter we have found no tangible evidence against St Paul's authorship, and so it would be also if we examined the Epistle to the Colossians in the same manner. In both we have not merely the *prima facie* evidence of his name in the text and in unanimous ancient tradition, but close and yet for the most part not superficial connexion in language with his other Epistles, and that not such a connexion as can with any reasonable probability be explained by the supposition of borrowing. Above all, we find in both the impress of that wondrous heart and mind.

A few words must suffice on the relation of the Epistle to the Ephesians to the First Epistle of St Peter. Their affinity has been often noticed of

late years, and comes the more clearly to light the more attentively each is studied. Opinion is much divided as to relative priority. One ingenious critic, Seufert¹, who has traced out many not obvious coincidences, besides imagining others, comes to the conclusion that both Epistles were written by the same author, and that he lived in the second century. The truth is that in the First Epistle of St Peter many thoughts are derived from the Epistle to the Ephesians, as others are from that to the Romans: but St Peter makes them fully his own by the form into which he casts them, a form for the most part unlike what we find in any epistle of St Paul's.

¹ In Hilgenfeld's *Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie*, Leipzig, 1881, pp. 178, 332.

IV.

THE PURPOSE OF THE EPISTLE.

WE come now to the purpose of the Epistle as related to St Paul's own life and work. On this subject it will not be possible for me to avoid some repetitions (in other words) of what I have had to say more than once before in connexion with different epistles or different aspects of the apostolic age. Our Epistle is the worthy fruit of the culmination of St Paul's career; but to understand it in this light, we must have some perception of the steps which led up to it.

Every one knows that St Paul's career as an apostle was determined by the part which he took with reference to the great question of his day, the relation of Jew to Gentile within the Church. But it needs some reflexion to gain a clear sense of the variety of the issues which were included within that one comprehensive question, and which had to be dealt with in one way or another by this Jewish Apostle to the Gentiles. The Bible gives no support

to the common notion that there were two true "religions," as they are conventionally called, the Jewish and the Christian, the one ending at the moment when the other began. The fundamental change brought by the coming of Christ was a deepening and enlargement of the one imperishable faith in the Lord God of Israel, and this change included gradations in its own accomplishment, and also in the manner in which it affected different classes of men. The interval between the Resurrection and the Fall of Jerusalem was for Jewish Christians subject to wholly different conditions from the later time when the holy place was visibly forsaken. Then again the differences of conditions affecting Jews of the Dispersion on the one hand and Jews of Palestine on the other, would naturally affect Jewish Christians of the Dispersion and of Palestine in the same manner; while Gentile Christians would of course be differently situated from both. St Paul himself shared the conditions of both the first two of these three classes. He was born a Jew of the Cilician Dispersion: he was brought up a Jew of the strictest Palestinian education. Then, having become above all men identified with the third class, having been Divinely appointed to be the foremost herald of the Gospel to the Gentiles, and constrained by Jewish and Judaistic exclusiveness to become the champion of the freedom of Gentile Christians from Jewish law, he had to steer a difficult way in his own person and in his teaching

and administration; resisting sternly where resistance was needed, but in all things striving to build up, and to avoid whatsoever might have the effect of pulling down.

So far as we form our impression of St Paul from his own writings, we naturally form it predominantly from those writings which are fullest of personal action, and openly displayed feeling, and eager maintenance of a cause. These are the four Epistles of the second group, belonging to the time when the contest with the Judaizers had still to be carried on in Asia Minor and Greece, to say the least. Holding as he did that the true nature of the Gospel would be incurably falsified if once it were to be allowed that Gentile converts must be circumcised and keep other precepts of the Jewish ceremonial law in order to be admitted to full fellowship as Christians, it was impossible that his writings of this period should not bear that particular colour. This is of course specially true of the Epistle to the Galatians, which had this contention for its primary subject. But it is a great mistake to suppose that the particular battle which had just then to be fought is by itself a sufficient key to all his policy, if we may so call it, and all his aspiration. A baseless assumption to this effect is the main cause of the suspicion which rises in the minds of many critics when they read in the Acts various sayings and doings ascribed to him, which shew a conciliatory and more than conciliatory behaviour

towards Jewish Christianity in Jewish Christians. But the fact is, that conciliatory behaviour finds ample justification in the mental attitude implied in much of the Epistle to the Romans, and contradicted by nothing even in the Epistle to the Galatians or in the second to the Corinthians. The clear and decided manner in which in writing to the Romans he upholds the unique positions assigned by the Lord of the Ages to the ancient Israel in the revelation and the salvation which He prepared for all mankind in His Son Jesus, is in entire accordance with the sleepless anxiety with which he laboured to avert a severance between the original Palestinian Church of Jewish Christians, and the daily multiplying and expanding churches of Gentile Christians.

The anxiously devised external expression of this desire was (1) that collection made in Gentile churches for the poor Christians of Palestine which so puzzles and perhaps wearies modern readers, for it fills a considerable place in the Acts and Epistles; and (2) the carrying of this collection to Jerusalem by himself in person as the recognised head of Gentile Christianity. Nor was this a mere piece of ceremonious ambassadorship. The carrying of that offering to Jerusalem meant the carrying of his own life ready to be offered as the most sacred oblation of all. He knew with what deadly hatred the unbelieving Jews hated him, how eagerly they would seize on the opportunity of wreaking it upon him if

he shewed himself in Jerusalem, and how small his chance of escape would be. The deliberate way in which he faced this prospect shews the transcendent importance which he attached to the act, an act which would, he trusted, bear its desired fruit whether he lived or died, for his death in such a cause would be the mightiest of influences to bind together the Jewish and Gentile churches.

But he knew also that it might be God's good pleasure to preserve him from imminent death once more, and in that case he looked forward to being the instrument for the further carrying out of the purpose of his perilous mission in another way, viz. by pausing on his westward journey back from Jerusalem to make a stay at Rome. The purpose is expressed in Acts xix. 21, at the very beginning of the circuitous journey by which he was to reach Jerusalem, at the end of the long stay at Ephesus. How much all this meant to him we may gather by a little reading between the lines in the last twenty verses of Rom. xv., written not long after, full as they are of reticences and half-utterances. He was not going to Rome to found a church: the Roman Church had long been there already, founded by we know not whom, or rather more probably of half-spontaneous growth. He wrote to the Roman Christians with careful avoidance of an authoritative tone, yet somewhat boldly, he says, in part as by way of reminding them of truths in some sense already known, on

the strength of the special "grace" (divine office) divinely assigned to him in relation to the Gentiles. It was a delicate and peculiar position, anxious as he was to hold rigorously to his principle of not building on a foundation laid by other men; but he evidently felt that, if he lived, it was of great consequence for unity that he should set foot himself in Rome, and thus establish in his own person a living bond of fellowship between the churches of his own founding and the remote churches of Judea on the one hand, and on the other this independently founded church, which was also the church of the capital of the empire or civilised world. Such thoughts probably had had a share in his long-standing desire to visit Rome, but now they received a double consecration by his purposed carrying of the Gentile offering to Jerusalem. If he were permitted to accomplish this, and thus set the seal for the Gentile Christians on this fruit of his labour and of their love, he knew that in coming to the Romans ^{Rom. xv. 28.} he would be coming with what he calls a fulfilment of blessing from Christ. The victory of peace ^{Rom. xv. 29.} won in Jerusalem would be celebrated with restful fellowship (*συναναπαύσονται ὑμῖν*) in central Rome ^{Rom. xv. 32.} itself; and this concurrence of circumstances would be of bright omen for the future.

We have already seen, in part, how much there is in the Epistle to the Romans itself in harmony with this aspiration. Its first chapters place Jew and

Gentile on a level as regards their failure in the past, and their admission to Christian faith in the present;—on a level, yet two or three times with indication of a certain priority though not superiority of the Jew (*Ἰουδαίῳ τε πρῶτον καὶ Ἑλληνι*). In the ninth, tenth, and eleventh chapters, starting from his own anguish at the thought of God's seeming rejection of His own people, he carries back their very unbelief to the purpose of God; refuses to allow that the admission of the Gentiles involves God's casting away of His people whom He foreknew; treats the admission of the Gentiles, on the ground of mercy, simply as a grafting into the one ancient olive tree, at the same time warning them against contempt for the natural branches; and sets forth the future triumph of mercy in the recovery of unbelieving Israel out of unbelief. Again the peace and unity of Jew and Gentile is evidently the leading thought in that exhortation to being of the same mind one with another and with one accord glorifying God with one mouth, which winds up the elaborate inculcation of mutual forbearance in matters of conscience in the fourteenth chapter; ending with fresh quotations from the Old Testament, all in various ways suggesting the idea, which in one of them is clearly expressed, "Rejoice, ye nations, with His people."

These were St Paul's thoughts when he was writing to Rome, with hope and desire to visit Rome at the end of his perilous mission undertaken in the same

cause, if only he should return from it alive. The Epistle to the Ephesians springs mainly from the same thoughts at a later point in the marvellous drama, itself written from Rome to those churches of Western Asia Minor in which he had been spending the years preceding his start for Jerusalem by way of Greece. Nothing was more natural than that under these circumstances, in sending Tychicus to communicate in person with these churches, he should send by his hand a written epistle, which should combine at once the lessons most needed to be spoken to them at that time and the long cherished thoughts of his own meditation. In so writing to these churches he may well have felt at the same time that he was virtually speaking through them to all Gentile Christendom, and leaving in this Epistle the true and sufficient completion, as it were, of his earlier teaching of the Gentiles. Anticipation had now been turned into fulfilment. He had borne the Gentile offering to Jerusalem, seen it accepted by James and the Jewish Church, escaped the clearly foreseen peril of death only by the Roman governor's interference. Not as a free traveller, but as a Roman prisoner, having appealed to Caesar as a Roman citizen, he had reached Rome more than two years later than he hoped, and had a singular opportunity to preach in his own person in the capital of the world. He had proposed to himself to pay the Christian community of Rome a passing visit for mutual encouragement: but now the hand of God

had manifestly set him down in Rome as a new home, such as first Antioch and then Ephesus had been, and, lying chained in the hired lodging which served as his prison, he was free to exhort and instruct every one who came in to him. To what cities or lands the Gospel had been carried during his imprisonment, we do not know; but that matters little. The universality designed by God for the Gospel and for the society of believers in the Gospel must have come home to him with quite new power and with a new pledge of assured victory, when after a long series of labours from province to province he found himself thus wonderfully placed in the earthly centre of earthly universality among men. Some find the sign of another authorship than St Paul's in the sense of accomplished progress looked back upon, which breathes in our Epistle. But this is just the sense which would now at last be naturally justified in a way that it could not be when any of the earlier Epistles were written. Thus at once the course of outward events, and the ripening of the thoughts which we know to have been in his mind when his long stay at Ephesus had come to an end, will fully account both for the universality which is the special note of the Epistle, and for the practical purpose in which the universality of Christian fellowship is embodied, the unity and peace of Jew and Gentile as Christians. In an earlier lecture¹ we had occasion

¹ See pages 125 ff.

for other purposes to consider the three principal passages in which the two elements of the one society are most clearly distinguished for this purpose, i. 12, 13; ii. 1—6; and the whole section ii. 11—22. The last clause of ii. 15 especially deserves notice, "that He may create (found) in Himself the two into one new man, making peace." It conducts us from the two peoples who are so prominent in the Epistle to the Romans to the one people, or one man, which in that Epistle is nowhere explicitly set forth, though it is implied in its teachings and aspirations, and indeed in that image of the olive tree, but now in the Epistle to the Ephesians is to be brought into clear prominence.

This idea then of the unity of Christians as forming a single society with Christ for its invisible Head, which in different forms dominates the whole Epistle, was the natural outflow of the Apostle's mind at this time, as determined by the course of outward and inward history on the basis of his primary faith. It was needed to be set forth for the completion of his Gospel. On the other hand it was equally needed for the instruction of the no longer infant churches of Western Asia Minor, in whom the Greek spirit of separateness and independence was doubtless working with dangerous vigour.

We have already had occasion to trace some of the anticipations of the more fully developed doctrinal contents of our Epistle which may be discerned in the

earlier Epistles. But, apart from details, the mere fact that it carries us (and that in common with the Epistle to the Colossians) to heights and depths of theology before unvisited deserves special attention in connexion both with St Paul's own life and with the needs of those for whom he wrote. One clause of that Epistle puts before us his leading thought in this matter, "Christ, in whom are all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge hidden." The first two or three chapters of the First Epistle to the Corinthians are very instructive here. While thanking God that those keen-witted Greek Christians of Corinth had been "enriched in Christ Jesus, in all speech and all knowledge," he is careful to rebuke their pride of wisdom and to let them know how little capable of the highest wisdom he thought them as yet. In teaching them he had rigorously limited himself to the simplest form of the Gospel, "Jesus Christ and Him crucified," because they had been, nay and were still, but babes in Christ, fit to be fed with milk only, not with the food of full-grown men. While, however, he had thus abstained in his preaching to them from setting forth wisdom, he desired them to know that he possessed a wisdom which he spoke among the perfect, the full-grown (*τοῖς τελείοις*), "a wisdom of God in a mystery, the hidden wisdom, which God foreordained before the ages unto our glory." Here then, and elsewhere in the passage, we have clear evidence that Paul had already in his own mind

Col. ii. 3.

I Cor. i. 5.

I Cor. ii. 2, iii. 1, 2.

I Cor. ii. 6, 7.

what he called a wisdom, which as yet he dared not impart to his still immature converts. But if so, it was but natural that he should desire in due time to find a right opportunity for making known what he believed to have been thus revealed to him. Various signs shew that this wisdom, as he understood it, was founded on the recognition of the wisdom of God Himself, and that the wisdom of God Himself was in St Paul's mind mainly associated with what he called the "mystery," the mighty plan of God running through the ages, according to which He used unbelief and rejection of Himself for His own purposes till the appointed time was come and Jesus Christ was born. Accordingly in the burst of praise which crowns his setting forth of the universality of God's mercy in the future, at the end of Rom. xi., ("For God shut up all [τοὺς πάντας, Jews and Gentiles alike] into disobedience that He might have mercy upon all"), he dwells on the depth of the riches (i.e. resourcefulness) and *wisdom and knowledge* of God; and in the same sense the doxology which ends the Epistle to the Romans is addressed to the only *wise* God. And precisely the same thought recurs in that phrase of the Epistle to the Ephesians which has already come before us "the πολυποίκιλος wisdom of God." cp. 1 Cor. i. 21.
Eph. iii. 10.

But the Christian wisdom, which thus rested on a true perception of God's own wisdom in His ordering of the ages, carried with it those high thoughts

respecting Christ which are set forth in the Epistles to the Ephesians and the Colossians. It was an interpretation of human history and experience in the light of the Cross and the Resurrection: but what gave the interpretation its force for men whose reflexions went deeper than the elements of simple faith was the perception of Christ's Headship as universal in all worlds and as coeval with creation, and of all His work in those last days as being a fulfilment of what had in some sense been from the beginning.

This was the Christian wisdom and knowledge at its highest. But no less characteristic of our Epistle and that to the Colossians is the stress laid on wisdom and knowledge in a wider sense, as needed for Christian life and progress. In the earliest years of Christian communities other requirements must naturally take precedence. But when the first fervour had begun to chill, and at the same time increasing numbers and increasing complexity of community life had raised new questions, practical and theoretical, it became specially needful to dwell on the need of wisdom, first for fresher and fuller knowledge of what was contained in the Christian faith itself, and then for discernment of what it involved for the guidance of social and personal life. The new Christian faith stood alone in the absoluteness of its requirement of wisdom, though the religious importance of wisdom was not unknown to either the later Jews or the later Greeks and

Romans. But neither Christ nor the Apostles gave a Law to replace for Gentile Christians the Mosaic Law, much less any substitute for the traditions of the elders. In its place were given the historical Gospel and the ever-living Spirit to draw out the significance of its teaching, as need after need should arise : and the preparation on the human side for the apprehension of such teaching of the Spirit was wisdom. Well then might St Paul's language, when he was writing to these Churches, overflow with his sense of the peculiar necessity of wisdom to make all other gifts available for them even now, and much more in the future, when even his remote guidance should have passed away.

The only other point which it is now needful to mention with regard to the Purpose of the Epistle is one to which I had to refer in speaking of St Paul's special motive for his repeated and emphatic references to his own divine mission to the Gentiles, and the imprisonments and other sufferings which he had undergone on this account. It was to give weight to his warnings against lowering the Christian standard of morals and religion by acquiescence in traditional heathen maxims and ways. It is easy to see how, when the first fresh ardour of Christian discipleship had passed away, the inherited and ingrained habits and instincts would unawares resume a partial sway, and by the help of plausible excuses a baser type of Christianity would insensibly arise. This lowering of

cp. 1 Pet.
i. 14—18,
22; ii. 1—
3, 11, 16;
iv. 3.

standard would chiefly take two forms, (1) a self-asserting individualism, injurious to love, fellowship and subjection; and (2) a dangerous indulgence towards breaches of purity.

These indications must suffice respecting the general purpose of the Epistle.

* * * * *

ABSTRACT OF LECTURES.

[BEGUN MICHAELMAS TERM, 1890.]

	PAGE
Ephesians, its value and difficulties	65
Lectures and their purpose and limitations	66
Text, Interpretation, Introduction	68
Books on Introduction	68
„ Interpretation: Commentaries	70
„ „ Grammars, Lexicons, Concordances	71
Three heads of Introduction, (1) Recipients, (2) Authorship, (3) Circumstances and Purpose	72
Context of historical circumstances	73
Peculiarities affecting Introduction to the Epistle to Ephesians . .	73

I. RECIPIENTS.

Ephesians by title and common text of i. 1	75
Omission by N*B67** Origen and Jerome cf. Basil	75
Title <i>πρὸς Λαοδικέας</i> (<i>not</i> text <i>ἐν Λαοδικίᾳ</i>) Marcion ap. Tertullian	76
The direct evidence for omission: the interpretation of Marcion .	77
His <i>πρὸς Λαοδικέας</i> must be connected with St Paul's <i>τὴν ἐκ Λαοδικίας</i>	78
Theory that Laodicea was the one proper destination of Ephesians	78
Distinctness of two points, identity with Ephesians, and exclusive destination for Laodicea	78
Laodicea probably inserted in title by Marcion by criticism from Colossians, not on any authority, and so he attests only the omission of <i>ἐν Ἐφέσῳ</i>	79

	PAGE
But is ἐν ᾿Εφέσῳ really genuine or not?	80
Internal Evidence. (i) Absence of local and personal allusions	80
(ii) Absence of definite false teaching assailed	81
<i>Digression on Paul's past relation to Ephesus.</i>	
On 1st Journey Ephesus not touched, on 2nd only on return (hastily), as representative preparation for long stay . . .	82
Apollos, and John's Baptism, preludes to the long stay, (Ephesus now the centre of Gentile Christianity) . . .	83
On return from Macedonian journey and Corinth, craves con- tact with Ephesians by elders at Miletus	85
Summary of past relations	85
Incompatible with Ephesians if addressed to Ephesians alone, not if to other Churches also	85
<i>Return to question of reading</i>	86
Given omission, the transcendental sense impossible . . .	86
Not so "who are also," but very improbable	86
But either blank space or alternative names quite natural . .	87
Beza's suggestion of Ephesus + other cities of Asia, to which Ussher adds a blank space and term 'encyclical' . . .	88
To this should be added evidence of contents and analogy of 1 Peter (Epistles to Seven Churches)	88
But was Ephesians=τῇν ἐκ Λαοδικίας?	89
Identity with Ephesians combined with exclusive address to Laodicea being excluded, two possibilities remain . . .	89
(1) τῇν ἐκ Λαοδικίας a lost letter thus exclusively addressed, (2) τῇν ἐκ Λαοδικίας=Ephesians, but Laodicea only one of many addresses	89
The former rendered improbable (1) by the special greetings to Laodicea, (2) by ἐκ, which rather implies forwarding on, i.e. encyclical	89
Encyclical carried by Tychicus, probably through Asia, his own province	90
Position of Hierapolis, Laodicea, and Colossae	91
Probably not a plurality of copies with different names, but a single copy with a blank which each city could fill up in its own transcript	92
But was Ephesus included, as we should expect? . . .	93

	PAGE
Passages alleged to prove recipients to have had no personal knowledge of Paul	93
(1) i. 15 'hearing of the faith in you'	93
(2) iii. 2 'if so be that ye heard of the stewardship &c.'	94
(3) iv. 21 'if so be that ye heard Him, and were taught in Him'	96
(2) and (3) prove too much	96
No reason to doubt the tradition, universal except as regards Marcion's independent criticism	97

The question of Authorship to stand over for the present	99
--	----

II. TIME AND PLACE 99

Language about imprisonment the most obvious mark of external circumstances	99
Which imprisonment, Cæsarean or Roman?	100
Slightness of evidence	101
Philippians allowed to be written in Roman captivity, and this decisive for Ephesians, Colossians, Philemon if Lightfoot is right (as he is) in making Philippians precede them on account of language	101
But, this being not generally accepted, their time must be fixed independently	102
Weiss's arguments for Cæsarea. (1) Difference of destination between Philippians ii. 14 and Philemon 22	103
(2) Colossae nearer to Caesarea than to Rome	104
(3) Comparative hopes of speedy release	104
The more plausible argument from earthquakes	105
The argument from <i>ἴνα δὲ εἰδῆτε καὶ ὑμεῖς</i> (Eph. vi. 21) as implying Colossians to have preceded, and so a westward journey	107
Other trivial arguments	107
Comparative opportunities for conversion of Onesimus	107
Probable date about 63	110

III. AUTHORSHIP.

i. *External Evidence.*

	PAGE
Evidence of early writers, though chiefly attesting date rather than authorship	111
Clement of Rome	112
Ignatius	113
Polycarp	115
Hermas	115
Didache = Barnabas	116
Heretical writers	117
Results of evidence of early writers	118
ii. <i>Internal Evidence on Date and Authorship</i> .	119
Former theory of Montanist influence (The Holy Spirit, Prophets, ripe age of the Church, Monogamous teaching)	119
Rather more lasting theory of <i>Pseudo-Gnostic</i> influence (πλήρωμα, αἰῶνες, [πολυποίκιλος] σοφία, γνώσις) . . .	120
Strongly maintained theory of advanced Pauline discipleship, with supposed evidence of (simple) difference of authorship	121
Proposal to spend some time on select points under this head, thereby forestalling Interpretation under guise of Introduction	122
Supposed marks of later time partly in changed circumstances, partly in changed doctrine, but these inseparable	122
Doctrinal differences from earlier Epistles undeniable, but are they such as suggest difference of authorship? .	123
Antecedent probability that difference of circumstances would affect proportion &c. of teaching	123
But also that there would be actual growth in his own thoughts, and that this would leave traces of itself .	124
Hence the growth exhibited must be such as could not naturally be found in a single man, if it is to be taken as evidence of different authorship	124

PAGE

(a) *Doctrinal Changes.*

i. *Relation of Jews to Gentiles as Christians* . . . 125-128

In earlier Epistles Paul vindicates Gentiles, here Jews, in each case appropriately. Romans equally catholic, though with another purpose (there the Law and the Promise, here the Universal Purpose) 125

In both Epistles need of universal salvation rests on universality of corruption 126

Language of Ephesians on circumcision again like that of the Romans 127

ii. *The Church* 128-134

In Ephesians, for the first time, unity of whole Church and Christ a Head to the Body, but former involved in union of Jew and Gentile as in Romans 128

Another impulse from Paul's position at Rome after his anxieties about disruption 128

His use of *ἐκκλησία* for the whole Church natural, as arising out of the early Palestinian use, and answering to the conception of the new Israel 129

Paul's unity of the Church not deducible from the unity of organization, as conceived in the *Second Century*; its units are not Churches but individuals, and the unity itself is invisible 130

Christ as the Head of the Body a new idea, but prepared for by the "One Body in Christ" of Romans and "so also is Christ" of 1 Cor. xii. 12 131

by Paul's free use of the image of a Head 132

and by association with the Cornerstone (Head of the Corner) of the Temple 133

iii. *Person and Office of Christ* 134-140

Earlier Epistles imply His Pre-existence 134

Colossians carries back His Lordship to the beginning of things. Ephesians makes this reconciliation include all things and carries back His Headship of the Ecclesia to a primordial choosing of its members "in Him" 135

Anticipation of this earlier in "through Whom are all things, and we through Him" (1 Cor. viii. 6) and in the Second Adam (1 Cor. xv.) 136

	PAGE
In Ephesians Christ is agent of certain Divine acts elsewhere ascribed to God	136
So Reconciliation (Colossians punctuation doubtful but 2 Cor. clear) but the two forms of language consistent, and the relation inherited in <i>ἐξαπλόαρο</i> . Language of Ephesians due to context	137
Also Authorship of Gifts (1 Cor.): but variation explained by context	139
Real difference made improbable by the emphasis on subordination of the Son in Ephesians	140
iv. <i>Prominence of Holy Spirit</i>	140
True towards Colossians, but not Romans, 1 Cor.	140
Due, in Ephesians, to connexion with Ecclesia	141
v. <i>The Present and the Future</i>	141-143
Immediate Parousia has faded out of sight, a sense of present blessedness arisen, and of a long future	141
Gradation in earlier Epistles: Romans suggests the ordering of the ages	142
vi. <i>"The Apostles"</i>	143-148
"Apostles and Prophets" in the two passages	143
Irrelevance of language of Galatians and 2 Corinthians as defensive	144
No evidence or likelihood that Paul questioned apostleship of the Twelve, or they his terms of preaching to heathen, at the critical time (Galatians as well as Acts), much less later	144
Apostles and Prophets the two special types of guidance. The part of prophetic admonition in recognising progress	145
Naturalness of 'holy' in relation to a body of <i>ἄγιοι</i> : analogy of <i>κληροί</i>	145
Naturalness of the word 'foundation' as applied to the actual witnesses and inspired persons through whom others were added to the building	146
Consistency with 'Other foundation &c.'	147
Also Apostles and Prophets stand at head of list of gifts here in same Epistle, and of analogous list in 1 Cor.	147
vii. <i>St Paul himself</i>	148-151
Language about his special charge called forced, but partly	

anticipated before, and specially needed to back his charges to these Gentile Christians against contemning Jewish Christians or turning freedom into moral indulgence	148
Consistency of this with 'less than the least of all saints,' the difference of which from 'least of the Apostles' (1 Cor. xv) is explained by context	149
Difficulty of 'whereby when ye read &c.' not explicable on common interpretation but might be due to hidden current of thought	149
But the moral difficulty disappears if the reading is the reading of The Prophets as in ὁ ἀναγινώσκων νοεῖτω	150
(b) <i>Evidence of Language. Style</i>	151-154
Style much dwelt on for long sentences &c. (wrongly called copiousness), really close packed	152
Its calm not 'phlegmatic' but full of white heat	153
Also pouring forth thoughts in a positive form in place of argument or exposition naturally changes the style, as in analogous parts of Romans	153
(c) <i>Vocabulary</i>	154
Lists of distinctive words (Pastoral Epistles of course omitted here from comparison) always delusive when used numerically only. Variation of vocabulary in the various words, and of proportion of them	155
Deduct words from quotations; words due to special contexts	155
Compounds of σύν due to subject of Epistle and words that sum up ideas found also elsewhere; words unique in termination only	156
Many common words of fortuitous distribution (cf. ὁ διάβολος)	157
Vocabulary of Ephesians confessedly mainly Pauline, which much limits the issue	158
A few common words here which it is strange Paul has not used elsewhere: but here too they occur only once	158
More tangible cases of words used in different sense as οἰκονομία, περιποίησις, πλήρωμα, μυστήριον, all really connected with sense elsewhere	159

	PAGE
Words frivolously classed with these	160
The strongest case <i>ἐν τ. ἐπορευαίους</i> , but the most perplexing point, the use in vi. 12, a difference within Ephesians itself	161
(d) <i>Phrases.</i>	
Unique phrases prove little, being common elsewhere in Paul	161
(e) <i>Relation of Ephesians to Colossians.</i>	
Variety of views	163
Likeness and unlikeness. Much common doctrinal matter: Colossians differs as being controversial, specially anti-Judaistic, and in having personal matter	163
No need to discuss various theories possible. If Ephesians is not genuine, it must come from Colossians, whether Colossians be genuine or not	164
Holtzmann's reciprocal theory	165
The intricacies explicable only by identical authorship with partial difference of purpose. Examples from Holtzmann	165
In Ephesians prayer for knowledge leads up to good works: in Colossians prayer for worthy walking &c. stands between prayers for knowledge	166
In Colossians the Body, in Ephesians the Body and Membership as needed for the special moral teaching of Ephesians	167

A Catalogue
of
Theological Works

published by

Macmillan & Co., Ltd.

St. Martin's Street
London, W.C.

CONTENTS

THE BIBLE—	PAGE
History of the Bible	3
Biblical History	3
The Old Testament	4
The New Testament	7
HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH	13
THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND	14
DEVOTIONAL BOOKS	18
THE FATHERS	19
HYMNOLOGY	20
RELIGIOUS TEACHING	20
SERMONS, LECTURES, ADDRESSES, AND THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS	21

THEOLOGICAL CATALOGUE

The Bible

HISTORY OF THE BIBLE

THE BIBLE IN THE CHURCH. By Right Rev. Bishop WESTCOTT. 10th Edition. Pott 8vo. 4s. 6d.

BIBLICAL HISTORY

THE HOLY BIBLE. (Eversley Edition.) Arranged in Paragraphs, with an Introduction. By J. W. MACKAIL, M.A. 8 vols. Globe 8vo. 4s. net each.

Vol. I. Genesis—Numbers. II. Deuteronomy—2 Samuel. III. 1 Kings—Esther. IV. Job—Song of Solomon. V. Isaiah—Lamentations. VI. Ezekiel—Malachi. VII. Matthew—John. VIII. Acts—Revelation.

THE MODERN READER'S BIBLE. A Series of Books from the Sacred Scriptures presented in Modern Literary Form. The Text is that of the Revised Version. It is used by special permission of the University Presses of Oxford and Cambridge. Edited by R. G. MOULTON, M.A. Pott 8vo. 2s. 6d. each volume.

HISTORY SERIES, 6 volumes.—Genesis, The Exodus, Deuteronomy, The Judges, The Kings, The Chronicles.

POETRY SERIES, 3 volumes.—The Psalms and Lamentations, 2 vols. Biblical Idylls—Solomon's Song, Ruth, Esther, Tobit.

WISDOM SERIES, 4 volumes.—The Proverbs, Ecclesiasticus, Ecclesiastes and the Wisdom of Solomon, The Book of Job.

PROPHECY SERIES, 4 volumes.—Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel.

NEW TESTAMENT SERIES, 4 volumes.—St. Matthew and St. Mark and the General Epistles; The Gospel, Epistles, and Revelation of St. John. St. Luke and St. Paul, 2 vols.

INTRODUCTORY SERIES, 3 volumes.—Bible Stories (Old Testament), Bible Stories (New Testament), Select Masterpieces of Biblical Literature.

ST. JAMES'S GAZETTE.—"While the sacred text has in no way been tampered with, the books are presented in modern literary form and are furnished with an introduction and notes by Professor Richard G. Moulton. The notes are scholarly, and of real help to the student."

BIBLE LESSONS. By Rev. E. A. ABBOTT, D.D. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

SIDE-LIGHTS UPON BIBLE HISTORY. By Mrs. SYDNEY BUXTON. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. 5s.

STORIES FROM THE BIBLE. By Rev. A. J. CHURCH. Illustrated. Two Series. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. each.

BIBLE READINGS SELECTED FROM THE PENTATEUCH AND THE BOOK OF JOSHUA. By Rev. J. A. CROSS. 2nd Edition. Globe 8vo. 2s. 6d.

CHILDREN'S TREASURY OF BIBLE STORIES. By Mrs. H. GASKOIN. Pott 8vo. 1s. each. Part I. Old Testament; II. New Testament; III. Three Apostles.

THE NATIONS AROUND ISRAEL. By A. KEARY. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Biblical History—continued.

VILLAGE SERMONS. By Rev. F. J. A. HORT, D.D. 8vo. 6s.

This Volume contains a Series of Sermons dealing in a popular way with the successive Books of which the Bible is made up. They form an admirable introduction to the subject.

SERMONS ON THE BOOKS OF THE BIBLE. (Selected from *Village Sermons*.) Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

HISTORY, PROPHECY, AND THE MONUMENTS, OR, ISRAEL AND THE NATIONS. By Prof. J. F. M'CURDY. 3 Vols. 8vo. 14s. net each. Vol. I. To the Downfall of Samaria. Vol. II. To the Fall of Nineveh. Vol. III. Completing the Work.

AMERICAN HISTORICAL REVIEW.—"His method is to interweave the histories of the connected peoples in each period, to point out the historical presuppositions and moral principles in the prophetic writings, and to treat the social constitution in separate sections. This method has obvious advantages in the hands of a competent scholar and good writer, and is employed by Mr. M'Curdy with excellent effect. His presentation of the material is admirable in arrangement; his style, though somewhat formal and Gibbonesque, is clear and picturesque."

TIMES.—"A learned treatise on the ancient history of the Semitic peoples as interpreted by the new light obtained from the modern study of their monuments."

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"The work is very able and very welcome. . . . It will take the place of all existing histories of these nations."

A CLASS-BOOK OF OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. With Four Maps. Pott 8vo. 4s. 6d.

A CLASS-BOOK OF NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY. Including the connection of the Old and New Testament. By the same. Pott 8vo. 5s. 6d.

A SHILLING BOOK OF OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY. By the same. Pott 8vo. 1s.

A SHILLING BOOK OF NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY. By the same. Pott 8vo. 1s.

THE BIBLE FOR HOME READING. Edited, with Comments and Reflections for the use of Jewish Parents and Children, by C. G. MONTEFIORE. Part I. TO THE SECOND VISIT OF NEHEMIAH TO JERUSALEM. 2nd Edition. Extra Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. net. Part II. Containing Selections from the Wisdom Literature, the Prophets, and the Psalter, together with extracts from the Apocrypha. Extra Crown 8vo. 5s. 6d. net.

JEWISH CHRONICLE.—"By this remarkable work Mr. Claude Montefiore has put the seal on his reputation. He has placed himself securely in the front rank of contemporary teachers of religion. He has produced at once a most original, a most instructive, and almost spiritual treatise, which will long leave its ennobling mark on Jewish religious thought in England. . . . Though the term 'epoch-making' is often misapplied, we do not hesitate to apply it on this occasion. We cannot but believe that a new era may dawn in the interest shown by Jews in the Bible."

THE OLD TESTAMENT

SCRIPTURE READINGS FOR SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES.

By C. M. YONGE. Globe 8vo. 1s. 6d. each; also with comments, 3s. 6d. each.—First Series: GENESIS TO DEUTERONOMY.—Second Series: JOSHUA TO SOLOMON.—Third Series: KINGS AND THE PROPHETS.—Fourth Series: THE GOSPEL TIMES.—Fifth Series: APOSTOLIC TIMES.

The Old Testament—continued.

THE DIVINE LIBRARY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. Its Origin, Preservation, Inspiration, and Permanent Value. By Rev. A. F. KIRKPATRICK, B.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

TIMES.—"An eloquent and temperate plea for the critical study of the Scriptures."
MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"An excellent introduction to the modern view of the Old Testament. . . . The learned author is a genuine critic. . . . He expounds clearly what has been recently called the 'Analytic' treatment of the books of the Old Testament, and generally adopts its results. . . . The volume is admirably suited to fulfil its purpose of familiarising the minds of earnest Bible readers with the work which Biblical criticism is now doing."

THE DOCTRINE OF THE PROPHETS. Warburtonian Lectures 1886-1890. By Rev. A. F. KIRKPATRICK, B.D. 3rd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SCOTSMAN.—"This volume gives us the result of ripe scholarship and competent learning in a very attractive form. It is written simply, clearly, and eloquently; and it invests the subject of which it treats with a vivid and vital interest which will commend it to the reader of general intelligence, as well as to those who are more especially occupied with such studies."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"Professor Kirkpatrick's book will be found of great value for purposes of study."

BOOKMAN.—"As a summary of the main results of recent investigation, and as a thoughtful appreciation of both the human and divine sides of the prophets' work and message, it is worth the attention of all Bible students."

THE PATRIARCHS AND LAWGIVERS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By FREDERICK DENISON MAURICE. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE PROPHETS AND KINGS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By the same. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE CANON OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. An Essay on the Growth and Formation of the Hebrew Canon of Scripture. By the Right Rev. H. E. RYLE, Bishop of Winchester. 2nd Ed. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

This edition has been carefully revised throughout, but only two substantial changes have been found necessary. An Appendix has been added to Chapter IV., dealing with the subject of the Samaritan version of the Pentateuch; and Excursus C (dealing with the Hebrew Scriptures) has been completely re-written on the strength of valuable material kindly supplied to the author by Dr. Ginsburg.

EXPOSITOR.—"Scholars are indebted to Professor Ryle for having given them for the first time a complete and trustworthy history of the Old Testament Canon."

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"He rightly claims that his book possesses that most English of virtues—it may be read throughout. . . . An extensive and minute research lies concealed under a most fresh and flexible English style."

THE MYTHS OF ISRAEL. THE ANCIENT BOOK OF GENESIS. WITH ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF ITS COMPOSITION. By AMOS KIDDER FISKE, Author of "The Jewish Scriptures," etc. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE EARLY NARRATIVES OF GENESIS. By the Right Rev. H. E. RYLE, Bishop of Winchester. Cr. 8vo. 3s. net.

PHILO AND HOLY SCRIPTURE, OR THE QUOTATIONS OF PHILO FROM THE BOOKS OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. With Introduction and Notes by the Right Rev. H. E. RYLE, Bishop of Winchester. Cr. 8vo. 10s. net.

In the present work the attempt has been made to collect, arrange in order, and for the first time print in full all the actual quotations from the

The Old Testament—continued.

books of the Old Testament to be found in Philo's writings, and a few of his paraphrases. For the purpose of giving general assistance to students Dr. Ryle has added footnotes, dealing principally with the text of Philo's quotations compared with that of the Septuagint; and in the introduction he has endeavoured to explain Philo's attitude towards Holy Scripture, and the character of the variations of his text from that of the Septuagint.

TIMES.—"This book will be found by students to be a very useful supplement and companion to the learned Dr. Drummond's important work, *Philo Judæus*."

The Pentateuch—

AN HISTORICO-CRITICAL INQUIRY INTO THE ORIGIN AND COMPOSITION OF THE HEXATEUCH (PENTATEUCH AND BOOK OF JOSHUA). By Prof. A. KUENEN. Translated by PHILIP H. WICKSTEED, M.A. 8vo. 14s.

The Psalms—

THE PSALMS CHRONOLOGICALLY ARRANGED. An Amended Version, with Historical Introductions and Explanatory Notes. By Four Friends. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

SPECTATOR.—"One of the most instructive and valuable books that has been published for many years. It gives the Psalms a perfectly fresh setting, adds a new power of vision to the grandest poetry of nature ever produced, a new depth of lyrical pathos to the poetry of national joy, sorrow, and hope, and a new intensity of spiritual light to the divine subject of every ejaculation of praise and every invocation of want. We have given but imperfect illustrations of the new beauty and light which the translators pour upon the most perfect devotional poetry of any day or nation, and which they pour on it in almost every page, by the scholarship and perfect taste with which they have executed their work. We can only say that their version deserves to live long and to pass through many editions."

GOLDEN TREASURY PSALTER. The Student's Edition. Being an Edition with briefer Notes of "The Psalms Chronologically Arranged by Four Friends." Pott 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

THE PSALMS. With Introductions and Critical Notes. By A. C. JENNINGS, M.A., and W. H. LOWE, M.A. In 2 vols. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d. each.

THE BOOK OF PSALMS. Edited with Comments and Reflections for the Use of Jewish Parents and Children. By C. G. MONTEFIORE. Crown 8vo. 1s. net.

THE PRAYER-BOOK PSALMS. Relieved of Obscurities, and made smoother for Chanting, with scarcely noticeable alteration. By the Rev. E. D. CREE, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. net.

Isaiah—

ISAIAH XL.—LXVI. With the Shorter Prophecies allied to it. By MATTHEW ARNOLD. With Notes. Crown 8vo. 5s.

A BIBLE-READING FOR SCHOOLS. The Great Prophecy of Israel's Restoration (Isaiah xl.-lxvi.) Arranged and Edited for Young Learners. By the same. 4th Edition. Pott 8vo. 1s.

Zechariah—

THE HEBREW STUDENT'S COMMENTARY ON ZECCHARIAH, Hebrew and LXX. By W. H. LOWE, M.A. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

THE NEW TESTAMENT

THE AKHMIM FRAGMENT OF THE APOCRYPHAL GOSPEL OF ST. PETER. By H. B. SWETE, D.D. 8vo. 5s. net.

THE PROGRESS OF DOCTRINE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT: The Bampton Lectures, 1864. By Canon THOMAS DEHANY BERNARD, M.A. Fifth Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

HANDBOOK TO THE TEXTUAL CRITICISM OF NEW TESTAMENT. By F. G. KENYON, D.Litt., Assistant Keeper of Manuscripts in the British Museum. 8vo. 10s. net.

THE RISE OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By DAVID SAVILLE MUZZEY, B.D. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

IMMANUEL KANT.—"The Rise of the Bible as the people's book is the greatest blessing that the human race has ever experienced."

THE SOTERIOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By W. P. DU BOSE, M.A. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE MESSAGES OF THE BOOKS. Being Discourses and Notes on the Books of the New Testament. By Dean FARRAR. 8vo. 14s.

ON A FRESH REVISION OF THE ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT. With an Appendix on the last Petition of the Lord's Prayer. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

DISSERTATIONS ON THE APOSTOLIC AGE. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 8vo. 14s.

BIBLICAL ESSAYS. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 8vo. 12s.

THE UNITY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By F. D. MAURICE. 2nd Edition. 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 12s.

A GENERAL SURVEY OF THE HISTORY OF THE CANON OF THE NEW TESTAMENT DURING THE FIRST FOUR CENTURIES. By Right Rev. Bishop WESTCOTT. 7th Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

THE STUDENT'S LIFE OF JESUS. By G. H. GILBERT, Ph.D. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

THE STUDENT'S LIFE OF PAUL. By G. H. GILBERT, Ph.D. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

THE REVELATION OF JESUS: A Study of the Primary Sources of Christianity. By G. H. GILBERT, Ph.D. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

THE FIRST INTERPRETERS OF JESUS. By G. H. GILBERT, Ph.D. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

NEW TESTAMENT HANDBOOKS. Edited by SHAILER MATHEWS, Professor of New Test. Hist. at the University of Chicago.

A HISTORY OF NEW TESTAMENT TIMES IN PALESTINE (175 B.C.—70 A.D.). By SHAILER MATHEWS, A.M. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

A HISTORY OF THE TEXTUAL CRITICISM OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By MARVIN R. VINCENT, D.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE BIBLICAL THEOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By EZRA P. GOULD, D.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

A HISTORY OF THE HIGHER CRITICISM OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By Prof. H. S. NASH. 3s. 6d.

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE NEW TESTAMENT. By B. W. BACON, D.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

The New Testament—continued.

THE TEACHING OF JESUS. By G. B. STEVENS, D.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE NEW TESTAMENT IN THE ORIGINAL GREEK. The Text revised by Bishop WESTCOTT, D.D., and Prof. F. J. A. HORT, D.D. 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d. each.—Vol. I. Text; II. Introduction and Appendix.

Library Edition. 8vo. 10s. net. [*Text in Macmillan Greek Type.*]

School Edition. 12mo, cloth, 4s. 6d.; roan, 5s. 6d.; morocco, 6s. 6d.; India Paper Edition, limp calf, 7s. 6d. net.

GREEK-ENGLISH LEXICON TO THE NEW TESTAMENT.

By W. J. HICKIE, M.A. Pott 8vo. 3s.

ACADEMY.—“We can cordially recommend this as a very handy little volume compiled on sound principles.”

GRAMMAR OF NEW TESTAMENT GREEK. By Prof. F.

BLASS, University of Halle. Auth. English Trans. 8vo. 14s. net.

TIMES.—“Will probably become the standard book of reference for those students who enter upon minute grammatical study of the language of the New Testament.”

THE GOSPELS—

PHILOLOGY OF THE GOSPELS. By Prof. F. BLASS. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.

GUARDIAN.—“On the whole, Professor Blass's new book seems to us an important contribution to criticism. . . . It will stimulate inquiry, and will open up fresh lines of thought to any serious student.”

THE SYRO-LATIN TEXT OF THE GOSPELS. By the Rev.

FREDERIC HENRY CHASE, D.D. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

The sequel of an essay by Dr. Chase on the old Syriac element in the text of Codex Bezae.

TIMES.—“An important and scholarly contribution to New Testament criticism.”

SYNOPTICON: An Exposition of the Common Matter of the Synoptic Gospels. By W. G. RUSHBROOKE. Printed in Colours. 4to. 35s. net. Indispensable to a Theological Student.

A SYNOPSIS OF THE GOSPELS IN GREEK AFTER THE WESTCOTT AND HORT TEXT. By Rev. ARTHUR WRIGHT, M.A. Demy 4to. 6s. net.

“Every such effort calls attention to facts which must not be overlooked, but yet to the scholar they are but as dust in the balance when weighed against such solid contributions as Rushbrooke's *Synopticon* or Wright's *Synopsis*, which provide instruments for investigation apart from theories.”—Prof. A. Robinson at Church Congress, Bradford, 1898.

THE COMPOSITION OF THE FOUR GOSPELS. By Rev.

ARTHUR WRIGHT. Crown 8vo. 5s.

CAMBRIDGE REVIEW.—“The wonderful force and freshness which we find on every page of the book. There is no sign of hastiness. All seems to be the outcome of years of reverent thought, now brought to light in the clearest, most telling way. . . . The book will hardly go unchallenged by the different schools of thought, but all will agree in gratitude at least for its vigour and reality.”

INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE FOUR GOSPELS.

By Right Rev. Bishop WESTCOTT. 8th Ed. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

FOUR LECTURES ON THE EARLY HISTORY OF THE GOSPELS. By the Rev. J. H. WILKINSON, M.A., Rector of Stock Gaylard, Dorset. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

THE LEADING IDEAS OF THE GOSPELS. By W. ALEXANDER, D.D. Oxon., LL.D. Dublin, D.C.L. Oxon., Archbishop of Armagh, and Lord Primate of All Ireland. New Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The Gospels—continued.

BRITISH WEEKLY.—"Really a new book. It sets before the reader with delicacy of thought and felicity of language the distinguishing characteristics of the several gospels. It is delightful reading. . . . Religious literature does not often furnish a book which may so confidently be recommended."

TWO LECTURES ON THE GOSPELS. By F. CRAWFORD BURKITT, M.A. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

Gospel of St. Matthew—

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST. MATTHEW. Greek Text as Revised by Bishop WESTCOTT and Dr. HORT. With Introduction and Notes by Rev. A. SLOMAN, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"It is sound and helpful, and the brief introduction on Hellenistic Greek is particularly good."

Gospel of St. Mark—

THE GREEK TEXT. With Introduction, Notes, and Indices. By Rev. H. B. SWETE, D.D., Regius Professor of Divinity in the University of Cambridge. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 15s.

TIMES.—"A learned and scholarly performance, up to date with the most recent advances in New Testament criticism."

THE EARLIEST GOSPEL. A Historico-Critical Commentary on the Gospel according to St. Mark, with Text, Translation, and Introduction. By ALLAN MENZIES, Professor of Divinity and Biblical Criticism, St. Mary's College, St. Andrews. 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

SCHOOL READINGS IN THE GREEK TESTAMENT. Being the Outlines of the Life of our Lord as given by St. Mark, with additions from the Text of the other Evangelists. Edited, with Notes and Vocabulary, by Rev. A. CALVERT, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Gospel of St. Luke—

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST. LUKE. The Greek Text as Revised by Bishop WESTCOTT and Dr. HORT. With Introduction and Notes by Rev. J. BOND, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

GLASGOW HERALD.—"The notes are short and crisp—suggestive rather than exhaustive."

THE GOSPEL OF THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN. A Course of Lectures on the Gospel of St. Luke. By F. D. MAURICE. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST. LUKE IN GREEK, AFTER THE WESTCOTT AND HORT TEXT. Edited, with Parallels, Illustrations, Various Readings, and Notes, by the Rev. ARTHUR WRIGHT, M.A. Demy 4to. 7s. 6d. net.

ST. LUKE THE PROPHET. By EDWARD CARUS SELWYN, D.D.

Gospel of St. John— [Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

THE CENTRAL TEACHING OF CHRIST. Being a Study and Exposition of St. John, Chapters XIII. to XVII. By Rev. CANON BERNARD, M.A. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"Quite recently we have had an exposition by him whom many call the greatest expositor living. But Canon Bernard's work is still the work that will help the preacher most."

THE GOSPEL OF ST. JOHN. By F. D. MAURICE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES.

ADDRESSES ON THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. By the late ARCHBISHOP BENSON. With an Introduction by ADELINE, DUCHESS OF BEDFORD. Super Royal 8vo. 21s. net.

THE CREDIBILITY OF THE BOOK OF THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. Being the Hulsean Lectures for 1900-1. By the Rev. Dr. CHASE, President of Queen's College, Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE OLD SYRIAC ELEMENT IN THE TEXT OF THE CODEX BEZAE. By the Rev. F. H. CHASE, D.D. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES IN GREEK AND ENGLISH. With Notes by Rev. F. RENDALL, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"Mr. Rendall has given us a very useful as well as a very scholarly book."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"Mr. Rendall is a careful scholar and a thoughtful writer, and the student may learn a good deal from his commentary."

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. By F. D. MAURICE. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. Being the Greek Text as Revised by Bishop WESTCOTT and Dr. HORT. With Explanatory Notes by T. E. PAGE, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. The Authorised Version, with Introduction and Notes, by T. E. PAGE, M.A., and Rev. A. S. WALPOLE, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

BRITISH WEEKLY.—"Mr. Page's Notes on the Greek Text of the Acts are very well known, and are decidedly scholarly and individual. . . . Mr. Page has written an introduction which is brief, scholarly, and suggestive."

THE CHURCH OF THE FIRST DAYS. THE CHURCH OF JERUSALEM. THE CHURCH OF THE GENTILES. THE CHURCH OF THE WORLD. Lectures on the Acts of the Apostles. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

THE EPISTLES—The Epistles of St. Paul—

ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS. The Greek Text, with English Notes. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 7th Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS. A New Translation by Rev. W. G. RUTHERFORD. 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

PILOT.—"Small as the volume is, it has very much to say, not only to professed students of the New Testament, but also to the ordinary reader of the Bible. . . . The layman who buys the book will be grateful to one who helps him to realise that this perplexing Epistle 'was once a plain letter concerned with a theme which plain men might understand.'"

PROLEGOMENA TO ST. PAUL'S EPISTLES TO THE ROMANS AND THE EPHESIANS. By Rev. F. J. A. HORT. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Dr. MARCUS DODS in the *Bookman*.—"Anything from the pen of Dr. Hort is sure to be informative and suggestive, and the present publication bears his mark. . . . There is an air of originality about the whole discussion; the difficulties are candidly faced, and the explanations offered appeal to our sense of what is reasonable."

TIMES.—"Will be welcomed by all theologians as 'an invaluable contribution to the study of those Epistles' as the editor of the volume justly calls it."

DAILY CHRONICLE.—"The lectures are an important contribution to the study of the famous Epistles of which they treat."

The Epistles of St. Paul—continued.

- THE EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS. An Essay on its Destination and Date. By E. H. ASKWITH, D.D. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS. A Revised Text, with Introduction, Notes, and Dissertations. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 10th Edition. 8vo. 12s.
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS. The Greek Text with Notes. By the late Bishop WESTCOTT. [*In the Press.*]
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS. Greek Text, with Introduction and Notes. By Dean ROBINSON. 8vo. [*In the Press.*]
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS. A Revised Text, with Introduction, Notes, and Dissertations. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 9th Edition. 8vo. 12s.
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS. With translation, Paraphrase, and Notes for English Readers. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Crown 8vo. 5s.
- ST. PAUL'S EPISTLES TO THE COLOSSIANS AND TO PHILEMON. A Revised Text, with Introductions, etc. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT. 9th Edition. 8vo. 12s.
- THE EPISTLE TO THE COLOSSIANS. Analysis and Examination Notes. By Rev. G. W. GARROD. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.
- AN INTRODUCTION TO THE THESSALONIAN EPISTLES. By E. H. ASKWITH, D.D., Chaplain of Trinity College, Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 4s. net.
- THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS. With Analysis and Notes by the Rev. G. W. GARROD, B.A. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.
- THE SECOND EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS. With Analysis and Notes by Rev. G. W. GARROD. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.
- THE EPISTLES OF ST. PAUL TO THE EPHESIANS, THE COLOSSIANS, AND PHILEMON. With Introductions and Notes. By Rev. J. LL. DAVIES. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- THE EPISTLES OF ST. PAUL. For English Readers. Part I. containing the First Epistle to the Thessalonians. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 2nd Edition. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. 6d.
- NOTES ON EPISTLES OF ST. PAUL FROM UNPUBLISHED COMMENTARIES. By Bishop LIGHTFOOT, D.D. 8vo. 12s.
- THE LETTERS OF ST. PAUL TO SEVEN CHURCHES AND THREE FRIENDS. Translated by ARTHUR S. WAY, M.A. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

The Epistles of St. Peter—

- THE FIRST EPISTLE OF ST. PETER, I. 1 to II. 17. The Greek Text, with Introductory Lecture, Commentary, and additional Notes. By the late F. J. A. HORT, D.D., D.C.L., LL.D. 8vo. 6s.

The Epistles of St. Peter—continued.

THE FIRST EPISTLE OF ST. PETER (Greek Text). By J. HOWARD B. MASTERMAN, Principal of the Midland Clergy College, Edgbaston, Birmingham. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

The Epistle of St. James—

THE EPISTLE OF ST. JAMES. The Greek Text, with Introduction and Notes. By Rev. JOSEPH B. MAYOR, M.A. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 14s. net.

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"The most complete edition of St. James in the English language, and the most serviceable for the student of Greek."

BOOKMAN.—"Professor Mayor's volume in every part of it gives proof that no time or labour has been grudged in mastering this mass of literature, and that in appraising it he has exercised the sound judgment of a thoroughly trained scholar and critic. . . . The notes are uniformly characterised by thorough scholarship and unflinching sense. The notes resemble rather those of Lightfoot than those of Ellicott. . . . It is a pleasure to welcome a book which does credit to English learning, and which will take, and keep, a foremost place in Biblical literature."

SCOTSMAN.—"It is a work which sums up many others, and to any one who wishes to make a thorough study of the Epistle of St. James, it will prove indispensable."

EXPOSITOR (Dr. MARCUS DODS).—"Will long remain the commentary on St. James, a storehouse to which all subsequent students of the epistle must be indebted."

The Epistles of St. John—

THE EPISTLES OF ST. JOHN. By F. D. MAURICE. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE EPISTLES OF ST. JOHN. The Greek Text, with Notes.

By Right Rev. Bishop WESTCOTT. 4th Edition. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

GUARDIAN.—"It contains a new or rather revised text, with careful critical remarks and helps; very copious footnotes on the text; and after each of the chapters, longer and more elaborate notes in treatment of leading or difficult questions, whether in respect of reading or theology. . . . Dr. Westcott has accumulated round them so much matter that, if not new, was forgotten, or generally unobserved, and has thrown so much light upon their language, theology, and characteristics. . . . The notes, critical, illustrative, and exegetical, which are given beneath the text, are extraordinarily full and careful. . . . They exhibit the same minute analysis of every phrase and word, the same scrupulous weighing of every inflection and variation that characterised Dr. Westcott's commentary on the Gospel. . . . There is scarcely a syllable throughout the Epistles which is dismissed without having undergone the most anxious interrogation."

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"The more we examine this precious volume the more its exceeding richness in spiritual as well as in literary material grows upon the mind."

The Epistle to the Hebrews—

THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS IN GREEK AND

ENGLISH. With Notes. By Rev. F. RENDALL. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. English Text, with Commentary. By the same. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. With Notes. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

TIMES.—"The name and reputation of the Dean of Llandaff are a better recommendation than we can give of the *Epistle to the Hebrews*, the Greek text, with notes; an edition which represents the results of more than thirty years' experience in the training of students for ordination."

THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. The Greek Text, with Notes and Essays. By Right Rev. Bishop WESTCOTT. 8vo. 14s.

GUARDIAN.—"In form this is a companion volume to that upon the Epistles of St. John. The type is excellent, the printing careful, the index thorough; and the volume contains a full introduction, followed by the Greek text, with a running commentary, and a number of additional notes on verbal and doctrinal points which needed fuller discussion. . . . His conception of inspiration is further illustrated by the treatment of the Old Testament in the Epistle, and the additional notes that bear on this point deserve very careful study. The spirit in which the student should approach the perplexing questions of Old Testament criticism could not be better described than it is in the last essay."

The Book of Revelations—

THE APOCALYPSE. A Study. By ARCHBISHOP BENSON.
8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

LECTURES ON THE APOCALYPSE. By Rev. Prof. W.
MILLIGAN. Crown 8vo. 5s.

DISCUSSIONS ON THE APOCALYPSE. By the same. Cr. 8vo. 5s.

SCOTSMAN.—"These discussions give an interesting and valuable account and criticism of the present state of theological opinion and research in connection with their subject."

SCOTTISH GUARDIAN.—"The great merit of the book is the patient and skilful way in which it has brought the whole discussion down to the present day. . . . The result is a volume which many will value highly, and which will not, we think, soon be superseded."

LECTURES ON THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN. By Very
Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 5th Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

THE CHRISTIAN PROPHETS AND THE PROPHETIC
APOCALYPSE. By EDWARD CARUS SELWYN, D.D. Crown
8vo. 6s. net.

THE BIBLE WORD-BOOK. By W. ALDIS WRIGHT, Litt.D.,
LL.D. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Christian Church, History of the

Cheetham (Archdeacon).—A HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN
CHURCH DURING THE FIRST SIX CENTURIES. Cr.
8vo. 10s. 6d.

TIMES.—"A brief but authoritative summary of early ecclesiastical history."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"Particularly clear in its exposition, systematic in its disposition and development, and as light and attractive in style as could reasonably be expected from the nature of the subject."

Gwatkin (H. M.).—SELECTIONS FROM EARLY WRITERS
Illustrative of Church History to the Time of Constantine. 2nd
Edition. Revised and Enlarged. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.

To this edition have been prefixed short accounts of the writers
from whom the passages are selected.

Hardwick (Archdeacon).—A HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN
CHURCH. Middle Age. Ed. by Bishop STUBBS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

A HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH DURING THE
REFORMATION. Revised by Bishop STUBBS. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.).—TWO DISSERTATIONS. I. On
ΜΟΝΟΓΕΝΗΣ ΘΕΟΣ in Scripture and Tradition. II. On the
"Constantinopolitan" Creed and other Eastern Creeds of the
Fourth Century. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

JUDAISTIC CHRISTIANITY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE CHRISTIAN ECCLESIA. A Course of Lectures on the
Early History and Early Conceptions of the Ecclesia, and Four
Sermons. Crown 8vo. 6s.

- Krüger** (Dr. G.)—HISTORY OF EARLY CHRISTIAN LITERATURE IN THE FIRST THREE CENTURIES. Cr. 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.
- Lowrie** (W.)—CHRISTIAN ART AND ARCHÆOLOGY: A HANDBOOK TO THE MONUMENTS OF THE EARLY CHURCH. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Oliphant** (T. L. Kington)—ROME AND REFORM. 2 vols. 8vo. 21s. net.
- Simpson** (W.)—AN EPITOME OF THE HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Sohm** (Prof.)—OUTLINES OF CHURCH HISTORY. Translated by Miss MAY SINCLAIR. With a Preface by Prof. H. M. GWATKIN, M.A. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- MANCHESTER GUARDIAN*.—"It fully deserves the praise given to it by Professor Gwatkin (who contributes a preface to this translation) of being 'neither a meagre sketch nor a confused mass of facts, but a masterly outline,' and it really 'supplies a want,' as affording to the intelligent reader who has no time or interest in details, a connected general view of the whole vast field of ecclesiastical history."
- Vaughan** (Very Rev. C. J., Dean of Llandaff).—THE CHURCH OF THE FIRST DAYS. THE CHURCH OF JERUSALEM. THE CHURCH OF THE GENTILES. THE CHURCH OF THE WORLD. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The Church of England

Catechism of—

- CATECHISM AND CONFIRMATION. By Rev. J. C. P. ALDOUS. Pott 8vo. 1s. net.
- THOSE HOLY MYSTERIES. By Rev. J. C. P. ALDOUS. Pott 8vo. 1s. net.
- A CLASS-BOOK OF THE CATECHISM OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. Pott 8vo. 1s. 6d.
- A FIRST CLASS-BOOK OF THE CATECHISM OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND, with Scripture Proofs for Junior Classes and Schools. By the same. Pott 8vo. 6d.
- THE ORDER OF CONFIRMATION, with Prayers and Devotions. By the Rev. Canon MACLEAR. 32mo. 6d.
- NOTES FOR LECTURES ON CONFIRMATION. By the Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN, D.D. Pott 8vo. 1s. 6d.
- THE BAPTISMAL OFFICE AND THE ORDER OF CONFIRMATION. By the Rev. F. PROCTER and the Rev. Canon MACLEAR. Pott 8vo. 6d.

Disestablishment—

- DISESTABLISHMENT AND DISENDOWMENT. What are they? By Prof. E. A. FREEMAN. 4th Edition. Crown 8vo. 1s.
- A DEFENCE OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND AGAINST DISESTABLISHMENT. By ROUNDELL, EARL OF SELBORNE. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- ANCIENT FACTS & FICTIONS CONCERNING CHURCHES AND TITHES. By the same. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Disestablishment—continued.

A HANDBOOK ON WELSH CHURCH DEFENCE. By the Bishop of St. ASAPH. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. Sewed, 6d.

Dissent in its Relation to—

DISSENT IN ITS RELATION TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Rev. G. H. CURTEIS. Bampton Lectures for 1871. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

History of—

HISTORY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. Edited by DEAN STEPHENS and the Rev. W. HUNT. In Eight Volumes. Crown 8vo.

Vol. I. HISTORY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND PRIOR TO THE NORMAN CONQUEST. By the Rev. W. HUNT, M.A. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. [Ready.]

Vol. II. THE ENGLISH CHURCH FROM THE NORMAN CONQUEST TO THE CLOSE OF THE THIRTEENTH CENTURY. By DEAN STEPHENS. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d. [Ready.]

Vol. III. THE ENGLISH CHURCH IN THE FOURTEENTH AND FIFTEENTH CENTURIES (1372-1486). By the Rev. CANON CAPES, sometime Reader of Ancient History in the University of Oxford. 7s. 6d. [Ready.]

Vol. IV. THE ENGLISH CHURCH IN THE SIXTEENTH CENTURY, FROM THE ACCESSION OF HENRY VIII. TO THE DEATH OF MARY. By JAMES GAIRDNER, C.B., LL.D. 7s. 6d. [Ready.]

In Preparation.

Vol. V. THE ENGLISH CHURCH IN THE REIGNS OF ELIZABETH II AND JAMES I. By the Rev. W. H. FRERE.

Vol. VI. THE ENGLISH CHURCH FROM THE ACCESSION OF CHARLES I. TO THE DEATH OF ANNE. By the Rev. W. H. HUTTON, B.D., Fellow of St. John's College, Oxford.

Vol. VII. THE ENGLISH CHURCH IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY. By the Rev. Canon OVERTON, D.D.

Vol. VIII. THE ENGLISH CHURCH IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY. By F. W. CORNISH, M.A., Vice-Provost of Eton College.

THE STATE AND THE CHURCH. By the Hon. ARTHUR ELLIOT. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

DOCUMENTS ILLUSTRATIVE OF ENGLISH CHURCH HISTORY. Compiled from Original Sources by HENRY GEE, B.D., F.S.A., and W. J. HARDY, F.S.A. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

ENGLISH HISTORICAL REVIEW.—"Will be welcomed alike by students and by a much wider circle of readers interested in the history of the Church of England. For the benefit of the latter all the Latin pieces have been translated into English. . . . It fully deserves the hearty imprimatur of the Bishop of Oxford prefixed to it."

DAILY CHRONICLE.—"Students of the English Constitution as well as students of Church History will find this volume a valuable aid to their researches."

SCOTTISH GUARDIAN.—"There is no book in existence that contains so much original material likely to prove valuable to those who wish to investigate ritual or historical questions affecting the English Church."

Holy Communion—

THE COMMUNION SERVICE FROM THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER, with Select Readings from the Writings of the Rev. F. D. MAURICE. Edited by Bishop COLENSO. 6th Edition. 16mo. 2s. 6d.

FIRST COMMUNION, with Prayers and Devotions for the newly Confirmed. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. 32mo. 6d.

A MANUAL OF INSTRUCTION FOR CONFIRMATION AND FIRST COMMUNION, with Prayers and Devotions. By the same. 32mo. 2s.

Liturgy—

A COMPANION TO THE LECTIONARY. By Rev. W. BENHAM, B.D. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE CREEDS. By Rev. Canon MACLEAR. Pott 8vo. 3s. 6d.

CHURCH QUARTERLY REVIEW.—"Mr. Maclear's text-books of Bible history are so well known that to praise them is unnecessary. He has now added to them *An Introduction to the Creeds*, which we do not hesitate to call admirable. The book consists, first, of an historical introduction, occupying 53 pages, then an exposition of the twelve articles of the Creed extending to page 299, an appendix containing the texts of a considerable number of Creeds, and lastly, three indices which, as far as we have tested them, we must pronounce very good. . . . We may add that we know already that the book has been used with great advantage in ordinary parochial work."

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE ARTICLES OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Rev. G. F. MACLEAR, D.D., and Rev. W. W. WILLIAMS. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The BISHOP OF SALISBURY at the Church Congress spoke of this as "a book which will doubtless have, as it deserves, large circulation."

ST. JAMES'S GAZETTE.—"Theological students and others will find this comprehensive yet concise volume most valuable."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"A valuable addition to the well-known series of Theological Manuals published by Messrs. Macmillan."

CHURCH TIMES.—"Those who are in any way responsible for the training of candidates for Holy Orders must often have felt the want of such a book as Dr. Maclear, with the assistance of his colleague, Mr. Williams, has just published."

NEW HISTORY OF THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER.

With a rationale of its Offices on the basis of the former Work by FRANCIS PROCTER, M.A. Revised and re-written by WALTER HOWARD FRERE, M.A., Priest of the Community of the Resurrection. Second Impression. Crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.

AN ELEMENTARY INTRODUCTION TO THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. By Rev. F. PROCTER and Rev. Canon MACLEAR. Pott 8vo. 2s. 6d.

THE ELIZABETHAN PRAYER-BOOK AND ORNAMENTS. With an Appendix of Documents. By HENRY GEE, D.D. Crown 8vo. 5s.

TWELVE DISCOURSES ON SUBJECTS CONNECTED WITH THE LITURGY AND WORSHIP OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND. By Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN. 4th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Historical and Biographical—

THE ECCLESIASTICAL EXPANSION OF ENGLAND IN THE GROWTH OF THE ANGLICAN COMMUNION.
Hulsean Lectures, 1894-95. By ALFRED BARRY, D.D., D.C.L.,
formerly Bishop of Sydney and Primate of Australia and Tasmania.
Crown 8vo. 6s.

The author's preface says: "The one object of these lectures—delivered on the Hulsean Foundation in 1894-95—is to make some slight contribution to that awakening of interest in the extraordinary religious mission of England which seems happily characteristic of the present time."

DAILY NEWS.—"These lectures are particularly interesting as containing the case for the Christian missions at a time when there is a disposition to attack them in some quarters."

LIVES OF THE ARCHBISHOPS OF CANTERBURY. From St. Augustine to Juxon. By the Very Rev. WALTER FARQUHAR HOOK, D.D., Dean of Chichester. Demy 8vo. The volumes sold separately as follows:—Vol. I., 15s.; Vol. II., 15s.; Vol. V., 15s.; Vols. VI. and VII., 30s.; Vol. VIII., 15s.; Vol. X., 15s.; Vol. XI., 15s.; Vol. XII., 15s.

ATHENÆUM.—"The most impartial, the most instructive, and the most interesting of histories."

THE LIFE OF THE RIGHT REVEREND BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT, D.D., Late Lord Bishop of Durham. By his Son, the Rev. ARTHUR WESTCOTT. With Photogravure Portraits. 2 vols. Extra Crown 8vo. 17s. net.

LIFE AND LETTERS OF ARCHBISHOP BENSON. By his SON. Two Vols. 8vo. 36s. net.

Abridged Edition. In one Vol. 8s. 6d. net.

CHARLOTTE MARY YONGE: HER LIFE AND LETTERS.
By CHRISTABEL COLERIDGE. With Portraits. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.

LIFE AND LETTERS OF AMBROSE PHILLIPPS DE LISLE.
By E. S. PURCELL. Two Vols. 8vo. 25s. net.

THE OXFORD MOVEMENT. Twelve Years, 1833-45. By DEAN CHURCH. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

THE LIFE AND LETTERS OF R. W. CHURCH, late Dean of St. Paul's. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

JAMES FRASER, SECOND BISHOP OF MANCHESTER. A Memoir. 1818-1885. By THOMAS HUGHES. 2nd Ed. Crown 8vo. 6s.

LIFE AND LETTERS OF FENTON JOHN ANTHONY HORT, D.D., D.C.L., LL.D., sometime Hulsean Professor and Lady Margaret's Reader in Divinity in the University of Cambridge. By his Son, ARTHUR FENTON HORT, late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. In two Vols. With Portrait. Ex. Cr. 8vo. 17s. net.

EXPOSITOR.—"It is only just to publish the life of a scholar at once so well known and so little known as Dr. Hort. . . . But all who appreciate his work wish to know more, and the two fascinating volumes edited by his son give us the information we seek. They reveal to us a man the very antipodes of a dry-as-dust pedant, a man with many interests and enthusiasms, a lover of the arts and of nature, an athlete and one of the founders of the Alpine Club, a man of restless mind but always at leisure for the demands of friendship, and finding his truest joy in his own home and family."

Historical and Biographical—continued.

THE LIFE OF FREDERICK DENISON MAURICE. Chiefly told in his own letters. Edited by his Son, FREDERICK MAURICE. With Portraits. Two Vols. Crown 8vo. 16s.

MEMORIALS. (PART I.) FAMILY AND PERSONAL, 1766-1865. By ROUNDELL, EARL OF SELBORNE. With Portraits and Illustrations. Two Vols. 8vo. 25s. net. (PART II.) **PERSONAL AND POLITICAL, 1865-1895.** Two Vols. 25s. net.

LIFE OF ARCHIBALD CAMPBELL TAIT, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. By ARCHBISHOP DAVIDSON and WILLIAM BENHAM, B.D., Hon. Canon of Canterbury. With Portraits. 3rd Edition. Two Vols. Crown 8vo. 10s. net.

LIFE AND LETTERS OF WILLIAM JOHN BUTLER, late Dean of Lincoln, sometime Vicar of Wantage. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.

TIMES.—"We have a graphic picture of a strong personality, and the example of a useful and laborious life. . . . Well put together and exceedingly interesting to Churchmen."

IN THE COURT OF THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. Read and others *v.* The Lord Bishop of Lincoln. Judgment, Nov. 21, 1890. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 2s. net.

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY ON RESERVATION OF THE SACRAMENT. Lambeth Palace, May 1, 1900. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. net.

THE ARCHBISHOP OF YORK ON RESERVATION OF SACRAMENT. Lambeth Palace, May 1, 1900. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. net.

JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES. Quarterly. 3s. 6d. net. (No. 1, October 1899.)

CANTERBURY DIOCESAN GAZETTE. Monthly. 8vo. 2d.

JEWISH QUARTERLY REVIEW. Edited by I. ABRAHAM and C. G. MONTEFIORE. Demy 8vo. 3s. 6d. Vols. 1-7, 12s. 6d. each. Vol. 8 onwards, 15s. each. (Annual Subscription, 11s.)

Devotional Books

Cornish (J. F.)—WEEK BY WEEK. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SPECTATOR.—"They are very terse and excellent verses, generally on the subject of either the Epistle or Gospel for the day, and are put with the kind of practical vigour which arrests attention and compels the conscience to face boldly some leading thought in the passage selected."

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"The studied simplicity of Mr. Cornish's verse is altogether opposed to what most hymn-writers consider to be poetry. Nor is this the only merit of his unpretentious volume. There is a tonic character in the exhortation and admonition that characterise the hymns, and the prevailing sentiment is thoroughly manly and rousing."

Eastlake (Lady).—FELLOWSHIP: LETTERS ADDRESSED TO MY SISTER-MOURNERS. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

ATHENÆUM.—"Tender and unobtrusive, and the author thoroughly realises the sorrow of those she addresses; it may soothe mourning readers, and can by no means aggravate or jar upon their feelings."

Eastlake (Lady)—*continued.*

CONTEMPORARY REVIEW.—"A very touching and at the same time a very sensible book. It breathes throughout the truest Christian spirit."

NONCONFORMIST.—"A beautiful little volume, written with genuine feeling, good taste, and a right appreciation of the teaching of Scripture relative to sorrow and suffering."

IMITATIO CHRISTI, LIBRI IV. Printed in Borders after Holbein, Dürer, and other old Masters, containing Dances of Death, Acts of Mercy, Emblems, etc. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Keble (J.)—**THE CHRISTIAN YEAR.** Edited by C. M. YONGE. Pott 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

Kingsley (Charles).—**OUT OF THE DEEP: WORDS FOR THE SORROWFUL.** From the writings of CHARLES KINGSLEY. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

DAILY THOUGHTS. Selected from the Writings of CHARLES KINGSLEY. By his Wife. Crown 8vo. 6s.

FROM DEATH TO LIFE. Fragments of Teaching to a Village Congregation. With Letters on the "Life after Death." Edited by his Wife. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Maclear (Rev. Canon).—**A MANUAL OF INSTRUCTION FOR CONFIRMATION AND FIRST COMMUNION, WITH PRAYERS AND DEVOTIONS.** 32mo. 2s.

THE HOUR OF SORROW; OR, THE OFFICE FOR THE BURIAL OF THE DEAD. 32mo. 2s.

Maurice (Frederick Denison).—**LESSONS OF HOPE.** Readings from the Works of F. D. MAURICE. Selected by Rev. J. LI. DAVIES, M.A. Crown 8vo. 5s.

THE COMMUNION SERVICE. From the Book of Common Prayer, with select readings from the writings of the Rev. F. D. MAURICE, M.A. Edited by the Rev. JOHN WILLIAM COLENSO, D.D., Lord Bishop of Natal. 16mo. 2s. 6d.

THE WORSHIP OF GOD, AND FELLOWSHIP AMONG MEN. By FREDERICK DENISON MAURICE and others. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

RAYS OF SUNLIGHT FOR DARK DAYS. With a Preface by Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN, D.D. New Edition. Pott 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Welby-Gregory (The Hon. Lady).—**LINKS AND CLUES.** 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Westcott (Bishop).—**THOUGHTS ON REVELATION AND LIFE.** Selections from the Writings of Bishop WESTCOTT. Edited by Rev. S. PHILLIPS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The Fathers

INDEX OF NOTEWORTHY WORDS AND PHRASES FOUND IN THE CLEMENTINE WRITINGS, COMMONLY CALLED THE HOMILIES OF CLEMENT. 8vo. 5s.

Benson (Archbishop).—**CYPRIAN: HIS LIFE, HIS TIMES, HIS WORK.** By the late EDWARD WHITE BENSON, Archbishop of Canterbury. 8vo. 21s. net.

Benson (Archbishop)—*continued.*

TIMES.—"In all essential respects, in sobriety of judgment and temper, in sympathetic insight into character, in firm grasp of historical and ecclesiastical issues, in scholarship and erudition, the finished work is worthy of its subject and worthy of its author. . . . In its main outlines full of dramatic insight and force, and in its details full of the fruits of ripe learning, sound judgment, a lofty Christian temper, and a mature ecclesiastical wisdom."

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"On the whole, and with all reservations which can possibly be made, this weighty volume is a contribution to criticism and learning on which we can but congratulate the Anglican Church. We wish more of her bishops were capable or desirous of descending into that arena of pure intellect from which Dr. Benson returns with these posthumous laurels."

Gwatkin (H. M.)—SELECTIONS FROM EARLY WRITERS ILLUSTRATIVE OF CHURCH HISTORY TO THE TIME OF CONSTANTINE. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.)—SIX LECTURES ON THE ANTE-NICENE FATHERS. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

TIMES.—"Though certainly popular in form and treatment they are so in the best sense of the words, and they bear throughout the impress of the ripe scholarship, the rare critical acumen, and the lofty ethical temper which marked all Dr. Hort's work."

NOTES ON CLEMENTINE RECOGNITIONS. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.) and Mayor (J. B.)—CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA: MISCELLANIES (STROMATEIS). Book VII. The Greek Text, with Introduction, Translation, Notes, Dissertations, and Indices. 8vo. 15s. net.

Krüger (G.)—HISTORY OF EARLY CHRISTIAN LITERATURE IN THE FIRST THREE CENTURIES. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

Lightfoot (Bishop)—THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS. Part I. ST. CLEMENT OF ROME. Revised Texts, with Introductions, Notes, Dissertations, and Translations. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.

THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS. Part II. ST. IGNATIUS to ST. POLYCARP. Revised Texts, with Introductions, Notes, Dissertations, and Translations. 3 vols. 2nd Edition. Demy 8vo. 48s.

THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS. Abridged Edition. With Short Introductions, Greek Text, and English Translation. 8vo. 16s.

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"A conspectus of these early and intensely interesting Christian 'Documents' such as had not hitherto been attainable, and thereby renders a priceless service to all serious students of Christian theology, and even of Roman history."

NATIONAL OBSERVER.—"From the account of its contents, the student may appreciate the value of this last work of a great scholar, and its helpfulness as an aid to an intelligent examination of the earliest post-Apostolic writers. The texts are constructed on the most careful collation of all the existing sources. The introductions are brief, lucid, and thoroughly explanatory of the historical and critical questions related to the texts. The introduction to the *Didache*, and the translation of the 'Church Manual of Early Christianity,' are peculiarly interesting, as giving at once an admirable version of it, and the opinion of the first of English biblical critics on the latest discovery in patristic literature."

Hymnology

Bernard (Canon T. D.)—THE SONGS OF THE HOLY NATIVITY. Being Studies of the Benedictus, Magnificat, Gloria in Excelsis, and Nunc Dimittis. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Brooke (Stopford A.)—CHRISTIAN HYMNS. Edited and arranged. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

Selborne (Roundell, Earl of)—

THE BOOK OF PRAISE. From the best English Hymn Writers. Pott 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

A HYMNAL. Chiefly from *The Book of Praise*. In various sizes. B. Pott 8vo, larger type. 1s.—C. Same Edition, fine paper. 1s. 6d.—An Edition with Music, Selected, Harmonised, and Composed by JOHN HULLAH. Pott 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Smith (Horace).—HYMNS AND PSALMS. Ex. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Woods (M. A.)—HYMNS FOR SCHOOL WORSHIP. Compiled by M. A. WOODS. Pott 8vo. 1s. 6d.

Religious Teaching

Bell (Rev. G. C.)—RELIGIOUS TEACHING IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. For Teachers and Parents. Suggestions as to Lessons on the Bible, Early Church History, Christian Evidences, etc. By the Rev. G. C. BELL, M.A., Master of Marlborough College. 2nd Edition. With new chapter on Christian Ethic. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GUARDIAN.—"The hints and suggestions given are admirable, and, as far as Bible teaching or instruction in 'Christian Evidences' is concerned, leave nothing to be desired. Much time and thought has evidently been devoted by the writer to the difficulties which confront the teacher of the Old Testament, and a large portion of the volume is taken up with the consideration of this branch of his subject."

EDUCATIONAL REVIEW.—"For those teachers who are dissatisfied with the existing state of things, and who are striving after something better, this little handbook is invaluable. Its aim is 'to map out a course of instruction on practical lines, and to suggest methods and books which may point the way to a higher standpoint and a wider horizon.' For the carrying out of this, and also for his criticism of prevailing methods, all teachers owe Mr. Bell a debt of gratitude; and if any are roused to a due sense of their responsibility in this matter, he will feel that his book has not been written in vain."

Gilbert (Dr. G. H.)—A PRIMER OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION. Based on the Teaching of Jesus, its Founder and Living Lord. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.

Palmer (Florence U.)—ONE YEAR OF SUNDAY SCHOOL LESSONS FOR YOUNG CHILDREN. Adapted for use in the Youngest Classes. Pott 4to. 4s. 6d.

Sermons, Lectures, Addresses, and Theological Essays

(See also 'Bible,' 'Church of England,' 'Fathers')

Abbey (Rev. C. J.)—THE DIVINE LOVE: ITS STERNNESS, BREADTH, AND TENDERNESS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

GUARDIAN.—"This is a book which, in our opinion, demands the most serious and earnest attention."

Abbott (Rev. E. A.)—

CAMBRIDGE SERMONS. 8vo. 6s.

OXFORD SERMONS. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

PHILOMYTHUS. An Antidote against Credulity. A discussion of Cardinal Newman's Essay on Ecclesiastical Miracles. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE SPIRIT ON THE WATERS, OR DIVINE EVOLUTION AS THE BASIS OF CHRISTIAN BELIEF. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.

Abrahams (I.)—Montefiore (C. G.)—ASPECTS OF JUDAISM.

Being Sixteen Sermons. 2nd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

TIMES.—"There is a great deal in them that does not appeal to Jews alone, for, especially in Mr. Montefiore's addresses, the doctrines advocated, with much charm of style, are often not by any means exclusively Jewish, but such as are shared and honoured by all who care for religion and morality as those terms are commonly understood in the western world."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"Both from the homiletic and what may be called the big-world point of view, this little volume is one of considerable interest."

Ainger (Rev. Alfred, Master of the Temple).—SERMONS PREACHED IN THE TEMPLE CHURCH. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Askwith (E. H.)—THE CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF HOLINESS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE SPECTATOR.—"A well-reasoned and really noble view of the essential purpose of the Christian revelation. . . . We hope that Mr. Askwith's work will be widely read."

Bather (Archdeacon).—ON SOME MINISTERIAL DUTIES, CATECHISING, PREACHING, ETC. Edited, with a Preface, by Very Rev. C. J. VAUGHAN, D.D. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Beeching (Rev. Canon H. C.)—INNS OF COURT SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Benson (Archbishop)—

BOY-LIFE: its Trial, its Strength, its Fulness. Sundays in Wellington College, 1859-73. 4th Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CHRIST AND HIS TIMES. Addressed to the Diocese of Canterbury in his Second Visitation. Crown 8vo. 6s.

FISHERS OF MEN. Addressed to the Diocese of Canterbury in his Third Visitation. Crown 8vo. 6s.

GUARDIAN.—"There is plenty of plain speaking in the addresses before us, and they contain many wise and thoughtful counsels on subjects of the day."

TIMES.—"With keen insight and sagacious counsel, the Archbishop surveys the condition and prospects of the church."

ARCHBISHOP BENSON IN IRELAND. A record of his Irish Sermons and Addresses. Edited by J. H. BERNARD. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

PALL MALL GAZETTE.—"No words of mine could appreciate, or do justice to, the stately language and lofty thoughts of the late Primate; they will appeal to every Churchman."

Bernard (Canon T.D.).—THE SONGS OF THE HOLY NATIVITY CONSIDERED (1) AS RECORDED IN SCRIPTURE, (2) AS IN USE IN THE CHURCH. Crown 8vo. 5s.

To use the words of its author, this book is offered "to readers of Scripture as expository of a distinct portion of the Holy Word; to worshippers in the congregation as a devotional commentary on the hymns which they use; to those keeping Christmas, as a contribution to the ever-welcome thoughts of that blessed season; to all Christian people who, in the midst of the historical elaboration of Christianity, find it good to re-enter from time to time the clear atmosphere of its origin, and are fain in the heat of the day to recover some feeling of the freshness of dawn."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"He conveys much useful information in a scholarly way."

SCOTSMAN.—"Their meaning and their relationships, the reasons why the Church has adopted them, and many other kindred points, are touched upon in the book with so well-explained a learning and with so much insight that the book will be highly valued by those interested in its subject."

Brooke (Rev. Stopford A.).—SHORT SERMONS. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
Brooke (Phillips, late Bishop of Massachusetts).—

THE CANDLE OF THE LORD, and other Sermons. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
SERMONS PREACHED IN ENGLISH CHURCHES. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TWENTY SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE MYSTERY OF INIQUITY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

ESSAYS AND ADDRESSES, RELIGIOUS, LITERARY, AND SOCIAL. Edited by the Rev. JOHN COTTON BROOKS. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

NEW STARTS IN LIFE, AND OTHER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

WESTMINSTER GAZETTE.—"All characterised by that fervent piety, catholicity of spirit, and fine command of language for which the Bishop was famous."

THE MORE ABUNDANT LIFE. Lenten Readings. Royal 16mo. 5s.

THE LAW OF GROWTH, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SCOTSMAN.—"All instinct with the piety, breadth of mind, and eloquence which have given Phillips Brooks' pulpit prolocutions their rare distinction among productions of this kind, that of being really and truly suitable for more Sundays than one."

GLOBE.—"So manly in outlook and so fresh and suggestive in treatment."

THE INFLUENCE OF JESUS. The Bohlen Lectures, 1879. Crown 8vo. 6s.

LECTURES ON PREACHING DELIVERED AT YALE COLLEGE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE PHILLIPS BROOKS YEAR BOOK. Selections from the Writings of Bishop Phillips Brooks. By H. L. S. and L. H. S. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

LIFE AND LETTERS OF PHILLIPS BROOKS. By A. V. G. ALLEN. 3 vols. 8vo. 30s. net.

Brunton (Sir T. Lauder).—THE BIBLE AND SCIENCE. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Campbell (Dr. John M'Leod).—

THE NATURE OF THE ATONEMENT. 6th Ed. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

Campbell (Dr. John McLeod)—*continued.*

THOUGHTS ON REVELATION. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 5s.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE GIFT OF ETERNAL LIFE.

Compiled from Sermons preached at Row, in the years 1829-31.

Crown 8vo. 5s.

Carpenter (W. Boyd, Bishop of Ripon)—

TRUTH IN TALE. Addresses, chiefly to Children. Crown 8vo.

4s. 6d.

THE PERMANENT ELEMENTS OF RELIGION: Bampton

Lectures, 1887. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TWILIGHT DREAMS. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

LECTURES ON PREACHING. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

TIMES.—"These *Lectures on Preaching*, delivered a year ago in the Divinity School at Cambridge, are an admirable analysis of the intellectual, ethical, spiritual, and rhetorical characteristics of the art of preaching. In six lectures the Bishop deals successfully with the preacher and his training, with the sermon and its structure, with the preacher and his age, and with the aim of the preacher. In each case he is practical, suggestive, eminently stimulating, and often eloquent, not with the mere splendour of rhetoric, but with the happy faculty of saying the right thing in well-chosen words."

SOME THOUGHTS ON CHRISTIAN REUNION. Being a

Charge to the Clergy. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

TIMES.—"Dr. Boyd Carpenter treats this very difficult subject with moderation and good sense, and with a clear-headed perception of the limits which inexorably circumscribe the natural aspirations of Christians of different churches and nationalities for a more intimate communion and fellowship."

LEEDS MERCURY.—"He discusses with characteristic vigour and felicity the claims which hinder reunion, and the true idea and scope of catholicity."

Chase (Rev. Dr. F. H.).—THE SUPERNATURAL ELEMENT
IN OUR LORD'S EARTHLY LIFE IN RELATION TO
HISTORICAL METHODS OF STUDY. 8vo. Sewed. 1s.

Cheetham (Archdeacon).—MYSTERIES, PAGAN AND
CHRISTIAN. Being the Hulsean Lectures for 1896. Crown
8vo. 5s.

Church (Dean)—

HUMAN LIFE AND ITS CONDITIONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE GIFTS OF CIVILISATION, and other Sermons and Lectures.
2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

DISCIPLINE OF THE CHRISTIAN CHARACTER, and other
Sermons. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

ADVENT SERMONS. 1885. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

VILLAGE SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

VILLAGE SERMONS. Second Series. Crown 8vo. 6s.

VILLAGE SERMONS. Third Series. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TIMES.—"In these sermons we see how a singularly gifted and cultivated mind was able to communicate its thoughts on the highest subjects to those with whom it might be supposed to have little in common. . . . His village sermons are not the by-work, if one whose interests were elsewhere in higher matters. They are the outcome of his deepest interests and of the life of his choice. . . . These sermons are worth perusal if only to show what preaching, even to the humble and unlearned hearers, may be made in really competent hands."

Church (Dean)—continued.

CATHEDRAL AND UNIVERSITY SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

PASCAL AND OTHER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TIMES.—"They are all eminently characteristic of one of the most saintly of modern divines, and one of the most scholarly of modern men of letters."

SPECTATOR.—"Dean Church's seem to us the finest sermons published since Newman's, even Dr. Liddon's rich and eloquent discourses not excepted,—and they breathe more of the spirit of perfect peace than even Newman's. They cannot be called High Church or Broad Church, much less Low Church sermons; they are simply the sermons of a good scholar, a great thinker, and a firm and serene Christian."

CLERGYMAN'S SELF-EXAMINATION CONCERNING THE APOSTLES' CREED. Extra fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d.

Congreve (Rev. John).—HIGH HOPES AND PLEADINGS FOR A REASONABLE FAITH, NOBLER THOUGHTS, LARGER CHARITY. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Davidson (Archbishop)—

A CHARGE DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY OF THE DIOCESE OF ROCHESTER, October 29, 30, 31, 1894. 8vo. Sewed. 2s. net.

A CHARGE DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY OF THE DIOCESE OF WINCHESTER, Sept. 28, 30, Oct. 2, 3, 4, and 5, 1899. 8vo. Sewed. 2s. 6d. net.

Davies (Rev. J. Llewelyn)—

THE GOSPEL AND MODERN LIFE. 2nd Edition, to which is added Morality according to the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

SOCIAL QUESTIONS FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

WARNINGS AGAINST SUPERSTITION. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

THE CHRISTIAN CALLING. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

BAPTISM, CONFIRMATION, AND THE LORD'S SUPPER, as interpreted by their Outward Signs. Three Addresses. New Edition. Pott 8vo. 1s.

ORDER AND GROWTH AS INVOLVED IN THE SPIRITUAL CONSTITUTION OF HUMAN SOCIETY. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GLASGOW HERALD.—"This is a wise and suggestive book, touching upon many of the more interesting questions of the present day. . . . A book as full of hope as it is of ability."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"He says what he means, but never more than he means; and hence his words carry weight with many to whom the ordinary sermon would appeal in vain. . . . The whole book is well worth study."

ABERDEEN DAILY FREE PRESS.—"An able discussion of the true basis and aim of social progress."

SCOTSMAN.—"Thoughtful and suggestive."

Davies (Rev. J. Llewelyn)—*continued*.

SPIRITUAL APPREHENSION: Sermons and Papers. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Davies (W.)—**THE PILGRIM OF THE INFINITE**. A Discourse addressed to Advanced Religious Thinkers on Christian Lines. By WM. DAVIES. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

CHRISTIAN WORLD.—"We hail this work as one which in an age of much mental unrest sounds a note of faith which appeals confidently to the highest intellect, inasmuch as it springs out of the clearest intuitions of the human spirit."

Ellerton (Rev. John).—**THE HOLIEST MANHOOD, AND ITS LESSONS FOR BUSY LIVES**. Crown 8vo. 6s.

English Theological Library. Edited by Rev. FREDERIC RELTON. With General Introduction by the late BISHOP CREIGHTON. A Series of Texts Annotated for the Use of Students, Candidates for Ordination, etc. 8vo.

Re-issue at Reduced Prices.

I. **HOOKE'S ECCLESIASTICAL POLITY**, Book V., Edited by Rev. Ronald E. Bayne. 10s. 6d. net.

II. **LAW'S SERIOUS CALL**, Edited by Rev. Canon J. H. Overton. 4s. 6d. net.

DAILY NEWS.—"A well-executed reprint. . . Canon Overton's notes are not numerous, and are as a rule very interesting and useful."

CAMBRIDGE REVIEW.—"A welcome reprint. . . All that it should be in paper and appearance, and the reputation of the editor is a guarantee for the accuracy and fairness of the notes."

III. **WILSON'S MAXIMS**, Edited by Rev. F. Relton. 3s. 6d. net.

GUARDIAN.—"Many readers will feel grateful to Mr. Relton for this edition of Bishop Wilson's 'Maxims.' . . Mr. Relton's edition will be found well worth possessing: it is pleasant to the eye, and bears legible marks of industry and study."

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"In an introduction of some twenty pages, he tells us all we need to know of Bishop Wilson and of his maxims. Then he gives us the maxims themselves in most perfect form, and schools himself to add at the bottom of the page such notes as are absolutely necessary to their understanding, and nothing more."

IV. **THE WORKS OF BISHOP BUTLER**. Vol. I. Sermons, Charges, Fragments, and Correspondence. Vol. II. The Analogy of Religion, and two brief dissertations: I. Of Personal Identity. II. Of the Nature of Virtue. Edited by the Very Rev. J. H. BERNARD, D.D., Dean of St. Patrick's, Dublin. 4s. 6d. net each.

THE PILOT.—"One could hardly desire a better working edition than this which Dr. Bernard has given us. . . Sure to become the standard edition for students."

THE SPECTATOR.—"An excellent piece of work."

V. **THE CONFERENCE BETWEEN WILLIAM LAUD AND MR. FISHER, THE JESUIT**. Edited by Rev. C. H. SIMPKINSON, M.A. Author of *The Life of Archbishop Laud*.

[4s. 6d. net.

Everett (Dr. C. C.)—**THE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEMENTS OF RELIGIOUS FAITH**. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

EVIL AND EVOLUTION. An attempt to turn the Light of Modern Science on to the Ancient Mystery of Evil. By the author of *The Social Horizon*. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"The book is well worth the interest it is almost certain to excite."

CHURCH TIMES.—"There can be no question about the courage or the keen logic and the lucid style of this fascinating treatment of a problem which is of pathetic interest to all of us. . . . It deserves to be studied by all, and no one who reads it can fail to be struck by it."

FAITH AND CONDUCT: An Essay on Verifiable Religion. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Farrar (Very Rev. F. W., Dean of Canterbury)—

THE HISTORY OF INTERPRETATION. Being the Bampton Lectures, 1885. 8vo. 16s.

Collected Edition of the Sermons, etc. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d. each.
SEEKERS AFTER GOD.

ETERNAL HOPE. Sermons Preached in Westminster Abbey.

THE FALL OF MAN, and other Sermons.

THE WITNESS OF HISTORY TO CHRIST. Hulsean Lectures.

THE SILENCE AND VOICES OF GOD.

IN THE DAYS OF THY YOUTH. Sermons on Practical Subjects.

SAINTLY WORKERS. Five Lenten Lectures.

EPHAPHATHA: or, The Amelioration of the World.

MERCY AND JUDGMENT. A few words on Christian Eschatology.

SERMONS AND ADDRESSES delivered in America.

Fiske (John).—**MAN'S DESTINY VIEWED IN THE LIGHT OF HIS ORIGIN.** Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

LIFE EVERLASTING. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Foxell (W. J.).—**GOD'S GARDEN:** Sunday Talks with Boys.

With an Introduction by Dean FARRAR. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SPEAKER.—"Deals with obvious problems of faith and conduct in a strain of vigorous simplicity, and with an evident knowledge of the needs, the moods, the difficulties of boy-life. It is the kind of book which instils lessons of courage, trust, patience, and forbearance; and does so quite as much by example as by precept."

IN A PLAIN PATH. Addresses to Boys. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SPEAKER.—"He handles with admirable vigour, and real discernment of a boy's difficulties, such high themes as the use of time, noble revenge, the true gentleman, the noblest victory, and progress through failure. There is nothing childish in the method of treatment, and yet we feel sure that a man who spoke to a congregation of lads in this fashion would not talk over the head of the youngest, and yet find his way to the hearts of those who are just passing from the restraints of school to the responsibilities of life."

Fraser (Bishop).—**UNIVERSITY SERMONS.** Edited by Rev. JOHN W. DIGGLE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Goodspeed (G. S.).—**ISRAEL'S MESSIANIC HOPE TO THE TIME OF JESUS:** A Study in the Historical Development of the Foreshadowings of the Christ in the Old Testament and beyond. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Grane (W. L.).—**THE WORD AND THE WAY:** or, The Light of the Ages on the Path of To-Day. Crown 8vo. 6s.

HARD SAYINGS OF JESUS CHRIST. A Study in the Mind and Method of the Master. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Green (S. G.)—THE CHRISTIAN CREED AND THE CREEDS OF CHRISTENDOM. Seven Lectures delivered in 1898 at Regent's Park College. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Harcourt (Sir W. V.)—LAWLESSNESS IN THE NATIONAL CHURCH. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. net.

Hardwick (Archdeacon).—CHRIST AND OTHER MASTERS. 6th Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Hare (Julius Charles).—THE MISSION OF THE COMFORTER. New Edition. Edited by Dean PLUMPTRE. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Harris (Rev. G. C.)—SERMONS. With a Memoir by CHARLOTTE M. YONGE, and Portrait. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Henson (Canon H. H.)—SERMON ON THE DEATH OF THE QUEEN. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. net.

SINCERITY AND SUBSCRIPTION. A Plea for Toleration in the Church of England. Globe 8vo. 1s. net.

Hicks (Rev. Canon E. L.)—ADDRESSES ON THE TEMPTATION OF OUR LORD. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

Hillis (N. D.)—THE INFLUENCE OF CHRIST IN MODERN LIFE. A Study of the New Problems of the Church in American Society. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE QUEST OF HAPPINESS. A Study of Victory over Life's Troubles. Extra crown 8vo. 6s. net.

Hilty (Carl).—HAPPINESS: Essays on the Meaning of Life. Translated by Professor F. G. PEABODY. Crown 8vo. 4s. net.

Hodgkins (Louise M.)—VIA CHRISTI: An Introduction to the Study of Missions. Globe 8vo. 2s. net. Sewed. 1s. 3d. net.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.)—THE WAY, THE TRUTH, THE LIFE. Hulsean Lectures, 1871. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CAMBRIDGE REVIEW.—"Only to few is it given to scan the wide fields of truth with clear vision of near and far alike. To what an extraordinary degree the late Dr. Hort possessed this power is shown by the Hulsean Lectures just published. They carry us in the most wonderful way to the very centre of the Christian system; no aspect of truth, no part of the world, seems to be left out of view; while in every page we recognise the gathered fruits of a rare scholarship in the service of an unwearying thought."

JUDAISTIC CHRISTIANITY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SCOTSMAN.—"The great merit of Dr. Hort's lectures is that succinctly and yet fully, and in a clear and interesting and suggestive manner, they give us not only his own opinions, but whatever of worth has been advanced on the subject."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"Will receive a respectful welcome at the hands of all biblical scholars. . . . A model of exact and patient scholarship, controlled by robust English sagacity, and it is safe to say that it will take a high place in the literature of the subject."

VILLAGE SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Selected from the Sermons preached by Professor HORT to his village congregation at St. Ippolyt's, and including a series of Sermons dealing in a broad and suggestive way with the successive books of the Bible, from Genesis to Revelations.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.)—*continued.*

SERMONS ON THE BOOKS OF THE BIBLE (selected from *Village Sermons*). Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

VILLAGE SERMONS IN OUTLINE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CONTENTS : I. The Prayer Book, 16 Sermons. II. Baptism, 5 Sermons. III. Mutual Subjection the Rule of Life (Eph. v. 21), 6 Sermons. IV. The Sermon on the Mount (St. Matt. v. 1 ; vii. 29), 11 Sermons. V. Advent, 4 Sermons. VI. The Armour of the Cross. VII. The Resurrection, 7 Sermons.

CAMBRIDGE AND OTHER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Hughes (T.)—THE MANLINESS OF CHRIST. 2nd Ed. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GLOBE.—"The *Manliness of Christ* is a species of lay sermon such as Judge Hughes is well qualified to deliver, seeing that manliness of thought and feeling has been the prevailing characteristic of all his literary products."

BRITISH WEEKLY.—"A new edition of a strong book."

Hutton (R. H.)—

ESSAYS ON SOME OF THE MODERN GUIDES OF ENGLISH THOUGHT IN MATTERS OF FAITH. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

ASPECTS OF RELIGIOUS AND SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT. Selected from the *Spectator*, and edited by E. M. Roscoe. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

Hyde (W. DE W.)—OUTLINES OF SOCIAL THEOLOGY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Dr. Hyde thus describes the object of his book : "This little book aims to point out the logical relations in which the doctrines of theology will stand to each other when the time shall come again for seeing Christian truth in the light of reason and Christian life as the embodiment of love."

PRACTICAL IDEALISM. Globe 8vo. 5s. net.

Ilingworth (Rev. J. R.)—SERMONS PREACHED IN A COLLEGE CHAPEL. Crown 8vo. 5s.

UNIVERSITY AND CATHEDRAL SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 5s.
PERSONALITY, DIVINE AND HUMAN. Bampton Lectures, 1894. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TIMES.—"Will take high rank among the rare theological masterpieces produced by that celebrated foundation."

EXPOSITOR.—"It is difficult to convey an adequate impression of the freshness and strength of the whole argument. . . . It is a book which no one can be satisfied with reading once ; it is to be studied."

DIVINE IMMANENCE. An Essay on the Spiritual Significance of Matter. New Edition. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

CHURCH QUARTERLY REVIEW.—"A very valuable book. . . . *Divine Immanence* is likely to prove of great service to Christian truth. It combines, to a remarkable extent, profound thought and clear expression. It is throughout written in an interesting style."

GUARDIAN.—"Altogether, we have rarely read a book of such philosophical earnestness in construing the Christian view of existence in terms of the thought and knowledge of these days, nor one more likely to bring home the knowledge of a Saviour to the modern man."

Illingworth (Rev. J. R.)—*continued.*

REASON AND REVELATION. An Essay in Christian Apology.
8vo. 7s. 6d.

Jacob (Rev. J. A.)—BUILDING IN SILENCE, and other
Sermons. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Jacob (Rev. J. T.)—CHRIST THE INDWELLER. Cr. 8vo. 5s.

Jellett (Rev. Dr.)—

• THE ELDER SON, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Joceline (E.)—THE MOTHER'S LEGACIE TO HER UN-
BORN CHILD. Cr. 16mo. 4s. 6d.

Jones (Jenkin Lloyd)—

JESS: BITS OF WAYSIDE GOSPEL. Crown 8vo. 6s.

A SEARCH FOR AN INFIDEL: BITS OF WAYSIDE GOS-
PEL. Second Series. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Joseph (Rev. Morris).—JUDAISM AS CREED AND LIFE.
Crown 8vo. [In the Press.]

Kellogg (Rev. S. H.)—

THE GENESIS AND GROWTH OF RELIGION. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

SCOTSMAN.—"Full of matter of an important kind, set forth with praiseworthy conciseness, and at the same time with admirable lucidity. . . . Dr. Kellogg has done the work allotted to him with great ability, and everywhere manifests a competent acquaintance with the subject with which he deals."

King (Prof. H. C.)—RECONSTRUCTION IN THEOLOGY.
Crown 8vo. 6s.

THEOLOGY AND THE SOCIAL CONSCIOUSNESS. Crown
8vo. 5s. net.

Kingsley (Charles)—

VILLAGE AND TOWN AND COUNTRY SERMONS. Crown
8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE WATER OF LIFE, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SERMONS ON NATIONAL SUBJECTS, AND THE KING OF
THE EARTH. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SERMONS FOR THE TIMES. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GOOD NEWS OF GOD. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE GOSPEL OF THE PENTATEUCH, AND DAVID. Crown
8vo. 3s. 6d.

DISCIPLINE, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

WESTMINSTER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ALL SAINTS' DAY, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ACADEMY.—"We can imagine nothing more appropriate than this edition for a public, a school, or even a village library."

Kirkpatrick (Prof. A. F.)—THE DIVINE LIBRARY OF THE
OLD TESTAMENT. Its Origin, Preservation, Inspiration, and
Permanent Value. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE PROPHETS. Warburtonian Lectures
1886-1890. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Knight (W. A.)—ASPECTS OF THEISM. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

LETTERS FROM HELL. Newly translated from the Danish. With an Introduction by Dr. GEORGE MACDONALD. Twenty-eighth Thousand. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Lightfoot (Bishop)—

THE CHRISTIAN MINISTRY. Reprinted from *Dissertations on the Apostolic Age*. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

LEADERS IN THE NORTHERN CHURCH: Sermons Preached in the Diocese of Durham. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

ORDINATION ADDRESSES AND COUNSELS TO CLERGY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CAMBRIDGE SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SERMONS PREACHED IN ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SERMONS PREACHED ON SPECIAL OCCASIONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

A CHARGE DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY OF THE DIOCESE OF DURHAM, 25th Nov. 1886. Demy 8vo. 2s.

ESSAYS ON THE WORK ENTITLED "Supernatural Religion." 8vo. Re-issue at 6s. net.

DISSERTATIONS ON THE APOSTOLIC AGE. 8vo. 14s.

BIBLICAL ESSAYS. 8vo. 12s.

TIMES.—"As representing all that is now available of the Bishop's profound learning and consummate scholarship for the illustration of his great subject, the present volume and its successor will be warmly welcomed by all students of theology."

Lillington (Frank, M.A.)—THE BRAMO SAMAJ AND ARYA SAMAJ IN THEIR BEARING UPON CHRISTIANITY. A Study in Indian Theism. Cr. 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

Macmillan (Rev. Hugh)—

BIBLE TEACHINGS IN NATURE. 15th Ed. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE TRUE VINE; OR, THE ANALOGIES OF OUR LORD'S ALLEGORY. 5th Edition. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE MINISTRY OF NATURE. 8th Edition. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE SABBATH OF THE FIELDS. 6th Edition. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE MARRIAGE IN CANA. Globe 8vo. 6s.

TWO WORLDS ARE OURS. 3rd Edition. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE OLIVE LEAF. Globe 8vo. 6s.

THE GATE BEAUTIFUL AND OTHER BIBLE TEACHINGS FOR THE YOUNG. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SPEAKER.—"These addresses are, in fact, models of their kind—wise, reverent, and not less imaginative than practical; they abound in choice and apposite anecdotes and illustrations, and possess distinct literary merit."

DAILY CHRONICLE.—"The poetic touch that beautifies all Dr. Macmillan's writing is fresh in every one of these charming addresses. The volume is sure to meet with cordial appreciation far beyond the sphere of its origin."

GLEANINGS IN HOLY FIELDS. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE CORN OF HEAVEN. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Mahaffy (Rev. Prof.)—THE DECAY OF MODERN PREACHING: AN ESSAY. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Marshall (H. Rutgers)—**INSTINCT AND REASON**: An Essay with some Special Study of the Nature of Religion. 8vo. 12s. 6d. net.

Mason (Caroline A.)—**LUX CHRISTI**. An Outline Study of India—A Twilight Land. Crown 8vo. 2s. net.

Mathews (S.).—**THE SOCIAL TEACHING OF JESUS**: AN ESSAY IN CHRISTIAN SOCIOLOGY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Maurice (Frederick Denison)—

THE KINGDOM OF CHRIST. 3rd Ed. 2 Vols. Cr. 8vo. 7s.

THE CONSCIENCE. Lectures on Casuistry. 3rd Ed. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

DIALOGUES ON FAMILY WORSHIP. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

THE DOCTRINE OF SACRIFICE DEDUCED FROM THE SCRIPTURES. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE RELIGIONS OF THE WORLD. 6th Edition. Cr. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

ON THE SABBATH DAY; THE CHARACTER OF THE WARRIOR; AND ON THE INTERPRETATION OF HISTORY. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

LEARNING AND WORKING. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

THE LORD'S PRAYER, THE CREED, AND THE COMMANDMENTS. Pott 8vo. 1s.

Collected Works. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. each.

SERMONS PREACHED IN LINCOLN'S INN CHAPEL. In Six Volumes. 3s. 6d. each.

SERMONS PREACHED IN COUNTRY CHURCHES.

CHRISTMAS DAY AND OTHER SERMONS.

THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS.

PROPHETS AND KINGS.

PATRIARCHS AND LAWGIVERS.

THE GOSPEL OF THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN.

GOSPEL OF ST. JOHN.

EPISTLE OF ST. JOHN.

FRIENDSHIP OF BOOKS.

PRAYER BOOK AND LORD'S PRAYER.

THE DOCTRINE OF SACRIFICE.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES.

CHURCH TIMES.—"There is probably no writer of the present century to whom the English Church owes a deeper debt of gratitude. . . . Probably he did more to stop the stream of converts to Romanism which followed the secession of Newman than any other individual, by teaching English Churchmen to think out the reasonableness of their position."

SPEAKER.—"These sermons are marked in a conspicuous degree by high thinking and plain statement."

TIMES.—"A volume of sermons for which the memory of Maurice's unique personal influence ought to secure a cordial reception."

SCOTSMAN.—"They appear in a volume uniform with the recent collective edition of Maurice's works, and will be welcome to the many readers to whom that edition has brought home the teaching of the most popular among modern English divines."

Medley (Rev. W.)—CHRIST THE TRUTH. Being the Angus Lectures for the year 1900. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Milligan (Rev. Prof. W.)—THE RESURRECTION OF OUR LORD. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo. 5s.

SPECTATOR.—"The argument is put with brevity and force by Dr. Milligan, and every page bears witness that he has mastered the literature of the subject, and has made a special study of the more recent discussions on this aspect of the question. . . . The remaining lectures are more theological. They abound in striking views, in fresh and vigorous exegesis, and manifest a keen apprehension of the bearing of the fact of the Resurrection on many important questions of theology. The notes are able and scholarly, and elucidate the teaching of the text."

THE ASCENSION AND HEAVENLY PRIESTHOOD OF OUR LORD. *Baird Lectures*, 1891. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Montefiore (Claude G.)—LIBERAL JUDAISM. An Essay. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

Moorhouse (Bishop)—

JACOB: Three Sermons. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE TEACHING OF CHRIST. Its Conditions, Secret, and Results. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

DANGERS OF THE APOSTOLIC AGE. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

CHURCH WORK: ITS MEANS AND METHODS. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

CHURCH TIMES.—"It may almost be said to mark an epoch, and to inaugurate a new era in the history of Episcopal visitation."

TIMES.—"A series of diocesan addresses, full of practical counsel, by one of the most active and sagacious of modern prelates."

GLOBE.—"Throughout the volume we note the presence of the wisdom that comes from long and varied experience, from sympathy, and from the possession of a fair and tolerant mind."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"Full of interest and instruction for all who take an interest in social and moral, to say nothing of ecclesiastical, reforms, and deserves to find careful students far beyond the limits of those to whom it was originally addressed."

Myers (F. W. H.)—SCIENCE AND A FUTURE LIFE. Gl. 8vo. 4s. net.

Nash (H. S.)—GENESIS OF THE SOCIAL CONSCIENCE. THE RELATION BETWEEN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF CHRISTIANITY IN EUROPE AND THE SOCIAL QUESTION. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SCOTSMAN.—"The book is eloquently, and at times brilliantly, written. . . . But few readers could go through it without being inspired by its clever and animated handling of philosophical ideas."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"An interesting and suggestive little book."

Pattison (Mark).—SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Peabody (Prof. F. G.)—JESUS CHRIST AND THE SOCIAL QUESTION. Crown 8vo. 6s.

PEPLOGRAPHIA DVBLINENSIS. Memorial Discourses Preached in the Chapel of Trinity College, Dublin, 1895-1902. With Preface by the Very Rev. J. H. BERNARD, D.D., Dean of St. Patrick's. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

PHILOCHRISTUS. Memoirs of a Disciple of the Lord. 3rd Ed. 8vo. 12s.

Pike (G. R.)—THE DIVINE DRAMA THE DIVINE MANIFESTATION OF GOD IN THE UNIVERSE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Plumptre (Dean). — MOVEMENTS IN RELIGIOUS THOUGHT. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

PRO CHRISTO ET ECCLESIA. Second Impression. Crown 8vo. Gilt top. 4s. 6d. net.

BOOKMAN.—"It is not only its anonymity which suggests comparison with *Ecce Homo*. The subject is the same in both books—the method and aim of Jesus—though treated from quite different points of view; and the level of thought is much the same; the easy originality that cuts a new section through the life of Christ and shows us strata before unthought of; the classic severity of the style, the penetrating knowledge of human nature, the catholicity of treatment, all remind us of Professor Seeley's captivating work."

Purchas (Rev. H. T.)—JOHANNINE PROBLEMS AND MODERN NEEDS. Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

Rendall (Rev. F.)—THE THEOLOGY OF THE HEBREW CHRISTIANS. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Ridding (George, Bishop of Southwell).—THE REVEL AND THE BATTLE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TIMES.—"Singularity well worth reading."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"Marked by dignity and force."

Robinson (Prebendary H. G.)—MAN IN THE IMAGE OF GOD, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Robinson (Dean J. A.)—UNITY IN CHRIST AND OTHER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Rutherford (Rev. Dr. W. G., Headmaster of Westminster).—THE KEY OF KNOWLEDGE. Sermons preached to Westminster Boys in the Abbey. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Seeley (Sir J. R.)—ECCE HOMO: A Survey of the Life and Work of Jesus Christ. Globe 8vo. 5s.

NATURAL RELIGION. Globe 8vo. 5s.

ATHENÆUM.—"If it be the function of a genius to interpret the age to itself, this is a work of genius. It gives articulate expression to the higher strivings of the time. It puts plainly the problem of these latter days, and so far contributes to its solution; a positive solution it scarcely claims to supply. No such important contribution to the question of the time has been published in England since the appearance in 1866 of *Ecce Homo*. . . . The author is a teacher whose words it is well to listen to; his words are wise but sad; it has not been given him to fire them with faith, but only to light them with reason. His readers may at least thank him for the intellectual illumination, if they cannot owe him gratitude for any added favour. . . . A book which we assume will be read by most thinking Englishmen."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"The present issue is a compact, handy, well-printed edition of a thoughtful and remarkable book."

Selborne (Roundell, Earl of).—LETTERS TO HIS SON ON RELIGION. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THE CATHOLIC AND APOSTOLIC CHURCH. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Service (Rev. John).—SERMONS. With Portrait. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Stanley (Dean)—

THE NATIONAL THANKSGIVING. Sermons preached in Westminster Abbey. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Stewart (Prof. Balfour) and Tait (Prof. P. G.)—THE UNSEEN UNIVERSE; OR, PHYSICAL SPECULATIONS ON A FUTURE STATE. 15th Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.**Stubbs (Dean)—**

CHRISTUS IMPERATOR. A Series of Lecture-Sermons on the Universal Empire of Christianity. Edited by Very Rev. C. W. STUBBS, D.D., Dean of Ely. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The discourses included in this volume were delivered in 1893 in the Chapel-of-Ease to the Parish Church of Wavertree—at that time the centre of much excellent social work done by Mr. Stubbs, who had not yet been promoted to the Deanery of Ely. The following are the subjects and the preachers:—The Supremacy of Christ in all Realms: by the Very Rev. Charles Stubbs, D.D., Dean of Ely.—Christ in the Realm of History: by the Very Rev. G. W. Kitchen, D.D., Dean of Durham.—Christ in the Realm of Philosophy: by the Rev. R. E. Bartlett, M.A., Bampton Lecturer in 1888.—Christ in the Realm of Law: by the Rev. J. B. Heard, M.A., Hulsean Lecturer in 1893.—Christ in the Realm of Art: by the Rev. Canon Rawnsley, M.A., Vicar of Crosthwaite.—Christ in the Realm of Ethics: by the Rev. J. Llewelyn Davies, D.D., Vicar of Kirkby Lonsdale, and Chaplain to the Queen.—Christ in the Realm of Politics: by the Rev. and Hon. W. H. Freemantle, M.A., Canon of Canterbury.—Christ in the Realm of Science: by the Rev. Brooke Lambert, B.C.L., Vicar of Greenwich.—Christ in the Realm of Sociology: by the Rev. S. A. Barnett, M.A., Warden of Toynbee Hall, and Canon of Bristol.—Christ in the Realm of Poetry: by the Very Rev. Charles Stubbs, D.D., Dean of Ely.

SCOTSMAN.—"Their prelections will be found stimulating and instructive in a high degree. The volume deserves recognition as a courageous attempt to give to Christianity its rightful place and power in the lives of its professors."

Talbot (Bishop).—A CHARGE DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY OF THE DIOCESE OF ROCHESTER, October 24, 25, and 26, 1899. 8vo. Sewed. 2s. net.**Temple (Archbishop)—**

SERMONS PREACHED IN THE CHAPEL OF RUGBY SCHOOL. Extra Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

SECOND SERIES. 3rd Ed. 6s.

THIRD SERIES. 4th Edition. 6s.

THE RELATIONS BETWEEN RELIGION AND SCIENCE.

Bampton Lectures, 1884. New Impression, 1903. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

CHARGE DELIVERED AT HIS FIRST VISITATION. 8vo. Sewed. 1s. net.

- (1) The Doctrine of the Eucharist; (2) The Practice of Confession; (3) Uniformity in Ceremonial; (4) The Power of the Bishops.

FIVE OF THE LATEST UTTERANCES OF FREDERICK TEMPLE, ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. Crown 8vo. 1s. net.

Thackeray (H. St. John).—THE RELATION OF ST. PAUL TO CONTEMPORARY JEWISH THOUGHT. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Trench (Archbishop).—HULSEAN LECTURES. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Vaughan (Dean).—

MEMORIALS OF HARROW SUNDAYS. 5th Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

HEROES OF FAITH. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

LIFE'S WORK AND GOD'S DISCIPLINE. 3rd Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

THE WHOLESOME WORDS OF JESUS CHRIST. 2nd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

FOES OF FAITH. 2nd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

COUNSELS FOR YOUNG STUDENTS. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

THE TWO GREAT TEMPTATIONS. 2nd Ed. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ADDRESSES FOR YOUNG CLERGYMEN. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

"MY SON, GIVE ME THINE HEART." Extra fcap. 8vo. 5s.

TEMPLE SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

AUTHORISED OR REVISED? Sermons on some of the Texts in which the Revised Version differs from the Authorised. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

LESSONS OF THE CROSS AND PASSION. WORDS FROM THE CROSS. THE REIGN OF SIN. THE LORD'S PRAYER. Four Courses of Lent Lectures. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

UNIVERSITY SERMONS. NEW AND OLD. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

NOTES FOR LECTURES ON CONFIRMATION. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d.

THE PRAYERS OF JESUS CHRIST: a closing volume of Lent Lectures delivered in the Temple Church. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

DONCASTER SERMONS. Lessons of Life and Godliness, and Words from the Gospels. Cr. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

RESTFUL THOUGHTS IN RESTLESS TIMES. Cr. 8vo. 5s.

LAST WORDS IN THE TEMPLE CHURCH. Globe 8vo. 5s.

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"These discourses in thought, in style, have so much that is permanent and fine about them that they will stand the ordeal of being read by any serious man, even though he never heard Dr. Vaughan speak."

UNIVERSITY AND OTHER SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

TIMES.—"As specimens of pure and rhythmical English prose, rising here and there to flights of sober and chastened eloquence, yet withal breathing throughout an earnest and devotional spirit, these sermons would be hard to match."

SCOTSMAN.—"All are marked by the earnestness, scholarship, and strength of thought which invariably characterised the pulpit utterances of the preacher."

Vaughan (Rev. D. J.)—THE PRESENT TRIAL OF FAITH.
Crown 8vo. 5s.

QUESTIONS OF THE DAY, SOCIAL, NATIONAL, AND
RELIGIOUS. Crown 8vo. 5s.

NATIONAL OBSERVER.—"In discussing *Questions of the Day* Mr. D. J. Vaughan speaks with candour, ability, and common sense."

SCOTSMAN.—"They form an altogether admirable collection of vigorous and thoughtful pronouncements on a variety of social, national, and religious topics."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"A volume such as this is the best reply to those friends of the people who are for ever complaining that the clergy waste their time preaching antiquated dogma and personal salvation, and neglect the weightier matters of the law."

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"He speaks boldly as well as thoughtfully, and what he has to say is always worthy of attention."

EXPOSITORY TIMES.—"Most of them are social, and these are the most interesting. And one feature of peculiar interest is that in those sermons which were preached twenty years ago Canon Vaughan saw the questions of to-day, and suggested the remedies we are beginning to apply."

Vaughan (Canon E. T.)—SOME REASONS OF OUR CHRISTIAN HOPE. Hulsean Lectures for 1875. Crown 8vo. 6s. 6d.

Venn (Dr. John).—ON SOME CHARACTERISTICS OF BELIEF, SCIENTIFIC AND RELIGIOUS. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

Welldon (Bishop).—THE SPIRITUAL LIFE, and other Sermons. Crown 8vo. 6s.

SCOTTISH LEADER.—"In a strain of quiet, persuasive eloquence, Bishop Welldon treats impressively of various aspects of the higher life. His discourses cannot fail both to enrich the heart and stimulate the mind of the earnest reader."

GLASGOW HERALD.—"They are cultured, reverent, and thoughtful productions."

THE REVELATION OF THE HOLY SPIRIT. Crown 8vo. 6s.

"I LIVE": BEING HINTS ON THE CHRISTIAN LIFE.
Crown 8vo. 1s. 6d. net.

THE CONSECRATION OF THE STATE. An Essay. Crown 8vo. 2s. net.

Westcott (Bishop)—

ON THE RELIGIOUS OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITIES.
Sermons. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

GIFTS FOR MINISTRY. Addresses to Candidates for Ordination.
Crown 8vo. 1s. 6d.

THE VICTORY OF THE CROSS. Sermons preached during Holy Week, 1888, in Hereford Cathedral. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

FROM STRENGTH TO STRENGTH. Three Sermons (In Memoriam J. B. D.) Crown 8vo. 2s.

THE REVELATION OF THE RISEN LORD. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

THE HISTORIC FAITH. 3rd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE GOSPEL OF THE RESURRECTION. 6th Ed. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

THE REVELATION OF THE FATHER. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CHRISTUS CONSUMMATOR. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Westcott (Bishop)—*continued.*

SOME THOUGHTS FROM THE ORDINAL. Cr. 8vo. 1s. 6d.

SOCIAL ASPECTS OF CHRISTIANITY. Crown 8vo. 6s.

ESSAYS IN THE HISTORY OF RELIGIOUS THOUGHT IN THE WEST. Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

THE GOSPEL OF LIFE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE INCARNATION AND COMMON LIFE. Crown 8vo. 9s.

TIMES.—"A collection of sermons which possess, among other merits, the rare one of actuality, reflecting, as they frequently do, the Bishop's well-known and eager interest in social problems of the day."

CHRISTIAN ASPECTS OF LIFE. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

CHURCH TIMES.—"We heartily commend this volume to the notice of our readers. . . . The Church of England is not likely to lose touch with the people of this country so long as she is guided by Bishops who show such a truly large-hearted sympathy with everything human as is here manifested by the present occupier of the see of Durham."

LITERATURE.—"A sermon of the national day of rest, and some attractive personal reminiscences of school days under James Prince Lee, are among the choicest parts of the volume, if we are to single out any portions from a work of dignified and valuable utterance."

DAILY NEWS.—"Through every page . . . runs the same enlightened sympathy with the living world. One forgets the Bishop in the Man, the Ecclesiastic in the Citizen, the Churchman in the Christian."

THE OBLIGATIONS OF EMPIRE. Cr. 8vo. Sewed. 3d. net.

LESSONS FROM WORK. CHARGES AND ADDRESSES. Second Impression. Crown 8vo. 6s.

ADDRESS DELIVERED TO MINERS, July 1901. Crown 8vo. Sewed. 6d.

WORDS OF FAITH AND HOPE. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

White (A. D.)—A HISTORY OF THE WARFARE OF SCIENCE WITH THEOLOGY IN CHRISTENDOM. In Two Vols. 8vo. 21s. net.

TIMES.—"Is certainly one of the most comprehensive, and, in our judgment, one of the most valuable historical works that have appeared for many years. . . . He has chosen a large subject, but it is at least one which has clear and definite limits, and he has treated it very fully and comprehensively in two moderate volumes. . . . His book appears to us to be based on much original research, on an enormous amount of careful, accurate, and varied reading, and his habit of appending to each section a list of the chief books, both ancient and modern, relating to it will be very useful to serious students. He has decided opinions, but he always writes temperately, and with transparent truthfulness of intention."

DAILY CHRONICLE.—"The story of the struggle of searchers after truth with the organised forces of ignorance, bigotry, and superstition is the most inspiring chapter in the whole history of mankind. That story has never been better told than by the ex-President of Cornell University in these two volumes."

Whiton (Dr. J. M.)—MIRACLES AND SUPERNATURAL RELIGION. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. net.

Wickham (Very Rev. Dean).—WELLINGTON COLLEGE SERMONS. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Wilkins (Prof. A. S.)—THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD: an Essay. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Wilson (Archdeacon)—

SERMONS PREACHED IN CLIFTON COLLEGE CHAPEL.

Second Series. 1888-90. Crown 8vo. 6s.

ESSAYS AND ADDRESSES. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

GUARDIAN.—"We heartily welcome a new edition of Archdeacon Wilson's *Essays and Addresses*."

SPEAKER.—"We are glad to welcome a new edition of the Archdeacon of Manchester's *Essays and Addresses*. . . . These addresses are manly, straightforward, and sagacious; and they are, moreover, pervaded with a deep sense of responsibility and unfailing enthusiasm."

SOME CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE RELIGIOUS THOUGHT
OF OUR TIME. Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE GOSPEL OF THE ATONEMENT. Being the Hulsean
Lectures for 1898. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

SPEAKER.—"This volume deserves a cordial welcome, and will reward a careful study. It is marked by a candour and courage, a sincerity and liberality of spirit, which prove very attractive."

OXFORD MAGAZINE.—"They contain a good deal of strong thought and delicate expression."

SPECTATOR.—"A notable pronouncement."

TWO SERMONS ON THE MUTUAL INFLUENCES OF
THEOLOGY AND THE NATURAL SCIENCES. 8vo.
Sewed. 6d. net.

SIX LECTURES ON PASTORAL THEOLOGY. With an
Appendix on the Influence of Scientific Training on the Reception
of Religious Truth. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

Wood (C. J.)—SURVIVALS IN CHRISTIANITY. Cr. 8vo. 6s.

MANCHESTER GUARDIAN.—"Striking, stimulating and suggestive lectures. . . . The author writes with the boldness and conviction of a mystic; he brings wide reading to bear upon every branch of his subject, and his book is impressive and interesting throughout."

17

0

WYCLIFFE

COLLEGE

LIBRARY